

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_122815
Title of the Manuscript:	YIELD, NUTRIENT AVAILABILITY AND SOIL PROPERTIES OF PEARL MILLET AS INFLUENCED BY ENRICHED PHOSPHOCOMPOST
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is relevant to farmers and agriculturist in terms of the yield performance of the sample, the nutrient uptake, and the soil chemical properties. Which are components of a good soil for planting samples.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yield, Nutrient Availability, and Soil Properties...	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract discussion should be improved. It is relevant that key data are discussed here so that the readers will go thru your paper.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The conclusion must be elaborately discussed. Add 2-3 more sentences.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper offers a new perspective on soil science and plant propagation. However, the researchers may consider converting some of the text to graphics to better convey the results to the reader.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes!	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Flyndon Mark S. Dagalea
Department, University & Country	University of Eastern Philippines, Philippines