

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_122464</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Performance of Stress Tolerant Rice Variety Swarna Shreya in Bolangir District</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Rice needs more water to complete their life cycle. Hence in changing environment scenario it is important to studied and develop stress tolerance and resistant rice crop. Therefore this paper helps to researcher and students in area of water stress.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	This is a clear, concise	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	This is a clear, concise, and well-written manuscript	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Sufficient information about the previous study findings is presented for readers to follow the present study rationale and procedures. this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Please add some recent references if possible	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall, this is a clear, concise, and well-written manuscript. The introduction is relevant and theory based. Sufficient information about the previous study findings is presented for readers to follow the present study rationale and procedures. The authors make a systematic contribution to the research literature in this area of investigation. Overall, this is a high quality manuscript that has implications for the theoretical basis, development, and maintenance of disturbed eating attitudes and behaviors; however, the relevance to nursing per se is uncertain	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Himanshu Shekher Garg</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya Mohanpur, India</b>