A review on Carbon Foot printing in Sustainable Agriculture as a Cutting-Edge Approach to Environmental Responsibility

Abstract:

The agricultural sector needs to produce more food while reducing its environmental impact in order to meet the challenges posed by climate change, population growth, and other unprecedented global issues. Unsustainable practices are a major source of greenhouse gas emissions, soil degradation, and biodiversity loss, so agriculture needs to be at the center of sustainability efforts. One transformative tool for advancing sustainable agriculture is carbon footprinting, which measures the emissions of greenhouse gases from farming activities. Technologies like artificial intelligence, remote sensing, and the Internet of Things have made it possible to analyze data in real-time, giving farmers actionable insights to improve sustainability. Furthermore, carbon footprinting acts as a benchmark for farmers, guiding them toward climate-smart practices while fostering transparency and accountability. Despite challenges like data availability and standardization, the potential of carbon footprinting to reshape farming practices is profound. The widespread adoption of this tool, supported by research and policy incentives, is critical for steering agriculture towards a sustainable, low-carbon future that addresses both global food demand and environmental preservation. This paper explores the integration of carbon footprinting in agriculture, highlighting its role in optimizing resource use, reducing emissions, and fostering resilience.

Keywords: Carbon footprint, Sustainable Agriculture

Introduction:

In the face of unprecedented global challenges like climate change and rapid population growth, food production must double by 2050 to meet the rising global demand(Alexandratos and Bruinsma, 2012). While over exploitation of arable land and unsustainable farming methods may alleviate food shortages but also have detrimental effects on the environment (Pastor et al., 2019). According to Raza et al. (2019), one of the main reasons behind the loss of biodiversity, the physical and chemical degradation of soil, and water pollution is the current crop production system. Excessive use of fertilizers, chemical pesticides leads to climate change through greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) and toxic soil depositions (Smith et al., 2013).The agricultural sector finds itself at the nexus of sustainability efforts (Shah *et al.*,2021).Sustainable agriculture, an imperative response to the environmental crisis, seeks to harmonize food production with ecological resilience while mitigating the environmental impacts associated with traditional farming practices (FAO, 2024: Cakmakci, *et al.*,2023). This multifaceted approach involves adopting ecologically sound farming methods, reducing reliance on synthetic inputs, and embracing practices that enhance soil health and biodiversity (Farooq, 2023).One approach to achieving sustainable agricultural development is through the application of agro-ecological principles, which stress the incorporation of natural processes into farming systems (FAO, 2018). In addition to fostering biodiversity, these principles also help to slow down global warming by storing carbon in soil and lowering the demand for chemical inputs (Nayak et al.,2023). The incorporation of carbon foot printing into agricultural systems is a transformative strategy and a fundamental component of the sustainability paradigm. Carbon footprinting, a methodological tool for quantifying greenhouse gas emissions, plays a crucial role in gauging and mitigating agriculture's contribution to atmospheric carbon levels (Singh *et al*., 2019). The growing body of research, exemplified by studies like (Liu *et al.*, 2023; Shabir *et al.*, 2023), underscores the urgent need to address the carbon footprint of food production to mitigate climate change impacts. Sustainable agriculture is an effective way for the global agricultural sector to address environmental issues by tracking and controlling carbon footprints (Lynch et al., 2021). The ability of sustainable agriculture and carbon footprinting to spur innovation and transform farming methods is a crucial component of their synergy (Rosa & Gabrielli, 2023). Adopting climate-smart practices can be accelerated by being aware of and minimizing the carbon footprint of agricultural activities (Kazimierczuk et al., 2023). This entails maximizing the use of available resources, reducing waste, and raising general productivity. In order to maximize yields while minimizing their impact on the environment, farmers can make well-informed decisions with the help of precision agriculture technologies, such as sensor-based monitoring and data analytics (Meshram et al., 2024). In order to steer agriculture towards a resilient, low-carbon trajectory as it shifts toward a more sustainable future, cooperation between farmers, researchers, and policymakers—informed by insights from carbon footprint assessments—becomes critical (Li & Huang, 2023). This article explores the advantages of carbon foot printing and sustainable agriculture, explaining how their combination forms the cornerstone of an all-encompassing strategy to lessen agriculture's carbon footprint and promote a more resilient, greener future (Holka et al., 2021).

Understanding Carbon Foot printing in Agriculture:

A comprehensive method called "carbon foot printing" in agriculture aims to quantify the total amount of greenhouse gas emissions associated with various farming activities (Ozlu et al., 2022). From crop cultivation and livestock management to transportation, processing, and distribution, these activities cover a broad range. Carbon foot printing offers a comprehensive perspective on the environmental effects of agricultural practices by taking into account the entire supply chain (Bilali & Hassen, 2021). Throughout the agricultural lifecycle, both direct and indirect sources must be evaluated in order to calculate greenhouse gas emissions. Gases released directly from farming operations are referred to as direct emissions. Examples of these gases include nitrous oxide from fertilized soils and methane from livestock digestion. Conversely, external factors such as energy consumption in transportation, processing plants, and even the production of inputs like fertilizers are the source of indirect emissions (Chataut et al., 2023: Panchasara& Islam 2021, Hortenhuber et al., 2022). This complex web of emissions is captured by carbon footprinting, which provides a nuanced understanding of the ecological footprint that agricultural operations leave behind (Matustík& Koci 2021).

