

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_121947
Title of the Manuscript:	An Evaluation of Anti-hyperlipidemic Activity of Ethanolic extract of Mimosa pudica on High Fat Induced Hyperlipidemic Rat Model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study attempted to consider the effect of the Anti-hyperlipidemic Activity of Ethanolic extract of Mimosa pudica on High Fat Induced Hyperlipidemic albino rats. The entire manuscript was badly written with many gaps in the methodology which makes recreation of the study impossible	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract was poorly written with no order. The methodology was not included and the results and conclusions were poorly presented	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Most of the sections were poorly written, the introduction was sparse, methodology was incomplete and the groups were not well presented. Tables 1 and 3 show several groupings which give a total of 9 groups, however, the authors mentioned 9 groups. Methodology is incomplete, Mode of administration, grouping, sacrifice, structures analysed was not indicated.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The results were poorly presented with all the data piled on a single table with no differentiation of the groups. The discussion was very sparse and inadequate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There is need to harmonize the reference style used	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language is very poor	
Optional/General comments	The entire manuscript has to be rewritten as the various segments are poorly written as indicated	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Martha Orendu Oche Attah
Department, University & Country	Cyprus International University, Cyprus