

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Advances in Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AIR_122582 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Geo-sustainability Issues and Remediation: Sripur Coalmine Areas West Bengal, India |
| Type of the Article | Research article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of „**Jack of Novelty**“, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript is very relevant in the present global crisis, mainly SDGs. The manuscript presented the issues concerning the sustainability of mining and recommended the valuable suggestions for the mining sectors | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The manuscript title is perfect | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract of the manuscript in comprehensive | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | The subsection of the manuscript should revised, it is not perfect | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be | Manuscript is very relevant in line of SDGs, and mining sustainability is a serious issues across the globe. So in that direction the study of this manuscript is very effective | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Suggesting to the author, kindly cite very recent references of the SCI journal | |
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | English grammar must be revised | |
| Optional/General comments | Please revised and correct the figure and tables in the manuscript | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Name: | Bishnu Pada Bose |
| Department, University & Country | India |