

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_122390
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Fungicides against <i>Fusarium pallidoroseum</i> (Cooke) Sacc. causing Wilt of Coriander in vitro
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript provide scientific information and written well. But I have compline in the applicability of this research? Because, in may cause laboratory research output is not effective under field conditions due external factor like environmental, host interaction and pathogen. The pathogen is mainly soil born and it is difficult to manage under field conditions in fungicides treatment only. it should be supported with other management option under farm land. However regarding your result in laboratory there is visible difference between treatment and good.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the research is suitable and acceptable.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive and provides a clear conclusion of the experiment. Almost it contains the structure of the abstract (methods, results, and conclusions). However, in order to increase the readability and soundness the study try to recommend the best treatment at the end of the abstract and remove few unimportant words.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsection and the structure of the manuscript are well-presented with clear tables and figures? But the places where table and figure are situated are wrong. It was placed after the reference which is quit wrong and please put in result and discussion part. Table and figure should be referenced. Please try to ensure the consistency of fungicide names and application rates throughout the paper. The statistical method used for analysing your data was not transparent? You are mentioned only the authors you used. What else the methods?</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>You are conducting the research on newly reported <i>Fusarium pallidoroseum</i> (Cooke) Sacc, but you are discussing the economic importance of <i>F. solani</i> (Mart.) Sacc. or <i>F. oxysporum</i> f. sp. in relation to yield loss in coriander in the introduction part. If you are study on <i>Fusarium pallidoroseum</i>, why do you incorporate the recent finding and information about the importance of this recently reported disease?</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Additional references 4-5 are important in discussion part to strength your research finding</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is good and acceptable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Plases try to add few finding regarding in your study regarding the importance and environmental factor influence about this diseases. Because, the research finding should be practical for entire world.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Metiku Kebede Teka
Department, University & Country	Central Ethiopia Agricultural Institute, Werabe Agricultural Research Center, Ethiopia