

Analysis of The Influence of E-Service Quality, Customer Satisfaction, Customer Trust, and Repurchase Intention on Word of Mouth

ABSTRACT

This study aims to examine the impact of e-service quality, customer satisfaction, customer trust, and repurchase intention on word of mouth among TikTokShop customers. A quantitative approach was employed, with data collected through online questionnaires distributed to 220 respondents via Google Forms. The study utilized a non-probability sampling technique, specifically convenience sampling, and data were analyzed using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with the assistance of the PLS program. The findings reveal that e-service quality positively and significantly influences customer trust, customer satisfaction, repurchase intention, and word of mouth. Furthermore, customer trust has a positive and significant effect on repurchase intention and word of mouth. Additionally, customer satisfaction significantly enhances customer trust, repurchase intention, and word of mouth. Finally, repurchase intention has a positive and significant impact on word of mouth.

Keywords: e-service quality, customer satisfaction, customer trust, repurchase intention, word of mouth, e-commerce Tiktoshop.

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of technology is closely linked to the Internet, which has significantly transformed social life, communication, and business opportunities [1]. The widespread adoption of the Internet in Indonesia is evidenced by the growing number of users each year. As internet access improves, the shift from offline to online shopping has accelerated [2]. Research by Rita et al. [3] shows that consumers increasingly prefer online shopping over visiting physical stores, driving growth in the e-commerce sector. This shift is supported by the expanding internet user base and the demands of a busy lifestyle that limits time for in-person shopping. E-commerce enhances consumer convenience and boosts Indonesia's digital economy, with smartphones now serving as essential tools for commercial transactions [4].

E-commerce in Indonesia is rapidly growing and is expected to be a significant growth driver in the Asia-Pacific region [5]. The market is projected to reach \$137.5 billion by 2025, growing at a rate of 25.3% annually from \$44.6 billion in 2020. Transaction values are estimated to increase from \$67.4 billion in 2021 to \$121 billion in 2024. These figures highlight the substantial potential of the e-commerce market, underscoring the need for e-commerce companies to implement effective strategies to remain competitive [4]. Additionally, Nurhayati [6] reports that Indonesia currently has approximately 28.2 million online shoppers, with an expected annual growth of 3-4% in the coming years.

The rapid growth of e-commerce offers significant opportunities for companies, with platforms like Tokopedia, Shopee, Lazada, and Bukalapak gaining popularity [7]. Factors influencing

online shopping include lower prices, secure transactions, convenience, and free shipping promotions [8, 9, 10, 11]. E-service quality is crucial for attracting customers, and TikTokShop is a trending platform focusing on this [12]. TikTok's popularity in Indonesia, where it became the most downloaded app in January 2021 and the second-largest market in 2020 [13, 14], highlights its potential for companies to reach and market to a broader audience effectively.

High e-service quality on TikTok enhances customer satisfaction and repurchase intentions, with promotions and free shipping further boosting satisfaction [15]. Secure payment options like cash on delivery (COD) and bank transfers increase customer trust, while positive WoM from previous buyers strengthens this trust and encourages repurchases [16]. TikTok's unique algorithm helps brands gain visibility quickly by showing similar content to users who engage with videos, making consistent and engaging content crucial for attracting potential customers [17, 18]. Collaborating with popular TikTok users can also expand market reach, reinforcing the importance of high e-service quality for a safe and effective online shopping experience.

E-service quality is a crucial factor in online shopping, impacting customer satisfaction and repurchase intention [3]. It refers to the quality of service provided through online platforms, improving the efficiency of shopping and transactions [19]. As an extension of traditional service quality, e-service quality is essential for the success of e-commerce businesses. According to Sarino [20], service quality is essential for a company's success and competitiveness, as it directly impacts customer satisfaction [21]. High-quality service features, such as responsiveness and reliability, enhance customer satisfaction. Therefore, service quality is crucial in shaping customer satisfaction [22] and is closely related to profitability [23].

Besides, trust is also crucial in shaping online repurchase intentions [24]. Customers who repeatedly buy from a platform often develop greater trust in it, making trust-building essential for marketers [25]. Trust is a strong predictor of both purchase intentions and actual purchases [26]. Additionally, word of mouth (WoM) significantly influences consumer behavior and is often more effective than traditional advertising. Recommendations from friends and family are generally more trusted than mass media ads [27]. Research by Suratno et al. [28] shows that high service quality enhances customer trust and loyalty. Good service quality fosters trust, which is crucial for retaining customers. E-Service Quality, while having its strengths and weaknesses, significantly impacts customer satisfaction and trust. In turn, it influences behaviors such as repurchase intentions and WoM [3].

Customer trust in online transactions strongly increases repurchase intention [29]. Trust, product quality, and satisfaction all contribute to the likelihood of repurchasing [30]. Building brand trust is essential for business success, as it promotes positive WoM, higher purchase intentions, and customer loyalty [31]. Research shows that higher customer satisfaction leads to a greater intention to repurchase [32]. Satisfied customers are more likely to buy again and share positive feedback, significantly when their expectations are exceeded [33]. Customer satisfaction also boosts WoM and encourages customers to spread product information through social or electronic media [34, 35].

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 E-Service Quality

Daryanti & Shihab [36] define e-service quality as how well a website supports customers in shopping, purchasing, and receiving products or services. Magdalena & Jaolis [4] explain that it involves how effectively a site facilitates online shopping and transactions. E-service quality is crucial for setting standards and ensuring customer satisfaction [37].

E-service quality includes aspects like customized products and services on websites, seller performance, customer support, and service delivery [38]. It refers to how well a website supports efficient shopping, purchasing, and delivery [39]. As e-service quality gains importance in online retail, key dimensions to measure customer perceptions have been developed [40]. According to Teimouri et al. [41], these dimensions include reliability, responsiveness, ease of use, privacy, web design, and information quality.

Research by Ilham [42], Romadhan et al. [43], and Thenmozhi et al. [44] shows that e-service quality significantly enhances customer satisfaction with purchases. Rita et al. [3] found that e-service quality positively affects both customer satisfaction and repurchase intentions, directly and indirectly. However, Juwaini et al. [45] found that e-service quality had an insignificant effect on customer satisfaction in online shops. Zhou et al. [46] identified e-service quality as a critical predictor of customer satisfaction, showing a positive relationship between them.

H1. E-service quality positively affects customer satisfaction.

E-service quality not only impacts customer satisfaction but also influences customer trust. Ihsan & Siregar [47] found that e-service quality positively affects trust in Go-Ride users in Jambi. Similarly, Nurhaliza & Sugianto [48] reported a significant positive effect on trust among Go-Jek users at UIN Sumatera Utara, and Priambodo & Farida [49] demonstrated a positive effect on trust in Lazada users.

H2. E-service quality positively affects customer trust.

E-service quality also impacts repurchase intention. Priambodo & Farida [49] and Tandon et al. [50] found that e-service quality significantly positively affects e-repurchase intention. Hikmah & Riptiono [51] showed that higher e-service quality increases online repurchase intention on Shopee among students at STIE Putra Bangsa Kebumen. Li et al. [52] also found a positive relationship between e-service quality and repurchase intention.

H3. E-service quality positively affects repurchase intention.

E-service quality also influences WoM. Zoghlami et al. [53] and Rita et al. [3] found that e-service quality affects WoM, and Sudrajat et al. [54] demonstrated a significant positive impact. Kartika & Shihab [55] confirmed that e-service quality drives WoM. Morey et al. [56] showed that e-service quality positively affects e-WOM. Pratama, Prabu, & Sadat [57] found that customers who experience high e-service quality are likely to share positive information.

H4. E-service quality positively affects Word of Mouth.

2.2 Customer Satisfaction

Customer satisfaction is defined as the emotional response or state of a customer after experiencing a product or service [58]. It closely relates to customer expectations compared to actual performance [59]. Kotler & Keller [60] describe satisfaction as the feeling of pleasure or disappointment after comparing a product's performance with expectations. In the digital market context, customer satisfaction is linked to how well e-commerce platforms make customers feel pleased and content with their applications [60]. According to Akther et al. [62], customer satisfaction depends on a product or service's ability to meet customer expectations. Therefore, customer satisfaction is an emotional state resulting from comparing perceived performance with expectations, where satisfaction occurs if the experience meets or exceeds expectations. Tjiptono & Diana [63] identify seven indicators for measuring satisfaction: employee service, ambiance, facilities, location, promotions, pricing, and products.

