

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_123132
Title of the Manuscript:	EMPOWERING UNIVERSITY GRADUATES FOR SELF-DEFINED CAREERS VIA ENTREPRENEURSHIP: A ROADMAP
Type of the Article	Manuscript

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is written on a very interesting topic which is entrepreneurship for students in the current context. I quite like this topic. This topic makes a good academic contribution to the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive. However, the abstract is too long. It should be 150-250 words.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, the material and methodology is too short. The references in materials is lack and not updated.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think that this manuscript is not scientifically robust and technically sound. The paper don't give a model to analysis. The objectives is not suitable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not sufficient and recent, The author should have more recent references in the paper.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		
	The article should be reorganized in terms of word count in each section. The results should focus on the main and salient results. The research methods and materials should be presented fully and in detail.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khanh Hung Doan
Department, University & Country	Hue University, Vietnam