

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_123289</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>“AI-Driven Innovations in Stroke Care: Enhancing Diagnostic Accuracy, Treatment Efficacy, and Rehabilitation Outcomes”</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article presents the thorough review of the AI driven stroke detection and prediction systems. This study presents the important considerations while building AI models for stroke systems and also summarizes the analysis about diagnosis accuracy, treatment efficacy and rehabilitation in detail for these AI based systems.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable. But, to publish it as a review article, the review or survey word is expected in the title.  Suggested Title: "Review of AI-Driven Innovations in Stroke Care: For Enhancing Diagnostic Accuracy, Treatment Efficacy, and Rehabilitation Outcomes"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract can be modified to add the findings at the end of the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper summarizes the study of almost 60+ research articles in the presented area. But, the table 2 summarizes very few recent studies, which is suggested to be increased to significant number. Min. 15 to 20 recent studies should be summarized. The work is useful to guide about future prospects to the the researchers who are working or appealing to work in the presented area.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Yes.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Formatting and diagrams can be refined to bring quality presentation.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Abhijit Dnyaneshwar Jadhav</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Pimpri Chinchwad College of Engineering and Research, India</b>