Research by Kurniadi & Rana [64], Gultom et al. [65], Nafisah & Albari [66], Ardiyanto & Kuswati [67], and Putera et al. [68] demonstrates that customer satisfaction positively

influences customer trust. When customers are satisfied with a product or service, they are more likely to trust the provider.

H5. Customer satisfaction positively affects trust.

Studies by Chinomona & Dubihlela [69], Wiryana & Erdiansyah [70], Hikmah & Riptiono [51], Purbasari & Purnamasari [71], and Wiatna & Sanaji [72] show that customer satisfaction positively impacts repurchase intention. High customer satisfaction leads to a higher likelihood of repurchasing. Additionally, satisfaction mediates the relationship between e-service quality and repurchase intention.

H6. Customer satisfaction positively affects repurchase intention.

Satisfied customers are more likely to recommend products or services to others [73]. Research by Kartika & Shihab [55], Wijaya & Sujana [55], and Wahyuni & Ekawati [74] indicate that customer satisfaction drives WoM. Satisfied customers influence others through positive WoM, especially when they perceive high service quality.

H7. Customer satisfaction positively affects Word of Mouth (WoM).

2.3 Customer Trust

Customer trust is crucial in business activities [55]. It is defined as the customer's belief in the reliability and competence of a seller [75]. Trust involves confidence in the honesty, generosity, and expertise of others [38] and is described as a psychological state involving the willingness to accept vulnerability based on positive expectations [76]. When customers feel safe and secure in online transactions, they are more likely to develop trust and show vulnerability [37]. Trust in this context means that customers believe the goods and services they receive match the quantity and quality promised. Building trust requires time and proof, and it is not easily granted by others [77].

Previous studies by Mahendra & Mugiono [37] and Rita et al. [3] show that customer trust significantly influences repurchase intention. Bhat & Darzi [38] confirm that trust in a seller positively impacts repurchase intention in online purchases. Chetioui et al. [78] and Meilatinova [79] find that trust is a crucial predictor of repurchase intention. If customers' trust perceptions do not match their experiences, their intention to repurchase is likely to decrease [80]. Post-purchase trust differs from pre-purchase trust as it is based on experience and influences future transaction decisions [39].

H8. Customer trust positively affects repurchase intention.

Research by Meidita et al. [81], Sánchez et al. [82], and Rizanata [31] demonstrates that customer trust positively influences WoM. Kartika & Shihab [55] argue that trust drives WoM, and Fatma & Khan [83] find that trust leads to positive WoM promotion. In e-commerce, trust in a platform's reliability and reputation encourages customers to recommend it to others [81]. When customers trust and follow recommendations from others, it leads to WoM [84].

H9. Customer trust positively affects Word of Mouth (WoM).

2.4 Repurchase Intention

Repurchase intention refers to a customer's desire to buy again due to satisfaction with a previous purchase experience [85]. Sugito et al. [86] define it as the frequency of repeat purchases by customers committed to a product, brand image, or store, often used to measure

the impact of marketing strategies. Sari & Rastini [87] describe it as the subjective probability of a person repurchasing a product or service through an online site or store. In the context of e-commerce, Wang et al. [88] define it as a customer's evaluation of purchasing the same, similar, or different products from an e-commerce platform. Thus, repurchase intention reflects the level of confidence customers have in buying a product or service again, either online or offline.

Research by Sari & Rastini [87] shows that satisfied customers are likely to revisit and recommend products or services to others. Hariono [89] also finds that customer satisfaction leads to repeat purchases and recommendations. López & Sicilia [90] confirm that repeat purchase experiences positively impact online WoM. Therefore, repurchase intention is expected to influence WoM positively.

H10. Repurchase intention positively affects Word of Mouth (WoM).

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Measurement

This study aims to empirically investigate the relationships between variables defined in the research framework. To achieve this, the research methodology employed is quantitative. According to Hair et al. [91], quantitative research involves using concrete data from specific samples or populations, with data collected in numerical form and analyzed using statistical tools. The ultimate goal is to draw conclusions related to the research problem.

Therefore, an online questionnaire was employed to gather responses, using a 5-point Likert scale from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree). The research examines one independent variable (e-service quality), two intervening variables (customer satisfaction and customer trust), and two dependent variables (repurchase intention and WoM). The indicators for all variables were derived from the study by Rita et al. [3].

3.2 Sampling and Data Collection

This study uses primary data collected directly by the researcher through a questionnaire, which serves as the research tool. Data collection was carried out by distributing an online questionnaire via Google Forms. The population for this study consists of Indonesian users who have had the TikTok app for at least one year. The exact size of this population is unknown. The sample includes Indonesian users who have had TikTok for at least one year and who have used the TikTokShop feature for shopping at least twice a month.

A non-probability sampling method with convenience sampling was used to obtain the research sample. This technique was chosen because it allows for the selection of respondents based on the researcher's discretion [91]. The sample size determination was based on the method by Siregar et al. [92], which depends on the number of parameters used in the study. Given the number of indicators and questions in this study, the minimum and maximum sample sizes were determined as follows:

Minimum sample = [(number of indicators + number of variables) x 2] x 5

Maximum sample = [(number of indicators + number of variables) x 2] x 10

With 5 variables and 17 question indicators in the study, the calculation resulted in:

Minimum sample = [(17 + 5) x 2] x 5 = 220 samples

Maximum sample = [(17 + 5) x 2] x 10 = 440 samples

Based on the sample calculation, the study requires a minimum of 220 respondents and a maximum of 440 respondents. Therefore, this study will use a minimum sample size of 220 respondents.

3.3 Data Analysis Techniques

A pilot test involving 30 respondents was conducted to ensure the validity and reliability of the research questionnaire and to assess its suitability for the actual study participants. Data analysis methods for this study include both descriptive and statistical analysis. Descriptive analysis provides a clear and comprehensible overview of the data collected from observations, making it easier to interpret and use for informational purposes [93]. Statistical analysis is used to test the proposed hypotheses.

Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with SmartPLS version 3.0 is employed as the data analysis technique. SEM allows researchers to simultaneously model and predict complex relationships among multiple independent and dependent variables [91]. According to Ramlall [94], SEM is a comprehensive and flexible methodology for estimating, representing, and testing theoretical models to explain as much variation as possible. In brief, SEM is a robust multivariate tool for studying relationships between variables.

PLS-SEM analysis includes both the measurement model (Outer Model) and the structural model (Inner Model). The Outer Model correlates variables with their respective indicators to ensure the validity and reliability of the research [95]. The Inner Model is used to test the relationships between latent constructs. The modified research model is based on Rita et al. [3], as depicted in Figure 1.




Fig. 1. Framework Model

The research framework is adopted by Rita et al., (2019).

Figure 1 shows that this research framework is adapted from the study by Rita et al. [3], which explored the effects of e-service quality, customer satisfaction, customer trust, and repurchase intention on WoM. This study includes one independent variable, two intervening variables, and two dependent variables. It will also examine new relationships not previously studied, including the effects of e-service quality on repurchase intention and WoM, as well as the influence of customer satisfaction on customer trust and repurchase intention on WoM.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Respondent Profile

Descriptive analysis was performed on the respondents' characteristics, which were classified based on gender, age, income, frequency of TikTok app usage, and intensity of TikTokShop feature use within a month. The results of the descriptive analysis of the respondents' profiles are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Respondents' Profile

| Category | Frequency | % |
|---|------------------|----------|
| Gender | | |
| Male | 41 | 18,6 |
| Female | 179 | 81,4 |
| Age | | |
| <20 years | 51 | 23,2 |
| 21-25 years | 104 | 47,3 |
| 26-30 years | 32 | 14,5 |
| >30 years | 33 | 15,0 |
| Monthly Salary | | |
| <5 million | 167 | 75,9 |
| 5-10 million | 45 | 20,5 |
| >10 million | 8 | 3,6 |
| Tiktok Usage | | |
| 1-2 years | 78 | 35,5 |
| 3-4 years | 142 | 64,5 |
| TiktokShop Usage Intensity per Month | | |
| 2-3 times | 78 | 35,5 |
| 3-4 times | 100 | 45,5 |
| >5 times | 42 | 19,1 |

Source: Primary data (2024)

Table 1 reveals that TikTok and TikTokShop are primarily used by young women, with 81.4% of respondents being female and nearly half aged 21-25 years. Most users have lower to middle incomes, with 75.9% earning less than 5 million IDR per month. The majority have been using TikTok for 3-4 years, indicating a well-established user base. While TikTokShop is used moderately by most, with 45.5% using it 3-4 times a month, a smaller segment engages more frequently. Overall, TikTok and TikTokShop are popular among young, economically diverse users, particularly women.

