

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_123132
Title of the Manuscript:	EMPOWERING UNIVERSITY GRADUATES FOR SELF-DEFINED CAREERS VIA ENTREPRENEURSHIP: A ROADMAP
Type of the Article	Education

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Title of the article is "Empowering University Graduates in India to Pursue Self-defined Careers through Entrepreneurship.</p> <p>Report:</p> <p>1.-) About the quality of the Abstract:</p> <p>The abstract of the article titled "Empowering University Graduates for Self-defined Careers via Entrepreneurship: A Roadmap" provides a concise overview of the research focus. It highlights the increasing unemployment among educated groups in India as a significant socio-economic issue, while also emphasizing the role of the higher education system in generating successful entrepreneurs. However, the abstract could be improved by including specific findings or recommendations from the study to give readers a clearer understanding of the research outcomes. Additionally, it could benefit from a more structured format that outlines the purpose, methodology, key results, and conclusions succinctly. Overall, while it sets the context well, enhancing the detail and clarity would strengthen its quality.</p> <p>2.-) Refer to the overall quality of the manuscript:</p> <p>The overall quality of the manuscript appears to be well-structured and focused on addressing the critical issue of entrepreneurship education among university students in India. Here are some key points regarding its quality:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clarity and Structure: The manuscript is organized into clear sections, including an introduction, objectives, methodology, results, and discussion. This structure helps guide the reader through the research process and findings. 2. Research Relevance: The study addresses a pertinent issue—low entrepreneurial behavior among university students—making it relevant to current socio-economic challenges in India. The focus on agricultural students adds a specific context that enhances its applicability. 3. Methodology: The use of a questionnaire and statistical analysis (SPSS) to gather and analyze data is appropriate for the research objectives. The inclusion of demographic considerations, such as gender balance, strengthens the study's validity. 4. Findings and Recommendations: The manuscript presents findings that highlight the lack of confidence and skills among students, along with recommendations for improving entrepreneurship education. This practical approach is beneficial for educators and policymakers. 5. Literature Review: The manuscript references existing literature, which supports its claims and situates the research within the broader academic discourse on entrepreneurship education. 6. Areas for Improvement: While the manuscript is strong overall, it could benefit from more 	

Review Form 3

	<p>detailed discussions on specific findings and their implications. Additionally, a more comprehensive conclusion summarizing the key insights and future directions for research would enhance its impact.</p> <p>In summary, the manuscript is of good quality, effectively addressing the challenges of entrepreneurship education in India, but could be further strengthened with more detailed findings and a robust conclusion.</p> <p>3.-) Is the article suitable to be published in a good journal?</p> <p>Based on the provided information about the manuscript, it appears to have several strengths that could make it suitable for publication in a good journal, particularly those focused on education, entrepreneurship, or socio-economic development. Here are some considerations regarding its suitability for publication:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Relevance: The topic addresses a significant issue—entrepreneurship education among university students in India—making it relevant to current academic and practical discussions in the field.2. Research Rigor: The use of a structured methodology, including a questionnaire and statistical analysis, indicates a rigorous approach to data collection and analysis, which is essential for publication in reputable journals.3. Contribution to Knowledge: The manuscript offers insights into the challenges faced by students in becoming entrepreneurs and provides recommendations for improving educational frameworks. This contribution is valuable for educators, policymakers, and researchers.4. Literature Contextualization: The manuscript references existing literature, which situates the research within the broader academic discourse and demonstrates an understanding of the field.5. Clarity and Structure: The clear organization of the manuscript into sections enhances readability and comprehension, which is important for journal publication. <p>Areas for Improvement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Depth of Analysis: The manuscript could benefit from a deeper analysis of the findings and their implications, which would strengthen its contribution to the field.• Conclusion and Future Directions: A more comprehensive conclusion that summarizes key insights and suggests future research directions would enhance the manuscript's impact. <p>Final Assessment: If the manuscript addresses the areas for improvement and adheres to the specific guidelines of the target journal, it has the potential to be suitable for publication in a good journal.</p> <p>4.-) About the quality of the conclusions:</p> <p>The quality of the conclusions in the manuscript can be assessed based on several criteria:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Clarity: The conclusions should clearly summarize the key findings of the research. If the manuscript effectively encapsulates the main insights derived from the data and analysis, this would indicate a strong conclusion.2. Relevance: The conclusions should directly relate to the research objectives and questions posed at the beginning of the study. They should reflect the significance of the findings in the context of entrepreneurship education and the challenges faced by students.3. Implications: A high-quality conclusion will not only summarize findings but also discuss their implications for practice, policy, and future research. If the manuscript provides actionable recommendations for improving entrepreneurship education and addresses how these findings can influence educational practices or policies, this would enhance the quality of the conclusions.4. Future Research Directions: Good conclusions often suggest areas for further research, highlighting gaps in the current study or new questions that have emerged. This demonstrates the ongoing relevance of the topic and encourages continued exploration in the field.5. Conciseness: The conclusions should be concise and focused, avoiding unnecessary repetition of earlier sections. They should provide a strong final statement that reinforces the importance of the research. <p>Assessment: If the conclusions in the manuscript effectively meet these criteria—summarizing key findings, discussing implications, suggesting future research directions, and maintaining clarity and conciseness—then they would be considered of high quality. Conversely, if they lack depth, fail to connect back to the research objectives, or do not provide actionable insights, then the quality would</p>	
--	---	--

Review Form 3

	<p>be lower. In summary, the quality of the conclusions is crucial for the overall impact of the manuscript. If the conclusions are well-articulated and meaningful, they can significantly enhance the manuscript's contribution to the field and its suitability for publication.</p> <p>5.-) Is the article worth publishing?</p> <p>Based on the information provided about the manuscript, it appears to have several strengths that suggest it is worth publishing, particularly in a journal focused on education, entrepreneurship, or socio-economic development. Here are some key points to consider:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Relevance of the Topic: The manuscript addresses a significant issue—entrepreneurship education among university students in India—making it timely and relevant. Given the increasing focus on entrepreneurship as a means to combat unemployment and drive economic growth, this topic is of high interest to educators, policymakers, and researchers. 2. Research Rigor: The use of a structured methodology, including a questionnaire and statistical analysis (SPSS), indicates a rigorous approach to data collection and analysis. This methodological soundness is essential for publication in reputable journals. 3. Contribution to Knowledge: The manuscript provides valuable insights into the challenges faced by students in becoming entrepreneurs and offers recommendations for improving educational frameworks. This contribution is significant for advancing the field of entrepreneurship education. 4. Practical Implications: The findings and recommendations can have practical implications for universities and policymakers, making the research applicable beyond academia. This real-world relevance enhances the manuscript's value. 5. Literature Contextualization: The manuscript references existing literature, situating the research within the broader academic discourse. This demonstrates an understanding of the field and adds credibility to the study. <p>Considerations for Improvement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To strengthen its chances of publication, the manuscript should address any areas for improvement, such as providing a more in-depth analysis of findings, enhancing the conclusions, and ensuring clarity throughout the text. • Adhering to the specific guidelines and standards of the target journal is crucial for successful submission. <p>Final Assessment: Given its relevance, methodological rigor, and potential contributions to the field, the article appears to be worth publishing. If the authors refine the manuscript based on feedback and ensure it meets the standards of a good journal, it has the potential to make a meaningful impact in the field of entrepreneurship education.</p> <p>Final conclusions:</p> <p>The article is well written and in my view it has the potential to be published. I may, of course, be improved though the material is of good quality and deserves to be considered for publication.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Roberto Acevedo
Department, University & Country	San Sebastian University, Chile