

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_123396
Title of the Manuscript:	AI at the Crossroads: Contrasting Digital Economies in Europe and Africa
Type of the Article	Review

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I went through the manuscript (Manuscript Number Ms_AJRCOS_123396) I believe that paper quality has maintained with your journal quality. The decision for publication is accepted with major correction. I like to work this research because it would significance to the researcher and academia. However, I mention the all comments to author sections which might be visible to you.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Research gaps must be justified with 5-6 citations. 2. Need rewrite on abstract part. 3. Some corrections might be solved to publish it. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Suggested title is "AI and Digital Economies: A Comparative Analysis of Europe and Africa"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Abstract needs to rewrite. 1-2 sentences for topic oriented, 2 sentences for objectives, 3 sentences for Methodology, 3 sentences for Discussion/Recommendations, 2 sentences implications, 1 sentences for limitation, 1 sentence for Future Direction.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Methodology must be included to articulate the way of research writing. You might check and use some citations and format of article.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Prospective Areas of Digital Economy in the Context of ICT Usages: An Empirical Study in Bangladesh 2. Examining the Digital Transformation and Digital Entrepreneurship: A PRISMA Based Systematic Review 3. Gravitating towards the Digital Economy: Opportunities and Challenges for Transforming Smart Bangladesh 	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Research gaps must be justified with 5-6 citations. 2. Need rewrite on abstract part. 3. Some corrections might be solved to publish it. 4. Add methodology part. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :</p>	<p>Please add some references, such as</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Prospective Areas of Digital Economy in the Context of ICT Usages: An Empirical Study in Bangladesh 2. Examining the Digital Transformation and Digital Entrepreneurship: A PRISMA Based Systematic Review 3. Gravitating towards the Digital Economy: Opportunities and Challenges for Transforming Smart Bangladesh 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language quality is ok. Not need to proof reading of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Limitations of the Study should be discussed after the conclusion part of this study.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Rakibul Islam Bhuiyan
Department, University & Country	Begum Rokeya University, Bangladesh