4.2 Outer Model Evaluation

The outer model assesses the validity and reliability of the measurement indicators. Validity is tested in two ways: discriminant validity and convergent validity. Convergent validity is evaluated by examining the outer loading values and the average variance extracted (AVE). An indicator is considered valid if the AVE value is more significant than 0.5 and the outer loading value is above 0.60 [91]. Discriminant validity is assessed using the Fornell-Larcker criterion. Reliability is measured by Cronbach's Alpha (CA) values greater than 0.6 and composite reliability (CR) values greater than 0.7. The results for reliability and convergent validity tests are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Outer Model Test

| VARIABLE/INDICATORS | Item | Loadings | AVE | CA | CR |
|--|------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| E-Service Quality | | | 0,869 | 0,925 | 0,952 |
| Customer service responds quickly if there are issues | ESQ1 | 0.943 | | | |
| The quality of service provided | ESQ2 | 0.927 | | | |
| Reliable service quality | ESQ3 | 0.927 | | | |
| Customer Satisfaction | | | 0,851 | 0,912 | 0,945 |
| Feeling satisfied with the online store | S1 | 0.920 | | | |
| Feeling satisfied with having an ideal online reseller | S2 | 0.929 | | | |
| Feeling satisfied because needs are met | S3 | 0.918 | | | |
| Customer Trust | | | 0,814 | 0,943 | 0,956 |
| Social media can be trusted | TR1 | 0.907 | | | |
| Social media is reliable | TR2 | 0.889 | | | |
| Trust in recommended products | TR3 | 0.903 | | | |
| Feel safe during transactions and account security | TR4 | 0.903 | | | |
| Social media has integrity | TR5 | 0.908 | | | |
| Repurchase Intention | | | 0,842 | 0,906 | 0,941 |
| Making more purchases in the future | RI1 | 0.923 | | | |
| Increasing purchases | RI2 | 0.908 | | | |
| Intensifying purchases | RI3 | 0.922 | | | |
| Word of Mouth | | | 0,858 | 0,917 | 0,948 |
| Saying positive things | WOM1 | 0.933 | | | |
| Recommending to others | WOM2 | 0.924 | | | |
| Encouraging others to buy as well | WOM3 | 0.921 | | | |

Source: Primary data (2024)

Table 2 shows that the outer loading values for each indicator are above 0.6, indicating validity [91]. Additionally, the average variance extracted (AVE) values for each variable exceed 0.50, confirming the validity of the measurements. Furthermore, all variables have composite reliability values greater than 0.7 and Cronbach's Alpha values above 0.6. These results indicate that each variable meets the criteria for composite reliability and Cronbach's Alpha, demonstrating high reliability for all variables.

Next, the results of the Fornell-Larcker test are shown in Table 3 below.

Table 3. Fornell Larcker

| Variables | ESQ | TR | S | RI | WOM |
|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| ESQ | 0.932 | | | | |
| TR | 0.611 | 0.902 | | | |
| S | 0.724 | 0.623 | 0.923 | | |
| RI | 0.677 | 0.651 | 0.661 | 0.918 | |
| WOM | 0.603 | 0.621 | 0.614 | 0.635 | 0.926 |

Source: Primary data (2024)

Notes: E-service quality (ESQ), Customer Trust (TR), Customer satisfaction (S), Repurchase intention (RI), Word of Mouth (WOM).

Table 3 presents the results of the Fornell-Larcker criterion validity test. The AVE values for all variables form a diagonal, with the values for each variable being higher than those for the variables below it. For instance, the variable "repurchase intention" has an AVE value of 0.918, which is higher than the value for "word of mouth," which is 0.635. Additionally, the AVE value for "word of mouth" is 0.926. Based on the Fornell-Larcker criterion validity test results, the discriminant validity is confirmed as valid. Thus, these validity results can be used for subsequent measurement analyses.

4.3 Inner Model Evaluation

This test examines the relationships between constructs and evaluates their statistical significance. Inner model testing includes predictive relevance, coefficient of determination, effect size, and hypothesis testing. The results of the predictive relevance and coefficient of determination tests are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Q-square and R-Square

| Variables | Q ² | R ² | R ² Adjusted |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| TR | 0,356 | 0.442 | 0.437 |
| S | 0.442 | 0.525 | 0.523 |
| RI | 0.477 | 0.573 | 0.567 |
| WOM | 0.434 | 0.517 | 0.508 |

Source: Primary data (2024)

Notes: E-service quality (ESQ), Customer Trust (TR), Customer satisfaction (S), Repurchase intention (RI), Word of Mouth (WOM).

Table 4 presents the Q² value for predictive relevance and the R² value for the coefficient of determination. The Q² value must be greater than 0 to indicate how well the model and its parameter estimates generate observation values. The results show that the Q² values for the dependent variables are above 0 (Q² > 0), indicating that all dependent variables in this study have good observation values and predictive relevance.

Next, the coefficient of determination analysis measures how well a model explains the variation in dependent variables [96]. This test is used to explain the relationship between independent and dependent variables. The R² values from the coefficient of determination test are above 0.5 or 50%, except for customer trust, which has an R² value of 0.442 or 44.2%. It means that customer trust can be explained by the independent variables at 44.2%, while the remaining 55.8% is explained by other variables not included in this study.

Finally, hypothesis testing and effect size analysis were conducted. The p-value is used to determine whether a hypothesis is accepted, with hypotheses accepted if the p-value is less than 0.05. The direction of the hypothesis is determined by the original sample values produced. Additionally, the F² value for effect size relates to the effect of sample size on hypothesis testing results. According to Hair et al. [91], the guidelines for evaluating F² are 0.02 for small, 0.15 for moderate, and 0.35 for significant effects. The results of the hypothesis testing and F² values are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Hypothesis Testing and F-Square

| Hypothesis | Original Samples (β) | P Value | Conclusion | F-square | Effect Size |
|------------|----------------------|---------|------------|----------|-------------|
| ESQ → TR | 0.335 | 0.000 | Supported | 0.096 | Low |
| ESQ → S | 0.724 | 0.000 | Supported | 0.724 | High |
| ESQ → RI | 0.313 | 0.000 | Supported | 0.100 | Moderate |
| ESQ → WOM | 0.145 | 0.035 | Supported | 0.017 | Low |
| TR → RI | 0.308 | 0.000 | Supported | 0.124 | Modertate |
| TR → WOM | 0.255 | 0.002 | Supported | 0.067 | Low |

| | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|----------|
| S → TR | 0.380 | 0.000 | Supported | 0.123 | Moderate |
| S → RI | 0.242 | 0.001 | Supported | 0.058 | Low |
| S → WOM | 0.186 | 0.015 | Supported | 0.029 | Low |
| RI → WOM | 0.248 | 0.004 | Supported | 0.054 | Low |

Source: Primary data (2024)

Notes: E-service quality (ESQ), Customer Trust (TR), Customer satisfaction (S), Repurchase intention (RI), Word of Mouth (WOM).

Table 5 shows that all hypotheses are supported, with p-values for each hypothesis being < .05, indicating statistical significance. The F^2 results reveal that most relationships between variables exhibit a small effect size. Among these, the relationship between E-Service Quality and customer satisfaction has the highest effect size compared to other variables. It indicates that the proposed relationships between variables are statistically supported and can be confidently interpreted within the context of this research.

