

ReviewForm3

Journal Name:	Advances inResearch
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AIR_122889
Title ofthe Manuscript:	Management of pearlmillet downy mildewby the applicationofbio-agents andfungicides
Type ofthe Article	

PART 1:ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript andhighlight that part inthe manuscript.It ismandatorythat authorsshouldwrite
Please writeafewsentencesregardingthe importance ofthismanuscriptforthe community.Whydo youlike (or dislike)thismanuscript?A minimumof3-4 sentencesmaybe requiredforthispart.	Theresearchunderscores the significanceof applyingseed treatmentswith bioagentsand fungicidesfor managingpearlmilletdownymildew. Thefindingsfromthisstudycould enhancepearlmilleyieldsandhelpdecreasetheprevalenceofdownymildew,benefitingthe community.	
Isthe title ofthe article suitable? (If notplease suggestan alternative title)	You mayconsider theproposedtitle "ManagingPearlMilletDownyMildewthroughBio-agentsandFungicideApplications"	
Isthe abstractofthe article comprehensive? Doyou suggestthe addition(or deletion)ofsome points inthis section?Please writeyour suggestionshere.	Please revisethe abstractto ensureclarityandconciseness, assomesentencesare unclear. Also,reviewthecommentsprovidedinthemanuscript.	
Are subsectionsandstructure ofthemanuscript appropriate?	Somesubsectionsandtheoverallstructureofthemanuscriptneedimprovement. Likefor example,thestudy'sobjectivesarenotclearlydefined,theresultslacksufficientdiscussion, andtheconclusionand recommendationsaremissing. Additionally,themanuscriptshould includecomprehensiveliteraturereferences, situatingitsfindingswithinthebroadercontextof existingresearch. Pleasereviewthecommentsprovidedinthemanuscriptfor furtherdetails.	
Please writeafewsentencesregardingthescientific correctnessofthismanuscript. Whydoyouthinkthat thismanuscriptisscientific ally robustandtechnical ly sound?A minimumof3-4sentencesmay berequired forthispart.	The manuscriptisscientific ally robustand technical ly soundfor severalreasons. Firstly, it employsrigorousmethodologiesand followsestablishedprotocols, ensuringthereliability and validityoftheresults. Thedataanalysisisthoroughandaligns withcurrentscientific standards, providingclearandaccurateinsights. Overall, these factorscontribute tothe manuscript'sscientific credibilityandtechnical integrity.	
Are the referencesufficientandrecent? Ifyouhave suggestions ofadditional references,please mention theminthereviewform.	The manuscriptshouldincludecomprehensiveliteraturereferences, situatingitsfindings withinthebroadercontextof existingresearch. Reviewthecommentsprovided in the manuscript.	

ReviewForm3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript's English grammar requires improvement. Enhancing the language quality will help clarify the content, improve readability, and ensure that the scientific arguments are conveyed effectively. Addressing these grammatical issues will contribute to a more professional and polished presentation of the research.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript presents a clear aim and valuable findings. However, the researchers should consider incorporating additional scientific parameters to further explore the effects of bioagents and fungicides on powdery mildew in pearl millet. This would provide a more comprehensive understanding of their impact and enhance the study's overall depth.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amado O. Cortez Jr.
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, Isabela State University, Philippines