

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_122450
Title of the Manuscript:	Consequences of Applying Different Poultry Manure Rates on the Fertility Level of an Oxisol and the Agromomic Performance of Okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i>) in Dschang (Cameroon Western Highlands).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Please verify the BCR, the apparent total nitrogen in the PM, and the C/N ratio. The mean is $\pm 0.28b$. What is a standard error, or standard deviation? What does it mean when soil results show only three treatments? Please discuss the effect of poultry manure on soil fertility and consequently, okra yield. How much poultry manure is there in this area? per year? and enough? should be presented during the introduction.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Too long. Improved okra production by poultry manure application in highland acid soil.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Please verify the BCR at the first time.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The acidity of the highland soil is a constraint on soil fertility. The author initiated the experiment by using animal manure as an alternative method for okra production. However, the animal manure releases plant nutrients slowly. As a result, this manual may have an impact on PM levels, soil fertility, and quality, potentially influencing okra growth and yield. In addition, the author would suggest further work and limitations for this study.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =</p>		
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suphathida Aumtong
Department, University & Country	Maejo University, Thailand