

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_123346
Title of the Manuscript:	INTERACTION EFFECT OF IRRIGATION FREQUENCY AND WEED MANAGEMENT ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF SESAME
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The introduction section very difficult to read. It includes a lot of vague information. It is extremely unorganized making it unclear how scientifically sound the research work is. It should structure in order and include more detailed information. - The methodology section has unclear statements and totally camouflaged. It should be structured the in order and the sub-titles should be combined and must be numbered. In addition it is assumed to include climate elements with numerical values. - It is important to add a schematic diagram of the split design of the experiment and it is preferable to add the location map. - The Results are suitable, but need to be reorganized. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>It is suitable</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The comments are included in the body of the text</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>There is a need to be reorganized</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Please refer to the above first comments</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are not sufficient and most of them are outdated</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is quite suitable</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Hezam Al-Mashreki
Department, University & Country	Renewable Natural Resources Research Center, Yemen