

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JESBS_121292</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>SOCIAL MEDIA ADDICTION AND IT'S IMPACT ON UNIVERSITY OF CAPE COAST DISTANCE EDUCATION STUDENTS' ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is important to assess the use of social media on academic performance of students. The author presents and analyses the results of 267 surveys. The methodology is properly selected and explained. The author presents some valuable recommendations how to control students' addiction on social media use.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I suggest to resume the methodology part and add something more on conclusions	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Because it has solid theoretical support. Additionally, it is based on field study results. 237 surveys were applied, and the results properly discussed. The conclusions based on the results and useful recommendations are formulated for the school and parents.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	It is a problem with the references: 1) Citations in the text are not in "References" section, e.g. Caratiquit & Pablo (2021); Xia (2013), Kolan and Dzandza (2018), and more... 2) Many citations in "Reference" section are not in the text, e.g. Amin et al (2015); Amadi &Ewa (2018); Neuman (2007), and more... In general, list of references is too long and not used in the text.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor revision of English drafting and grammar suggested.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	It is very important to fix the reference problem. The section on "Data Collection" and "Data processing" should be drafted in past, not in future.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Antonina Ivanova Boncheva</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Autónoma de Baja California Sur, México</b>