

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_116780
Title of the Manuscript:	WDXRF Analysis of White Colour Pigments Used by Ancient Artists in Central Tanzania Rock Paintings
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Manuscript is fit for scientific community. Detailed methods for sample collection, sample preparation is highlighted by the authors. Only Elemental analysis was done to draw the conclusions which seems inadequate to draw the conclusions. Additionally no research paper reference was given to draw the conclusions. Structural analysis of pigment should be done to further strengthen the conclusions</li> <li>2. Title is suitable</li> <li>3. Sampling methods should be removed from the abstract.</li> <li>4. Sample preparation for both WDXRF and CHN should be in single section</li> <li>5. Manuscript contains only results, discussion with reference to other researcher paper is lacking in manuscript. It looks like a report of result. More discussion should be added with reference.</li> <li>6. Manuscript is lacking reference in material, methods and results section</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	English quality is good.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Write the reference to equation 1	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vaishali Saroha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>IIT Delhi, India</b>