

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123166
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on status of mushroom pests, pesticides usage and multi-residue analysis methods
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript provides a comprehensive review on the status of mushroom pests, pesticide usage, and multi-residue analysis methods. The topic is highly relevant given the increasing importance of food safety and the need for accurate detection of pesticide residues. The manuscript is scientifically sound and technically robust, although some updates to references and minor grammatical improvements are suggested.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The current title is suitable, but a minor revision could enhance clarity: 'A Review on the Status of Mushroom Pests, Pesticide Usage, and Multi-Residue Analysis Methods'.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is comprehensive, effectively summarizing the key points of the manuscript. However, some sentences could be broken down to improve clarity. Consider rephrasing lengthy sentences for better readability.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The manuscript is well-organized with clear subsections. Each section logically progresses from one to the next, supporting the overall flow of the paper.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. It provides a thorough review of current knowledge and methodologies. Inclusion of more recent studies, especially from the last 2-3 years, would strengthen the discussion.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient but include some older sources. It would be beneficial to add more recent studies to reflect the latest advancements in the field.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language is generally clear and suitable for scholarly communication. Minor grammatical improvements could enhance readability and clarity.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Aloke Saha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Kalyani, India</b>