4.3.1 The Impact of E-Service Quality on Customer Satisfaction

E-Service Quality significantly influences Customer Satisfaction ($\beta = 0.724$; p-value = .000; $F^2 = 0.724$), thus supporting H1. This finding aligns with studies by Ilham [42], Romadhan et al. [43], and Thenmozhi et al. [44], which also found that e-service quality has a significant positive effect on customer satisfaction in purchases. The research indicates that enhancing e-service quality can improve customer satisfaction with their purchasing experiences.

This satisfaction arises because high-quality service provides customers with comfort and trust in online transactions. These consistent findings reinforce the understanding that e-service quality is a crucial factor in determining customer satisfaction in today's digital era. Zhou et al. [46] also confirmed that e-service quality is a core predictor of customer satisfaction, demonstrating a positive relationship between the two.

4.3.2 The Impact of E-Service Quality on Customer Trust

E-service quality has a positive effect on Customer Trust ($\beta = 0.335$; p-value = .000; $F^2 = 0.096$), thus supporting H2. This finding indicates that higher e-service quality leads to increased customer trust, which is crucial because customer trust is essential for maintaining loyalty and enhancing long-term satisfaction.

The results support the findings of Ihsan & Siregar [47], who found that e-service quality positively impacts customer trust in Go-Ride in Jambi. Similarly, Nurhaliza & Sugianto [48] demonstrated that e-service quality significantly enhances trust among Go-Jek users at UIN Sumatera Utara. Priambodo & Farida [49] further strengthen this argument by showing that e-service quality also has a positive and significant effect on trust among Lazada marketplace users.

High e-service quality fosters a sense of security and comfort for customers, which in turn builds trust in the service provider. When customers perceive the e-service as safe and reliable, their trust grows over time. This trust is not only vital for increasing customer satisfaction but also forms the foundation for more robust long-term relationships between customers and service providers. Therefore, improving e-service quality is a critical strategy for building and reinforcing customer trust in the digital age. By focusing on these aspects, companies can ensure they not only meet customer expectations but also foster lasting loyalty.

4.3.3 The Impact of E-Service Quality on Repurchase Intention

E-Service Quality has a significant effect on Repurchase Intention ($\beta = 0.313$; p-value = .000; $F^2 = 0.100$), thus supporting H3. This finding underscores that E-Service Quality plays a crucial role in driving customers' repurchase intention. In other words, the better the perceived quality of electronic services, the more likely customers are to make repeat purchases in the future.

It highlights that consistently positive experiences through high-quality electronic services can enhance customer loyalty and encourage repeat transactions. This finding emphasizes the importance of improving electronic service quality as a strategy for retaining customers and increasing the frequency of repeat purchases, ultimately contributing to long-term business growth.

This result aligns with Priambodo & Farida [49] and Tandon et al. [50], who found that electronic service quality significantly and positively affects online repurchase intention. This is further supported by Hikmah & Riptiono [51], who found a significant effect of electronic service quality on repurchase intention on the Shopee marketplace platform, particularly among students at STIE Putra Bangsa Kebumen. Their study revealed that higher electronic service quality correlates with a greater intention to repurchase. It is consistent with Li et al. [52], who reported a positive correlation between electronic service quality and repurchase intention.

Moreover, Chuang & Chiu [97] highlight that aspects such as website quality and online store service are crucial elements in forming and maintaining significant and sustainable repurchase intention. High service quality provides a positive experience for customers; when they are satisfied with the service received, they are more likely to trust the service provider and develop loyalty. This satisfaction becomes a key driver for customers to return for future purchases, as they expect a consistent and satisfying experience. Therefore, improving electronic service quality not only boosts customer satisfaction but also strengthens their intention to repurchase, which is vital for sustaining business success in the digital era.

4.3.4 The Impact of E-Service Quality on Word of Mouth

This study confirms the effect of E-Service Quality on Word of Mouth (WoM) ($\beta = 0.145$; p -value = .035; $F^2 = 0.017$), thus supporting H4. This result indicates a significant impact of E-Service Quality on WoM, suggesting that high-quality electronic services can enhance consumer confidence in recommending these services to others. Moreover, this finding supports existing literature on the importance of service quality in influencing consumer perceptions and behaviors.

This study aligns with findings from Zoghلامي et al. [53] and Rita et al. [3], which reveal that e-service quality significantly impacts WoM. Their research indicates that factors such as ease of use, reliability, and responsiveness in online services encourage customers to share their positive experiences. Additionally, Sudrajat et al. [54] reinforce this evidence by demonstrating that e-service quality not only affects WoM but does so positively and significantly. It underscores the importance of companies maintaining high standards of electronic service quality to ensure customer satisfaction and encourage them to recommend the service to others.

In the increasingly digital landscape, Kartika & Shihab [55] highlight e-service quality as a significant driver of WoM, both traditionally and digitally. They found that customers who perceive services to meet their expectations are more likely to share positive experiences. It is supported by Morey et al. [56] and Sudrajat et al. [54], who also found a significant effect of e-service quality on WoM. In an era where online reviews and recommendations hold significant influence, maintaining high-quality electronic services is crucial for enhancing customer loyalty and expanding the reach of e-WoM. Satisfied customers are more likely to spread positive information, ultimately strengthening the company's reputation [57].

4.3.5 The Impact of Customer Satisfaction on Customer Trust

Customer satisfaction has a positive and significant effect on customer trust ($\beta = 0.380$; p -value = .000; $F^2 = 0.123$), thus supporting H5. This result indicates a significant relationship between customer satisfaction and customer trust. It underscores the importance of

maintaining customer satisfaction as a critical factor in building and sustaining trust in the company or the services provided.

This finding is consistent with the research by Kurniadi & Rana [64], which shows that customers who are satisfied with products or services tend to trust the provider. It is also supported by Gultom et al. [65], who demonstrated that customer satisfaction positively impacts customer trust. When customers experience satisfaction from their interactions, their trust in the service provider increases. It reinforces the conclusion that customer satisfaction plays a crucial role in building trust, as noted by Nafisah & Albari [66].

Furthermore, research by Ardiyanto & Kuswati [67] and Putera et al. [68] found a similar correlation, where increased customer satisfaction is directly related to increased trust. In other words, the higher the level of satisfaction experienced by customers, the greater their trust in the service provider. These findings consistently show that customer satisfaction is not only crucial for loyalty but also a foundational element in building trust-based relationships. Research by Satyadharma (2014) also supports this, proving that satisfaction influences trust—when customers are pleased with the goods or services they receive, their trust in the provider also grows.

4.3.6 The Impact of Customer Satisfaction on Repurchase Intention

Customer satisfaction has a positive and significant impact on repurchase intention ($\beta = 0.242$; p -value = .001; $F^2 = 0.058$), thus supporting H6. This finding indicates that the more satisfied customers are with the products or services they receive, the more likely they are to make repeat purchases in the future. Siagian et al. [98] found that customer satisfaction influences repurchase intention. When customers are pleased with a product or service, they are inclined to make a repeat purchase of the same product or service.

This result aligns with Chinomona & Dubihlela [69], who identified that customer satisfaction positively affects repurchase intention. The findings are further supported by Wiryana & Erdiansyah [70], who demonstrated that customer satisfaction has a positive and significant impact on repurchase intention within the context of e-commerce products. The higher the level of satisfaction experienced by customers, the greater their tendency to make repeat purchases, as explained by Hikmah & Riptiono [51].

Additionally, research by Purbasari & Purnamasari [71] revealed that customer satisfaction significantly influences the decision to repurchase at Yogya Grand Cirebon. This impact is evident both individually and in conjunction with service quality factors. These results emphasize that customer satisfaction not only enhances loyalty but also encourages customers to continue choosing the same products or services in the future.

4.3.7 The Impact of Customer Satisfaction on Word of Mouth

Customer satisfaction has a positive and significant impact on Word of Mouth (WoM) ($\beta = 0.186$; p -value = .015; $F^2 = 0.029$), thus supporting H7. This finding indicates that when customers are satisfied with the products or services they receive, they are more likely to share their positive experiences with others, thereby enhancing WoM.

