

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_121052
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Probiotics and Prebiotics in Human Health and Nutrition- A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Its an important topic for routine medical practice. The author has emphasise more on the basic informations or basic details details already known and available in text books and in literature instead the author should emphasise more on the practical aspects of the topic. Latest literature search and discussion from literature should be included.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No – its too elaborate and should include rational/ justification of this review and precise informations new for readers	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not all the section B should be revised and only important or latest information should be added Section of emerging trends should be more details and include latest literature review	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This review needs revision- the search criteria , key words used for search , search engine used and inclusion and exclusion criteria of scientific papers used in this review should be mentioned precisely. Basic or known information should be removed from the manuscript and last part “emerging tren” section should be more detailed with latest literatute quotes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More than 5 year old references should be revised and unless of historic importance or some important research – should be removed	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs revision at places	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete omit all known, common facts and details beyond the scope of the objective.</li> <li>• Delete /omit all repetitions</li> <li>• Be precise, and to the point - no unnecessary details.</li> <li>• Sentences should be coherent, containing precise details and well-constructed</li> <li>• Check for Grammar at places</li> <li>• The objective, study design and conclusion should be the same everywhere in the manuscript.</li> <li>• Don't use one or two-sentence paragraphs, express one idea in one paragraph with the outcome in the last sentence of that paragraph.</li> <li>• Give a conclusion based on your results- not your ideas or recommendations.</li> </ul>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ishtiaq Ahmed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Isra University, Al-Nafees Medical Collage, Pakistan</b>