

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_123396
Title of the Manuscript:	AI at the Crossroads: Contrasting Digital Economies in Europe and Africa
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The abstract and paper contents are not matched. Author mainly claims the following from its abstract: (a) "comprehensive review", >> where there are certain steps to perform comprehensive review and the contents do not indicate that it is a comprehensive review. (b) "Examines AI's impact of policy formulations and governance" >> the measurable procedure and technique of examination of AI's impact are not presented well. Expected to have certain examinations and their results. (c) "addresses critical issues such as data privacy, security, and the necessity" >> I have seen only the brief review/overview of such issues and how those issues are to be addressed I the context of developing country environment is not clear. - Maybe "Africal" is not the proper keyword - Introduction section is not in proper order, statement of the problem is not clear... paper contribution is not clear >> comprehensive review or just comparative study? - More Literature needed: what exactly AI is, what are its types, what is its stage today? What about challenges of digital economy including security issues. - Europe is highly developed region while it certainly has standards developed and defined action steps for proper execution. Africa is least developed as compared with Europe, how can Africa and Europe be compared with respect to AI as these regions are in different level playing fields in the technology implementation and modernization. Maybe a comparison with Asia would be more realistic. - Major use of AI in the energy sector is missing. How AI impact in energy is to be highlighted. - Section 2.5, the comparative analysis to be presented in diagrammatic form would be far better and effective to readers. - No clear recommendations are provided with respect to digital economy upliftment for Africa as well as to Europe, while the digital literacy and awareness is the most important factor for digital economy implementation in the developing nations. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>		
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically</p>		

Review Form 3

robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Babu R. Dawadi
Department, University & Country	Tribhuvan University, Nepal