

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_123585
Title of the Manuscript:	Chatbot for promoting best crop management practices to rice farmers in Odisha
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like the manuscript due to the following reasons: 1. It will inform the rice farmers on the uncertainty of rice management practices; 2. It will provide a room for conversation what they think they certain and not certain about rice inputs; 3. It will inform about market prices given its integration in it to maximize returns; and 4. It will create symmetry situation for farmers to make rational decision based on marginal costs and benefits. 5.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title should be specific to the particular crop: Chatbot for promoting rice management practices to farmers in Odisha	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is ok. But, the author should detete the shorthand etc. , or else specify what others are. The author should include the extent of overall penetration and prevailing rate at Odisha area, the tools used in data collection, why and how farmers in Odisha were chosen among other areas which practice rice activities, draw conclusion(s) and brief policy recommendations. Specify whether the interactive will happen when they are offline or connected to wifi taking into consideration costs that will be incurred in case they will be connected with internet.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important due to the technological changes so support farmers to get updated information in rice and other crops information on the right seeds and timely planting/sowing, weeding and fertilizers choices for the right applications time and quantity. Also, it enhances access to the right insect - pesticide/herbicide management to improved production and food security.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not recent, as they have to present timely the current information associated with policy changes such as Labour Bureau 2015-16 ; Aayog 2017. References referred should be within five years to inform the current policy changes.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is ok, but grammer check up has to be done.	
Optional/General comments	The author should support each argument with scholarly information rather than leaving sentences/arguments hanging with no vivid source of information. The research gap is not explicitly stated with statistical evidences on what prevails, intervention done or required to be done supported with at least five current references. Also, research questions and its particular responses need to be included so that readers can understand the focus of the paper. Also, in the observations part scholarly information has to integrated on whether study findings contradict with or not.	

Comment [A1]: Not listed in the reference list.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asheri Mandesu Mwidege
Department, University & Country	Mbeya University of Science and Technology, Tanzania