

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_122221
Title of the Manuscript:	Hypolipidemic Activity Of Manjal Noiku Kudineer Against Atherogenic Diet-Induced Hyperlipidemia In Experimental Rats
Type of the Article	Experimental

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is interesting because it seems to be the first study to test the <i>Manjal Noiku Kudineer</i> prescription of on lipid profile	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest to change the title. Experimental study of hypolipidemic effect of <i>Manjal Noiku Kudineer</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not structured I should be divided in 3 distinguished parts: Objective, Method, results and conclusion	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The methodology is robust with group control The study is experimental and comparative also because there is a group treated with atorvastatin and this should be mentioned in the methodology. There is a repetition in the figure and table: I mean the author should choose to illustrate the results with table or figure not both There is a mistake in the figure 1 with the atorvastatin Group The unity of the different parameters are missing (the weight and lipid parameters) The Asterix should be detailed in the bottom of the figure or the table	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manel Jemel
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Nutrition and Food Technology, Tunisia