

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_123409
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the physical chemical parameters of Dal Lake, Kashmir, India: A research study
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Many of the authors investigate the physico-chemical properties of Dal lake time to time before. Even J&K pollution board committee routinely find out the said characteristics. The said investigation is good and compared the past investigations for Dal lake. Author also insists to firm regulation for the conservation of Dal lake from anthropogenic nuisance. It will be good to investigate the biological properties along with physico and chemical properties to know the water quality and this part is missing but otherwise nicely mentioned in the conclusion.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title might be more impactful and meaning ful, I am suggesting one title as below. "A Case study on Investigation of Physico-chemical Properties of Dal Lake, Kashmir, India"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract of the paper is almost good, the summarised digits are included and concluded that all parameters indicate the pollution load increasing due to anthropogenic pressure (Proper input and discharge quantitatively and anthropogenic points or sources were not included in the paper, try to include the same) It is amazing the world popular Dal Lake is why neglected in order to protect the beauty and economic source of the community. Author must conclude the abstract with how past studies and the present study help to implement the policy making to stop the anthropogenic nuisance in holly Dal Lake. Their urgent protection is needed for better future.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As i read the manuscript, there should not be a fault occurred. Scientifically sound because it is a practical based study and compared with few studies which were happened with the same water, this investigation helps to understand the current status of water for their future conservation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient, as this is new study and compared with old studies for the same sites, reference are not newer but all are covered.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good English.	
Optional/General comments	Overall, the manuscript is good.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sudhir Bhandarkar
Department, University & Country	MB Patel College, India