This result is consistent with Kartika & Shihab [55], who found that customer satisfaction, e-service quality, and trust are drivers of WoM. Additionally, research by Kurniasih & Rozi [73] shows that customers who are satisfied with the services are more likely to recommend the products or services to others. Wijaya & Sujana [55] also demonstrated that customer satisfaction significantly influences WoM at The Jungle Waterpark Bogor. Furthermore, Wahyuni & Ekawati [74] emphasized that customer satisfaction has a positive and significant effect on WoM. These findings underscore the role of customer satisfaction in driving WoM behavior.

4.3.8 The Impact of Customer Trust on Repurchase Intention

Customer satisfaction has a positive and significant impact on customer trust ($\beta = 0.380$; p-value = .002; $F^2 = 0.067$), thus supporting H8. This finding aligns with Resika, Wahab, & Shihab [77], who argue that trust must be built from scratch and proven, making it difficult to be readily accepted by others. When customers feel comfortable and secure during online transactions, they are more likely to develop trust and show vulnerability [37]. Therefore, it can be concluded that customer trust reflects the belief that the goods and services purchased match the quantity and quality promised.

4.3.9 The Impact of Customer Trust on Word of Mouth

Customer trust has a positive and significant impact on Word of Mouth (WoM) ($\beta = 0.255$; p-value = .000; $F^2 = 0.123$), thus supporting H9. This result indicates that the level of customer trust plays a crucial role in encouraging customers to recommend products or services to others. This finding is consistent with previous studies by Meidita et al. [81], Sánchez et al. [82], and Rizanata [31], which demonstrated that customer trust positively influences WoM.

Trust is essential in building long-term relationships between customers and companies, as customers who feel trusted are more likely to share their positive experiences with others. In this context, WoM serves as a powerful marketing tool, as recommendations from trusted customers are often more effective than other forms of promotion. Kartika & Shihab [55] also concluded that trust is a key factor driving WoM, emphasizing that high trust levels not only enhance customer loyalty but also increase the likelihood of recommending products or services to others. Therefore, companies should actively build and maintain customer trust as a primary strategy to maximize WoM potential, thereby improving their reputation and market share.

Fatma & Khan [83] further clarified that customer trust leads to positive promotion through WoM. In the context of e-commerce, trust in Shopee's reliability in safeguarding customer information and maintaining a good reputation among users fosters trust and encourages recommendations to others [81]. When customers trust recommendations from others and act on them, it indicates the occurrence of WoM [84].

4.3.10 The Impact of Repurchase Intention on Word of Mouth

Repurchase intention has a positive and significant relationship with Word of Mouth (WoM) ($\beta = 0.248$; p-value = .004; $F^2 = 0.054$), thus supporting H10. This finding is consistent with the research by Sari & Rastini [87], which showed that satisfied customers are not only likely to make repeat purchases in the future but also to share their positive experiences with others regarding the products or services they have used. This indicates that customer satisfaction contributes not only to loyalty but also plays a crucial role in expanding promotional networks through WoM.

Hariono [89] reinforces this finding, noting that when customers are satisfied, they are more likely to make repeat purchases and recommend the products or services to those around them. This confirms that repurchase intention positively influences WoM. In other words, customers who plan to repurchase are more inclined to share their positive experiences, thereby enhancing the effects of WoM.

Furthermore, López & Sicilia [90] found that the repeat purchase experience positively impacts WoM, particularly in online contexts. This suggests that repeated positive experiences not only strengthen customer loyalty but also increase the likelihood of customers sharing these experiences through digital platforms, thereby expanding the reach of WoM in the online market.

5. CONCLUSION

This study investigates the impact of e-service quality, customer satisfaction, customer trust, and repurchase intention on WoM among TikTokShop customers. The results validate all ten hypotheses tested, demonstrating that these factors positively and significantly influence repurchase intention and WoM. Specifically, e-service quality is found to have a consistent positive effect on customer trust, satisfaction, repurchase intention, and WoM. Moreover, customer trust and satisfaction play significant roles in driving repurchase intention and WoM, while repurchase intention itself positively affects WoM.

The study highlights the substantial effect of e-service quality on customer satisfaction, identifying it as having the highest effect size. This finding underscores the practical significance for TikTokShop businesses, affirming that e-service quality is a crucial determinant of customer satisfaction, in line with Zhou et al. [46]. Enhancing electronic service quality is essential for creating a positive shopping experience that fosters customer satisfaction.

The theoretical contribution of this research lies in advancing the understanding of the interactions among e-service quality, customer trust, satisfaction, repurchase intention, and WoM within the e-commerce domain. The study identifies critical factors within online service quality that are most impactful and offers insights into how these elements interrelate. The implications for practice are significant. Companies can use these insights better to understand the drivers of repurchase intention and WoM, informing more effective marketing strategies. Ensuring high-quality e-service, satisfaction, and trust is critical for customer retention. Businesses should focus on enhancing online store quality, considering factors such as security/privacy concerns related to payment information, which is particularly relevant in markets like Indonesia, where cash on delivery and bank transfers are prevalent.

Companies should address e-service quality attributes comprehensively to improve their online services. It includes providing adequate information, engaging content, easy payment methods, and timely delivery while ensuring data security. Managers should prioritize security/privacy and order fulfillment, given their significant impact on overall service quality. Collaborating with multiple delivery services and allowing customer choice can enhance satisfaction, while agreements with delivery services should clarify responsibility for product damage to maintain customer trust and satisfaction.

The study acknowledges certain limitations, such as the restricted sample size of 220 TikTokShop customers, which suggests that future research should aim to include a more extensive and diverse sample. Additionally, the study's focus on TikTok users and TikTokShop's shopping feature may need to be more generalizable. Future research could benefit from a broader scope and alternative data collection methods to address potential biases and explore other variables that may influence repurchase intention and WoM in online shopping contexts.

REFERENCES

1. . Arumsari R, Ariyanti M. The effect of electronic word of mouth, brand image, customer trust and customer satisfaction towards repurchase intention at PT. GO-JEK Indonesia. *Int J Sci Res (IJSR)*. 2017;6(7):1732–7. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.21275/ART20175247>
2. . Quora. E-commerce is affecting brick and mortar retail, but not in the way you think [Internet]. *Forbes*; 2017 [cited 2024 Apr 3]. Available from:

<https://www.forbes.com/sites/quora/2017/11/27/e-commerce-is-affecting-brick-and-mortar-retail-but-not-in-the-way-you-think/?sh=7d8e231d22bd>

3. . Rita P, Oliveira T, Farisa A. The impact of e-service quality and customer satisfaction on customer behavior in online shopping. *Heliyon*. 2019;5(10):e02690. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02690>
4. Magdalena A, Jaolis F. Analisis antara e-service quality, e-satisfaction, dan e-loyalty dalam konteks e-commerce Blibli. *J Strategi Pemasaran*. 2018;5(2). Available from: <https://publication.petra.ac.id/index.php/manajemen-pemasaran/article/view/7172>
5. Databoks Katadata. Nilai transaksi e-commerce Indonesia diperkirakan capai US\$137,5 miliar pada 2025 [Internet]. *Katadata*; 2022 [cited 2024 Jan 22]. Available from: <https://databoks.katadata.co.id/datapublish/2022/03/18/nilai-transaksi-e-commerce-indonesia-diperkirakan-capai-us1375-miliar-pada-2025>
6. . Nurhayati H. Internet usage in Indonesia - statistics & facts [Internet]. *Statista*; 2024 [cited 2024 Feb 2]. Available from: <https://www.statista.com/topics/2431/internet-usage-in-indonesia/#topicOverview>
7. Kujur F, Singh S. Engaging customers through online participation in social networking sites. *Asia Pac Manag Rev*. 2017;22(1):16–24. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2016.10.006>
8. Hendratri BG, Dianto AY, Mas'ut, Zakariya M, Udin MF. Transformasi positif: analisis sistem jual beli online di Anisa Online Shop Grosir Mlorah Rejoso Nganjuk dalam perspektif ekonomi Islam. *J Educ*. 2023;5(4):17801–6. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.31004/joe.v5i4.4774>
9. Ramadhany ED, Huda MQ, Mahendra IDM Y. Analisis kepercayaan pelanggan e-commerce berdasarkan persepsi pembeli. *J Simki Econ*. 2023;6(2):497–507. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.29407/jse.v6i2.374>
10. Agustini NKDA. Analisis faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi keputusan membeli di online shop mahasiswa jurusan pendidikan ekonomi angkatan tahun 2012. *J Pendidikan Ekonomi Undiksha*. 2017;9(1):127. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.23887/jjpe.v9i1.19997>
11. . Aisyah WN, Anwar MK, Malihah L. The influence of Shopee's tagline "free shipping xtra" on online shopping interest: (case study of female students of the Faculty of Islamic Economics and Business). *Surplus J Econ Bisnis*. 2022;1(1):12–22. Available from: <https://qjurnal.my.id/index.php/sur/article/view/113%0A>
12. . Istanti F. The influence of price, trust, ease of shopping and e-promotion on online shopping purchasing decisions in the city of Surabaya. *J Bisnis Teknologi*. 2017;4(1):14–22. Available from: <https://repository.nscpolteksby.ac.id/38/>
13. . Rizaty MA. TikTok, the most downloaded application in 2021 [Internet]. *Katadata*; 2022 [cited 2024 Jan 23]. Available from: <https://databoks.katadata.co.id/datapublish/2022/01/04/tiktok-aplikasi-yang-paling-banyak-diunduh-pada-2021>

