

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ IJECC_107173
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICACY OF INTERCROPPING, BOTANICALS AND INSECTICIDES AGAINST OKRA SHOOT AND FRUIT BORER (EARIAS VITTELLA) ON OKRA IN UTTAR PRADESH
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, because Okra is the basis of food in Asian countries</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>It is not appropriate, they did not include the statistical analysis methodology and in results they indicate that what was obtained is highly significant but do not show the statistical analyses</p> <p>The field approach is correct, but the analysis and discussion of results is poor.</p> <p>Missing citations from: Anonymous 2017; Van Emden 1965; Andow 1991; Abro et al 2004; Mansour 2017; Zakka et al 2018. Of the 21 references, only 3 are in the text, 18 are missing in text. Very old quotes. Updated information required.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The results and discussion section is poor and there is no statistical analysis, the discussion is scarce</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Manuel Darío Salas-Araiza</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad de Guanajuato, Mexico</b>