

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_123289</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>“AI-Driven Innovations in Stroke Care: Enhancing Diagnostic Accuracy, Treatment Efficacy, and Rehabilitation Outcomes”</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this manuscript. This review article describes the implication of these findings, emphasizing AI's transformative potential in improving clinical outcomes. This review article leads to current applications and outlining possible challenges that AI presents to stroke care. The aim of this article is to provide a complete picture of AI's place within stroke treatment. This manuscript reveals the necessity of continued innovation and collaboration within this sector to overcome existing hurdles and fully realize AI-powered healthcare solutions and hence the importance to the diagnosis field.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes comprehensive. No need for addition or deletion	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	While the manuscript is commendable in its depth and scope, minor adjustments such as limiting the use of quotation marks in the manuscript could enhance clarity and readability. As the author is involving the application of the CT and MRI, some of the images may be included. On the part of technical explanation the author does a good job.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are recent and sufficient	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Nothing in specific	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>S. Tamijeslvan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mother Theresa PG and Research Institute of Health Sciences, India</b>