14. . Annur CM. Indonesia becomes TikTok's second largest market in the world in 2020 [Internet]. Katadata; 2021 [cited 2024 Jan 23]. Available from: <https://databoks.katadata.co.id/datapublish/2021/09/29/indonesia-jadi-pasar-kedua-terbesar-tiktok-di-dunia-pada-2020#:~:text=Indonesia is TikTok's second largest market in the world, the short in the country last year.>
15. . Mertanti NMR A. Examining people's shopping behavior in the era of society 5.0. IMWI Repository Horizon. 2023;6(2):832–44. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.52851/cakrawala.v6i2.263>
16. . Fauziah N, Isyanto P, Yani D. The influence of digital marketing content and electronic word of mouth on purchasing interest in TikTok Shop among students of the management study program at Buana Perjuangan University, Karawang. JISIP J Social Sciences Education. 2023;7(1):831–9. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.58258/jisip.v7i1.4854>
17. . Moumtaza FZ. The influence of social media marketing, influencer marketing, and brand image on the TikTok application on purchasing decisions for Muslim fashion products (case study of students at the Islamic University of Indonesia) [thesis]. Islamic University of Indonesia; 2022. Available from: <https://dspace.uii.ac.id/bitstream/handle/123456789/38715/17423062.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>
18. . Nahari AYR, Aji GG. The Influence of Using Social Media Tiktok @erigo.store on Erigo Product Purchasing Decisions. The Commercium [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2024 Aug 28];5(1):20–30. Available from: <https://ejournal.unesa.ac.id/index.php/Commercium/article/view/44023%0A>
19. . Wu S. The relationship between consumer characteristics and attitude toward online shopping. Marketing Intelligence & Planning. 2003;21(1):37–44. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02634500310458135>
20. Sarino A. Efforts to Create Customer Satisfaction with Service Quality Management (Servqual). MANAGEMENT: Journal of Management Innovation and Educational Supervision [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2024 Aug 28];9(17):19–24. Available from: <https://www.neliti.com/publications/147427/upaya-menciptakan-kepuasan-pelanggan-dengan-pengelolaan-service-quality-servqual>
21. Kaura V, Datta SK. Impact of Service Quality on Satisfaction in the Indian Banking Sector. IUP Journal of Marketing Management [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2024 Aug 28];11(3):38. Available from: <https://openurl.ebsco.com/EPDB%3Agcd%3A7%3A4107655/detailv2?sid=ebsco%3Aplink%3Ascholar&id=ebsco%3Agcd%3A79827830&crl=c>
22. Rojuaniah R, Savira KP, Syah TYR, Havidz ILH, Winanta TT. Service Quality, Experience, Satisfaction, and Complaint Behavior Towards Customer Loyalty. Ekonomis: Journal of Economics and Business. 2024;8(1):329. <https://doi.org/10.33087/ekonomis.v8i1.1386>
23. . Suarti NB. Elements of Service Quality That Impact Customer Satisfaction. KINERJA: Journal of Organizational and Industrial Management [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2024 Aug 28];18(2):274–8. Available from: <https://journal.feb.unmul.ac.id/index.php/KINERJA/article/view/9686/1288>

24. . Punyatoya P. Effects of cognitive and affective trust on online customer behavior. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*. 2019;37(1):80–96. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-02-2018-0058>
25. . Sullivan YW, Kim DJ. Assessing the effects of consumers' product evaluations and trust on repurchase intention in e-commerce environments. *International Journal of Information Management*. 2018;39:199–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2017.12.008>
26. . Trivedi SK, Yadav M. Repurchase intentions in generation Y: mediation of trust and e-satisfaction. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*. 2020;38(4):401–15. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-02-2019-0072>
27. Shirkhodaie M, Deylami MR. Positive Word of Mouth Marketing: Explaining the Roles of Value Congruity and Brand Love. *Journal of Competitiveness*. 2016;8(1):19–37. <https://doi.org/10.7441/joc.2016.01.02>
27. Suratno, Fathoni A, Haryono T. Pengaruh Citra Perusahaan Dan Kualitas Pelayanan Terhadap Loyalitas Pelanggan Dan Kepuasan Konsumen Sebagai Variabel Intervening di PT. Pelabuhan III Semarang. *Journal of Management [Internet]*. 2016 [cited 2024 Aug 28];2(2). Available from: <https://tinyurl.com/3zse7sjs>
28. . Iwan I, Purwatiningsih P. The Influence of Security and Trust on Repurchase Intention (Case Study on Shopee in West Jakarta). *Jurnal Perspektif*. 2023;21(1):96–100. <https://doi.org/10.31294/jp.v21i1.15501>
29. . Wijyanthi NPP, Goca IGPAW. The Influence of Trust, Product Quality, and Consumer Satisfaction on Repurchase Intention at Tokopedia. *Jurnal Manajemen Dan Bisnis Equilibrium*. 2022;8(1):102–17. https://doi.org/10.47329/jurnal_mbe.v8i1.844
30. . Rizanata MF. The Influence of Customer Trust on Word of Mouth, Purchase Intention and Customer Retention at Indomaret Outlets in Surabaya. *Journal of Business and Banking*. 2014;4(1):31. <https://doi.org/10.14414/jbb.v4i1.291>
31. . Putra FKC, Farida N. The Influence of Customer Value and Sales Promotion on Repurchase Intention Through Customer Satisfaction (A Study on Alfa Cell Consumers in Semarang) (Diponegoro University). *Diponegoro University [Internet]*. 2021 [cited 2024 Aug 28]. Available from: <https://eprints2.undip.ac.id/id/eprint/8218/>
32. Ardianto Y, Thalib S, Riskarini D. Analysis of Repurchase Intention Through Customer Satisfaction Viewed from Experiential Marketing and Servicescape. *JRB-Journal of Business Research*. 2021;4(2):155–72. <https://doi.org/10.35814/jrb.v4i2.1724>
33. . Agustin RP, Suparwo A, Yuliyana W, Sunarsi D, Nurjaya N. The Influence of Service Quality on Customer Satisfaction and its Impact on Word of Mouth of Nenkin Management Services at CV Speed Nenkin. *JIIP - Scientific Journal of Educational Sciences*. 2021;4(3):186–90. <https://doi.org/10.54371/jiip.v4i3.249>
34. . Oktaviani N, Astuti W, Firdiansjah A. The Influence of Consumer Satisfaction on the Formation of Customer Commitment and E-Wom in Users of the E-Money Application "OVO." *Journal of Management and Service Marketing*. 2019;12(1):93–112. <https://doi.org/10.25105/jmpj.v12i1.3757>
35. Daryanti P, Shihab MS. Customer Satisfaction Analysis Using the E-servequal Concept (Case Study of Shopee Customers). *Journal of Entrepreneurship*,

Management and Industry (JEMI) [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2024 Aug 28];2(2):79–89. Available from: <https://journal.bakrie.ac.id/index.php/JEMI/article/view/1915>

