

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_123228</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of nutritional quality parameters of green gram exposed to elevated levels of carbon dioxide for managing <i>Callosobruchus chinensis</i> (L.)</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is of important for farming community. As storage of seeds poses a serious challenge as to maintain them without damage. The outcome of this research can be effectively utilized for storing seeds without losing its nutritional values.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, suitable. Title of the article is opted for the research conducted	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is crisp and included the overall summary of the research.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate and well organized, but its better to include the tables before the results for better understanding. Now it has been placed at the end. Kindly look into this and replace if it is possible.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is robust and technically sound as the data is statistically analysed and well presented with sufficient references.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient references have been included but add recent references related to like this research if available, as all the references quoted are of older dates. Mohammad <i>et al</i> (2009) WHICH IS MENTIONED in text is missing in reference section. Ahmedani (2009) which is mentioned in reference section is no where mentioned in the text. Kindly go through them.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article is well written with simple and understandable English with no grammatical errors.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In introduction, its better to add area and production of green gram in India if available. Some typographical errors have been noticed. Correct them to enhance the beauty of the article.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sharath M N</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Horticultural Sciences, India</b>