

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_119619</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ANALYSIS OF COMBINING ABILITY VARIANCES AND THEIR EFFECTS ON YIELD AND ITS CONTRIBUTORY TRAITS IN GREENGRAM PROGENITORS AND OFFSPRINGS [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek].</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript evaluates combining ability variances and their effects on yield and its contributory traits in green gram progenitors and offsprings.</p> <p>The title of the manuscript is suitable.</p> <p>The article has comprehensive abstract.</p> <p>The entire sections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript's structure follows the scientific method.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Dear Authors, Try to follow the comments and suggestions, which are remarked in word version in Track change in the word file. All revisions should be addressed carefully to improve the manuscript.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Marwan Noori Ramadhan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Agriculture, University of Basrah, Iraq</b>