36. . Mahendra AR, Mugiono. The Influence of E-Service Quality, E-Word of Mouth, and E-Customer Trust on Repurchase Intention of E-Commerce Users. *Journal of Marketing Management and Consumer Behavior*. 2022;1(4):476–86. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.21776/jmppk.2022.01.4.07>
37. . Bhat SA, Darzi MA. Online Service Quality Determinants and E-trust in Internet Shopping: A Psychometric Approach. *Vikalpa: The Journal for Decision Makers*. 2020;45(4):207–22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02560909211012806>
38. . Widokarti JR, Sitaniapessy A, Sitaniapessy HAP. The Impact of E-Service Quality, Trust and Satisfaction on Repurchase Intention. *Public Policy: Journal of Public Policy & Business Applications* [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2024 Aug 28];4(2):379–90. Available from: <https://stia-saidwenang.e-journal.id/ppj>
39. . Kaya B, Behravesh E, Abubakar AM, Kaya OS, Orús C. The Moderating Role of Website Familiarity in the Relationships Between e-Service Quality, e-Satisfaction and e-Loyalty. *Journal of Internet Commerce*. 2019;18(4):369–94. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15332861.2019.1668658>
40. . Teimouri H, Rafiei Samani S, Emami S, Hamidipour S. Studying the Key indicators of e-service quality in the success of e-commerce. 8th International Conference on E-Commerce in Developing Countries: With Focus on e-Trust. IEEE; 2014. p. 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ECDC.2014.6836768>
41. . Ilham R. Improve quality of e-loyalty in online food delivery services: A case of Indonesia. *J Theor Appl Inf Technol*. 2018;96(15):4760–9. Available from: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85051936021&partnerID=40&md5=75a4c445bdd4be289a99e5952e548b64>
42. . Romadhan MR, Indriastuty I, Prihandoyo C. E-Service Quality Consumer Satisfaction Through E-Commerce Against Loyalty Consumer. *J GeoEcon*. 2019;10(2):150–63. <https://doi.org/10.36277/geoeconomics.v10i2.90>
43. . Thenmozhi SP, Vijayanand S, Arumugam A. A Study On Impact Of Online Service Quality On Customer Satisfaction In E - Retailing Business. *Serb J Manag*. 2022;17(1):61–71. <https://doi.org/10.5937/sjm17-25927>
44. . Juwaini A, Chidir G, Novitasari D, Iskandar J, Hutagalung D, Pramono T, et al. The role of customer e-trust, customer e-service quality and customer e-satisfaction on customer e-loyalty. *Int J Data Netw Sci*. 2022;6(2):477–86. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2021.12.006>
45. . Zhou R, Wang X, Shi Y, Zhang R, Zhang L, Guo H. Measuring e-service quality and its importance to customer satisfaction and loyalty : an empirical study in a telecom setting. *Electron Commer Res*. 2019;19(3):477–99. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10660-018-9301-3>
46. . Ihsan M, Siregar AP. The Influence of E-service Quality on the Decision of Online Motorcycle Taxi Users Go-Ride in the Go-Jek Application through Consumer Trust in Jambi City. *J-MAS (J Manaj Sains)*. 2019;4(1):170. <https://doi.org/10.33087/jmas.v4i1.85>
47. . Nurhaliza, Sugianto. The Influence of E-Service Quality and Perceived Usefulness on the Decision to Use Gojek Transportation Services with Trust as an Intervening

Variable on Students of UIN North Sumatra. *Ad-Deenar J Islamic Business Econ.* 2023;6(2):399–412. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.30868/ad.v6i02.3707>

48. . Priambodo DA, Farida N. The Influence of E-Website Quality and E-Service Quality on E-Repurchase Intention through E-Trust (Study on Consumers of Lazada Fashion Products of Diponegoro University Students). *J Ilmu Adm Bisnis.* 2020;9(3):335–44. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jiab.2020.28113>
49. . Tandon U, Kiran R, Sah AN. Customer Satisfaction as a mediator between website service quality and repurchase intention: An emerging economy case. *Serv Sci.* 2017;9(2):106–20. <https://doi.org/10.1287/serv.2016.0159>
50. . Hikmah AN, Riptiono S. The Influence of Customer Engagement and E-Service Quality on Online Repurchase Intention with Customer Satisfaction as an Intervening Variable on the Shopee Marketplace. *J Ilm Mahasiswa Manaj Bisnis Akunt.* 2020;2(1):89–100. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.32639/jimmba.v2i1.447>
51. . Li Z, Zeng Q, Cheng X. Mechanism Study of Repurchase Intention in Cross-Border E-Commerce. In: *Lecture Notes on Multidisciplinary Industrial Engineering: Vol Part F46.* 2019. p. 1465–75. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-93351-1_115
52. . Zoghلامي AT, Yahia KB, Berraies S. From mobile service quality evaluation to E-word-of-mouth: What makes the users of mobile banking applications speak about the bank?: The moderating role of brand reputation. *Int J E-Serv Mob Appl.* 2018;10(2):36–57. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJESMA.2018040103>
53. . Sudrajat D, Aliviani A, Yudho MPL, Larasati A, Caesar LAY. Why E-Servicescape Matters for Positive Word-of-Mouth: An Empirical Study in Online Food Delivery Service During COVID-19 Pandemic in Indonesia. *ACM Int Conf Proceedings Ser.* 2022;6–10. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3556089.3556184>
54. Kartika Y, Shihab MS. Building Positive E-WOM through E-Service Quality, Trust and Satisfaction. *JEMI J Entrep Manag Ind.* 2019;2(4):195–204. Available from: <https://journal.bakrie.ac.id/index.php/JEMI/article/view/1942>
55. Morey KA, Aguilar AC, Andia MM. Beyond online sales: From service quality to e-loyalty and word of mouth. *Contad Admin.* 2023;68(4):317–44. <https://doi.org/10.22201/fca.24488410e.2023.4712>
56. . Pratama RS, Prabu AKR, Sadat AM. The Influence of E-Promotion, E-Service Quality on Repurchase Intention through E-Word of Mouth: Study on the Coffee Shop Application in DKI Jakarta. *J Business Financial Management.* 2023;4(1):61–74. Available from: <https://journal.unj.ac.id/unj/index.php/jbmk/article/view/31804/15086>
57. James K, Babin BJ, Borges A. Retailer Success: Value and Satisfaction. In: *Developments in Marketing Science: Proceedings of the Academy of Marketing Science.* 2015. p. 436–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-10951-0_162
58. Chowdhury S. Assessing service quality and customer satisfaction: A study based on organized retail outlets in Rajasthan. *Int J Adv Sci Technol.* 2020;29(3):4382–94. Available from: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85082122667&partnerID=40&md5=7954622e34d8bac791e85e2c31941905>
59. . Prasetyo AN, Yusran HL. The Influence of E-Service Quality and E-Trust on Repurchase Intention Through E-Satisfaction on E-Commerce Users. *Int J Demos.* 2022;4(1):137–51. Available from: http://www.karyailmiah.trisakti.ac.id/uploads/kilmiah/dosen/Pengaruh_E-Service_Quality.pdf

