

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_124513
Title of the Manuscript:	A Deadly Cerulean Limb- Phlegmasia Cerulea Dolens complicated with Pulmonary Embolism
Type of the Article	Case report

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The case reported a deadly cerulean limb- phlegmasia cerulea dolens complicated with pulmonary embolism. Aim is to differentiate venous etiologies of ischemic limb with arterial thrombus. It is an interesting case. It reminds the physicians to differentiate venous etiologies of ischemic limb with arterial thrombus.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No. It would be better that the manuscript introduces the case firstly, then discuss the case and disease, how to differentiate the venous thrombus and arterial thrombus.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust. To clarify the differentiation the venous vs. arterial causes of ischemic limb, a table included the etiologies, presentation: color, cyanosis, pulse, onset, painful, temperature would be help to clarify it.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript needs an English grammar check. "Aims: Asses the presentation", there is a typo, "Aims: Assess the presentation"	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It needs to revise the structure and acceptable.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Wei Huang</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chongqing Medical University, China</b>