

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_124440
Title of the Manuscript:	"Empowering Farmers through ICT: Unveiling Access and Competency gaps and associated factors in Puri District, Odisha"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research incorporates quite valuable Information about access to modern technologies and ICT in a rural farming community and factors analysis for gaps. The methodological approach is seemingly quite valuable and mathematical regression analysis like the Chi-square test describes the critical factors and gaps. The differentiation between the farming and non-farming groups in these study locations shows highly remarked and detailed analysis in addressing the obstacles and prospects of initiation of modern techniques in farming. This type of manuscript is very informative and demonstrates real survey-based methods for identifying critical information about the farmer community. That attains the liking for most of my cases.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	An alternative title justifying the manuscript would be,  Empowering Farmers through ICT: Unveiling Access and Competency gaps in Modernizing Agriculture incorporating associated factors analysis in Puri District, Odisha	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and quietly well-written. But some facts need to be incorporated, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The scope and prospects of the findings and how they will help the local farming regions and if the method will be justifying for other places as well</li> <li>• Add the research gap and also the section the manuscript has covered which sectors weren't incorporated in the previous related research.</li> </ul>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections are appropriate and good. But, The objective section can be incorporated within the introduction section. Also, a recommendations section can be incorporated to better understand the reader.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is well-written and scientifically sound. But some minor revisions need to be considered, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The spacing and table formats are poorly arranged which disrupts the interest of a reader.</li> <li>• Several grammatical mistakes need to be resolved for better reading experiences</li> <li>• The findings from different tests need to be written more precisely and connect the result with real-world aspects for a better understanding of how a factor is influencing variance with data.</li> <li>• The recommendation section based on the mathematical values should be provided in detailing the outcomes of the research.</li> <li>• The figure and table details style should be done in a similar format. Thus, in figure 1 is written in italic format and others are not.</li> <li>• The spacing between the words in the table should be carefully organized</li> <li>• The justification for the selection of the study area should be incorporated</li> </ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are good and well-organized.	

## Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the quality of the writing is good except for some minor grammatical revisions. The connection between paragraphs needs to be improved with better lines.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is good and the analysis is done on an extensive level but the written databased description should more precise and well organized like a comparative study among different site location for factorial variance and socio-economic parameters would make the manuscript more significant.</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Rowdra Dip Chackroborty</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Bangladesh</b></p>