60. . Kotler P, Keller KL. Marketing Management. Harlow: Pearson Education Limited; 2016.
61. . Akther R, Alam MA, Roy D. Consumers' expectation and perception toward mobile telecommunication usage in Bangladesh. *Asian Bus Rev.* 2016;6(1):57–64. Available from: <https://tinyurl.com/4f6nkhur>
62. . Tjiptono F, Diana A. Customer Satisfaction Management and Strategy. Yogyakarta: Andi; 2022.
63. . Kurniadi H, Rana JAS. The power of trust: How does consumer trust impact satisfaction and loyalty in Indonesian digital business? *Innov Mark.* 2023;19(2):236–49. [https://doi.org/10.21511/im.19\(2\).2023.19](https://doi.org/10.21511/im.19(2).2023.19)
64. . Gultom DK, Arif M, Fahmi M. Determination of Customer Satisfaction on Customer Loyalty Through Trust. *Maneggio J Ilm Magister Manaj.* 2020;3(2). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.30596/maneggio.v3i2.5290>
65. . Nafisah TD, Albari. The Effect Of E-Service Quality On Repurchase Intention With Customer Satisfaction And Customer Trust As A Mediation In E-Commerce. *Asian J Manag Entrep Soc Sci.* 2024;4(1):405–20. Available from: <https://mail.ajmesc.com/index.php/ajmesc/article/view/627>
66. Ardiyanto RB, Kuswati R. The Influence of Customer Satisfaction on Customer Loyalty Mediated by Customer Trust at Bank Bri Syariah Surakarta (Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta). Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta; 2014. Available from: <https://eprints.ums.ac.id/31312/>
67. . Putera AD, Dalle J, Syafari MR. The Influence of Service Quality and Customer Satisfaction on Customer Trust and Loyalty of Active Saving Customers of PT Bank Bukopin Banjarmasin Branch. *J Bisnis Pembang.* 2021;10(2):1. <https://doi.org/10.20527/jbp.v10i2.10952>
68. . Chinomona R, Dubihlela D. Does customer satisfaction lead to customer trust, loyalty and repurchase intention of local store brands? The case of gauteng province of South Africa. *Mediterr J Soc Sci.* 2014;5(9):23–32. <https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2014.v5n9p23>
69. Wiryana NY, Erdiansyah R. The Influence of E-Service Quality and Perceived Value on Repurchase Intention with Customer Satisfaction as a Mediating Variable in E-Commerce Business. *J Manaj Bisnis Kewirausahaan.* 2020;4(5):217–23. <https://doi.org/10.24912/jmbk.v4i5.9231>
70. . Purbasari DM, Purnamasari DL. The Influence of Service Quality and Customer Satisfaction on Repeat Purchase. *J Inspir Bisnis Manaj.* 2018;2(1):43–54. Available from: <http://jurnal.unswagati.ac.id/index.php/jibm>
71. . Wiatna RA, Sanaji S. The Influence of E-Service Quality on Repurchase Intention through E-Satisfaction on E-Grocery Application Users. *Nomicpedia J Econ Bus Innov.* 2022;2(2):142–58. Available from: <https://journal.inspirasi.or.id/nomicpedia/article/view/184>
72. . Kurniasih D, Rozi A. Consumer Satisfaction (A Study on Word of Mouth, Service Quality, and Brand Image). Banten: Bintang Sembilan Visitama; 2021.

73. . Wahyuni NKS, Ekawati NW. The Role of Customer Satisfaction in Mediating Service Quality towards Word Of Mouth. *E-J Manaj Univ Udayana*. 2018;7(5):2823–55. <https://doi.org/10.24843/EJMUNUD.2018.v07.i05.p20>
74. . Tran GA, Strutton D. Comparing email and SNS users: Investigating e-servicescape, customer reviews, trust, loyalty and E-WOM. *J Retail Consum Serv*. 2020;53:101782. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.03.009>
75. Mosavi SA, Ghaedi M. A survey on the relationship between trust, customer loyalty, commitment and repurchase intention. *Afr J Bus Manag*. 2012;6(36):10089–98. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJBM11.2741>
76. . Resika Y, Wahab Z, Shihab MS. Customer Perceived Value and Customer Trust: Identifying Go-Car Consumer Satisfaction and Loyalty. *J Manaj Bus Rev*. 2019;16(1):1–22. Available from: <https://tinyurl.com/2axwuphn>
77. . Chetioui Y, Lebdaoui H, Chetioui H. Factors Influencing Consumer Attitudes Toward Online Shopping: The Mediating Effect of Trust. *EuroMed J Bus*. 2021;16(4):544–63. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EMJB-05-2020-0046>
78. . Meilatinova N. Social Commerce: Factors Affecting Customer Repurchase and Word-Of-Mouth Intentions. *Int J Inf Manag*. 2021;57:102300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102300>
79. . Yasri Y, Susanto P, Hoque ME, Gusti MA. Price perception and price appearance on repurchase intention of Gen Y: do brand experience and brand preference mediate? *Heliyon*. 2020;6(11):e05532. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05532>
80. . Meidita Y, Suprpto S, Rokhmawati RI. The Influence of Service Quality on Customer Satisfaction, Trust and Loyalty in E-Commerce (Case Study: Shopee). *J Pengemb Teknol Inf Ilmu Comput*. 2018;2(11):5682–90. Available from: <https://j-ptiik.ub.ac.id/index.php/j-ptiik/article/view/3399>
81. 76. Sánchez RA, Illescas RA, Molinillo S, López FJM. Trust and loyalty in online brand communities. *Span J Mark ESIC*. 2020;24(2):177–91. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SJME-01-2020-0004> 77. Fatma M, Khan I. An Integrative Framework to Explore Corporate Ability and Corporate Social Responsibility Association's Influence on Consumer Responses in the Banking Sector. *Sustainability*. 2023;15(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15107988> 78. Filieri R, Alguezai S, McLeay F. Why do travelers trust TripAdvisor? Antecedents of trust towards consumer-generated media and its influence on recommendation adoption and word of mouth. *Tour Manag*. 2015;51:174–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2015.05.007>
82. . Cuong DT. The Relationship Between Product Quality, Brand Image, Purchase Decision, and Repurchase Intention. *Lect Notes Netw Syst*. 2022;299:533–45. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-82616-1_44 80. Sugito, Lubis AN, Rini ES, Absah Y. The determinant analysis that affects the modern retail image and its implications for consumers' repurchase intention in Medan, Indonesia. *Int J Civ Eng Technol*. 2018;9(13):1330–54. Available from: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85059582183&partnerID=40&md5=6e0e187901c414341464c05d5130c300>

83. . Sari NKAY, Rastini NM. The Influence of E-WOM, Trust, and Satisfaction on Repurchase Intention: A Study on Shopee Site Customers. *E-J Manaj.* 2022;11(4):635–57. <https://doi.org/10.24843/EJMUNUD.2022.v11.i04.p01>
84. Wang H, Du R, Olsen T. Feedback mechanisms and consumer satisfaction, trust and repurchase intention in online retail. *Inf Syst Manag.* 2018;35(3):201–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10580530.2018.1477301>
85. . Hariono L. Can E-WOM Beat WOM in Influencing Sales. *Competence.* 2018;12(1):12–23. <https://doi.org/10.21107/competence.v12i1.4946>
86. . López M, Sicilia M. Determinants of E-WOM Influence: The Role of Consumers' Internet Experience. *J Theor Appl Electron Commer Res.* 2014;9(1):7–8. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-18762014000100004>
87. . Hair JF, Black WC, Babin BJ, Anderson RE. *Multivariate Data Analysis.* 9th ed. Boston: Cengage Learning; 2021.
88. . Siregar ZME, Parlaungan A, Supriadi YN, Ende. *Structural Equation Modeling Publish Concept and Its Implementation in Management Science Studies Using Amos.* Yogyakarta: Deepublish; 2021.
89. . Bahri S. *Business research methodology: complete with processing techniques.* Risanto E, editor. Yogyakarta: Andi Offset; 2018.
90. . Ramlall I. Model Specification: Path Diagram in SEM. In: *Applied Structural Equation Modeling for Researchers and Practitioners.* Emerald Group Publishing Limited; 2016. p. 29–50. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-78635-883-720161008>
91. . Abdillah W, Hartono J. *Partial least square (PLS) Alternative structural equation modeling (SEM) in business research.* Yogyakarta: Andi Offset; 2015.
92. Ghozali I. *Quantitative and qualitative research design: for accounting, business, and other social sciences.* Palangkaraya: IAIN Palangkaraya; 2017.
93. . Chuang L-W, Chiu S-P. Analysis on consumer's repurchase intention of online shopping. *Proceedings - 2017 Int Conf Green Informat ICGI 2017.* 2017;155–8. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICGI.2017.49>
94. 9. Siagian FR, Nst AA, Sijabat FTJ, Samosir LN, Mawaddah S, Siregar OM, et al. Analysis of the Influence of Customer Satisfaction on Repurchase Intention on the Shopee Application (Study on Undergraduate Students Who Use the Shopee Application at the University of North Sumatra). *J Economics and Digital Business.* 2024;1(4):873–880. Available from: <https://jurnal.ittc.web.id/index.php/jebd/article/view/1034>