

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_123146
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SOIL OF SUGARCANE AREA OF NAVSARI DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	If the author intends to illustrate the correlation between these soil characteristics and the outcome of the analytical imaging, please provide these data as well. Nevertheless, I failed to see any effort by the author to articulate these concepts in the introduction or first section of the abstract. The soil properties in this study were specifically focused on the sugarcane plantations, enabling the author to evaluate their suitability for sugarcane cultivation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, but insufficient.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	If you wish to provide a concise and academic presentation for an author study, this article offers a useful framework for discussing the topic of satellite working.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, but insufficient.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The required quantity of data for soil sample was insufficient. I.e., soil depth. This study does not include any findings on the correlation between soil data and satellite imagery, or any information on satellite imaging.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suphathida Aumtong
Department, University & Country	Maejo University, Thailand