

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_123598
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Teenage Pregnancies on Child Malnutrition: A Review
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper is important to the scientific community, the measures that may be adopted in policies and programs are very important, to prevent the occurrence of teenage pregnancy and ultimately reduce the prevalence of malnourished children.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Improve the results and conclusions, preferably sharper and clearer	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The prevalence of adolescent anemia is high in developing countries. Adolescents who are anemic and growing, should be treated. But what happened was a teenage pregnancy. The cause of the teenage pregnancy must be terminated and resolved.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are enough, but some need to be replaced with newer ones, the last 10 years	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality should be improved and replaced with more appropriate words	
Optional/General comments	The article is good and improved as suggested	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Novriani Tarigan
Department, University & Country	Politeknik Kesehatan Kementerian Kesehatan Medan, Indonesia