

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_122708</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LOSARTAN EYE DROPS FOR THE TREATMENT OF CORNEAL HAZE SECONDARY TO PRK/ LASIK/ PTK/ CROSSLINK: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Haze might be a devastating complication after many refractive and cornea procedures. This study may add value in managing this complication.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is well written article with detailed discussion of the different areas of the subject.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes I did.	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Needs some correction</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This study is interesting, and may be clinically useful for refractive and cornea surgeons, however, minor revision is needed before the study becomes ready for publication.</p> <p>General comments:</p> <p>1.the article will not be read by only refractive and cornea specialists, but by general ophthalmologists and young ophthalmologists. The authors should present more information regarding haze formation and when they expect it. e,g: Haze is much more expected after PRK than LASIK, and in particular with increased correction. Also is more expected after standard corneal cross-linking compared to accelerated corneal cross-linking.</p> <p>Salman AM, Darwish TR, Haddad YH, Shabaan RH, Askar MZ. Accelerated versus Standard Corneal Cross-linking for Progressive Keratoconus in Syria. J Ophthalmic Vis Res. 2021 Jul 29;16(3):338-348. doi: 10.18502/jovr.v16i3.9430. PMID: 34394862; PMCID: PMC8358747.</p> <p>2.the authors should describe whether topical Losartan should be used prophylactically or after haze formation, and the period of application as well.</p> <p>Abstract.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- "PRK, LASIK, PTK". Please, don't use abbreviations in the abstract without prior explanations.</li> <li>- "crosslinking". Add "corneal".</li> <li>- "haze. Corneal". Correct this term in the abstract and in the whole manuscript.</li> </ul> <p>Introduction.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Haze treatment corneal secondary to surgical procedures". Correct this sentence.</li> <li>- "PRK ( photorefractive keratectomy ), LASIK (laser in situ keratomileusis ), PTK ( phototherapeutic keratectomy )". Correct the places of brackets in the whole manuscript.</li> <li>- PRK ( photorefractive keratectomy ), LASIK (laser in situ keratomileusis ), PTK ( phototherapeutic keratectomy ). Put the abbreviations inside the brackets and after the explanation.</li> <li>- "Rodgers et al .". Leave no spaces between words and dots in the whole manuscript.</li> </ul> <p>METHODOLOGY.</p> <p>Detailed Exclusion Criteria: "relevance to the haze treatment context corneano ,". Correct this sentence.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Abdelrahman Salman</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Tishreen University, Syria</b></p>