

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJTDH_122626</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFICACY OF MALARIA SYMPTOMS AS AN INDICATOR OF MALARIA INFECTION AMONG STUDENTS OF A TERTIARY INSTITUTION IN OGUN STATE NIGERIA</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides insights into the relationship between common symptoms of malaria (such as fever, chills, and headache) and the presence of an actual malaria infection. It helps to evaluate the accuracy of symptom-based diagnosis and the importance of implementing more precise diagnostic methods. This manuscript can enhance our knowledge of the effectiveness of interventions and educational programs for various populations, including students, residing in malaria-affected areas. Additionally, it has the potential to influence health policies and guidelines, particularly in regions where healthcare infrastructure is limited and rapid diagnostic methods are essential.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract lacks clarity as it fails to effectively communicate the background, method, result, and conclusion.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Please revise the contents once more.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, I think this manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references provided are not sufficient, and some of them are outdated. Therefore, please ensure that recent references are used and that full names are included in the bibliography.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of the writing is good, but authors are advised to correct some grammar and punctuation.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The title is intriguing; however, the manuscript is poorly written.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Temesgen Mitiku</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Gondar, Ethiopia</b>