

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_122632</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Seaweed Extract: Potential Applications in Fruit Crops</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

### Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The abstract contains some repetition of ideas and phrases that should be addressed. I recommend revising the abstract to eliminate redundancy, ensuring that it succinctly highlights the key findings and contributions of the study without unnecessary repetition.</li> <li>• The current review of literature is organized by individual crops, which can make it difficult to identify overarching themes. To improve clarity and structure, I suggest organizing the literature review under broader subheadings such as 'Temperate Fruit Crops,' 'Tropical Crops,' and 'Nut Crops,' rather than by individual crops. This will allow readers to better understand the context and relationships between different crops and research findings.</li> <li>• The manuscript contains several spelling and grammatical errors that need to be addressed. I recommend a thorough proofreading of the text to correct these errors.</li> <li>• The manuscript currently lacks a statement regarding any potential conflicts of interest. Please ensure that a clear and explicit declaration of conflict of interest is provided in accordance with the journal's policies.</li> <li>• Please include an 'Authors' Contributions' section that specifies the roles of each author in the research and writing process, as required by the journal's submission guidelines.</li> <li>• The conclusion section is currently very similar to the abstract. I recommend rewriting the conclusion to avoid redundancy and to provide a more distinct and reflective summary of the study's key contributions, implications, and future directions, rather than a repetition of the abstract content.</li> <li>• The references in the manuscript do not adhere to the formatting standards required by the journal. I kindly request the authors to revise the reference list to ensure compliance with the journal's guidelines. Please pay close attention to the citation style, order of elements (e.g., author names, publication year, title, journal name), and the use of punctuation, capitalization, and italics as specified by the journal's reference format.</li> <li>• In addition to the formatting concerns, there are numerous instances where references have been cited within the main text but are not listed in the reference section. Yabur et al., 2007, Mercier et al., 2001, Stubler and Buchenauer, 1996, Harrysson et al., 2018, Dufayard et al., 2017, Galili, 2002, Kalisch et al., 2016, Khan et al., 2009, Boukhari et al., 2020, Lovdal et al., 2021 etc. have been found to be missing in the reference section. Please ensure that all in-text citations are matched with corresponding entries in the reference list.</li> </ul>	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Neha Thakur</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, India</b></p>