Significance of Carbon Foot printing in Agriculture:

Carbon foot printing is important for agriculture because it can identify high-emission areas and help policymakers and farmers implement targeted mitigation strategies (Sharma et al., 2021). Stakeholders can minimize the overall environmental impact of agriculture by optimizing processes and adopting more sustainable practices by identifying the specific sources that contribute to the carbon footprint and making informed decisions. This accuracy is essential as agriculture tries to meet the global food demand while also attempting to maintain the environment (Ozlu et al., 2022; Gao et al., 2014). Essentially, carbon foot printing provides farmers and agribusinesses with a quantitative perspective to fully understand their environmental impact (Cammarata et al.,2023). This comprehension is essential for making informed decisions regarding the adoption of sustainable practices. Equipped with knowledge from carbon footprint analyses, farmers can more effectively match their practices with eco-friendly methods that support wider sustainability goals (Kabeyi& Olanrewaju, 2022). The agricultural sector can focus on specific actions that will lead to a more resilient and environmentally friendly future by evaluating carbon footprints, which allows them to go beyond broad sustainability goals (Plassmann & Edwards-Jones, 2010; Miao et al., 2023). This process requires a sophisticated grasp of the emissions linked to different farming-related factors, such as cultivation techniques and supply chain logistics nuances. As a result, the agricultural community uses carbon foot printing as a guide to steer them toward practices that both ensure the planet's long-term sustainability and meet immediate food needs (Afrouzi et al., 2023;Karwacka*et al.*,2020).

Technological Advancements Driving Carbon Footprinting in Agriculture:

Recent technological advancements have greatly improved the recognition and application of carbon footprinting in agriculture, ushering in a new era of accuracy, efficiency, and accessibility for stakeholders in the agricultural sector (Javaid et al., 2020). *Remote sensing*, which uses drones, satellite imagery, and other precision agriculture technologies to provide reliable data on crucial topics like soil health, crop yields, water usage, and emission sources, is one of the most significant technological enablers. This abundance of data adds a level of precision that was previously unattainable and helps create thorough assessments of carbon footprints (MacPherson et al., 2023; Mor et al., 2021). Remote sensing provides extremely detailed, real-time information that enables farmers to continuously monitor the environment and adjust their practices as necessary. The incorporation of *machine learning (ML) and artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms* into agricultural management systems is another significant technological advancement. AI enables predictive modeling of greenhouse gas emissions based on current agricultural practices by processing large datasets from remote sensing and other monitoring systems (Zhang et al., 2022). For example, machine learning models can forecast future emissions and recommend mitigation strategies, like optimal fertilizer use or irrigation techniques, by analyzing historical and current data on weather patterns, soil conditions, and crop health (Sharma et al., 2023). As a result, agricultural inputs are managed extremely effectively, cutting down on needless emissions and improving sustainability all around. Furthermore, carbon footprinting and precision agriculture are being significantly impacted by *Internet of Things (IoT) technology*. Real-time data about agricultural activities and environmental conditions can be obtained from Internet of Things devices, including soil moisture sensors, greenhouse gas analyzers, and automated farm machinery. With the help of these networked devices, carbon emissions from individual farms can be measured and tracked more precisely, providing a thorough understanding of the environmental effects of different farming practices (Kumar et al., 2021). IoT device data can be incorporated into carbon footprint models to give farmers real-time feedback on the emissions caused by their operations. This will enable farmers to make dynamic practice adjustments and reduce their carbon footprint (Singh et al., 2023). Another new tool in the carbon footprinting toolbox is *Blockchain technology*. Blockchain technology can guarantee traceability and transparency in supply chain emissions reporting and carbon credit markets (Gupta et al., 2023). Blockchain-based systems, for instance, can track sustainable farming methods or carbon offsetting activities to provide an unchangeable record of carbon emission reductions. This can ensure that claimed reductions are genuine and verifiable, increasing accountability and trust among stakeholders.Furthermore, a lot of progress has been made in the field of *Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) software,* which is now widely used in agriculture to determine the carbon footprint of products from farm to market. Every step of the production process is taken into account by LCA tools, from resource extraction and cultivation to processing, distribution, and transportation (Chen et al., 2023). By evaluating the carbon intensity of individual farming operations, advanced life cycle assessment (LCA) tools combined with big data analytics can provide a more comprehensive understanding of the relative contributions of various agricultural systems to overall emissions. LCA covers these products' whole life cycle, carefully evaluating each stage of the process from manufacture and use to disposal. This all-encompassing strategy is essential to revealing the complex network of emissions linked to different farming practices (Fernandez et al., 2023). Fundamentally, life cycle assessment (LCA) offers an organized and methodical assessment of the environmental effects of farming operations. LCA makes sure that no part of the environmental footprint is missed by taking into account every stage of a product's life cycle, from its conception in the field to its eventual fate (Nazir, 2017).Last but not least, *biotechnology* is essential to lowering agriculture's carbon footprint. The development of crop varieties that need less inputs (such as water and fertilizers) and are more resistant to pests and diseases has been made possible by advances in genetic engineering and molecular breeding. This has decreased the need for chemical treatments, which increase greenhouse gas emissions (Brookes & Barfoot, 2023). These technologies reduce the carbon footprint associated with crop production, which further improves sustainability when combined with precision farming practices. When combined, these technology advancements are revolutionizing the agricultural sector and empowering farmers to track, cut, and better control their carbon emissions. The possibility of further lowering agriculture's environmental effect and supporting more general sustainability goals is becoming more and more attainable as these technologies advance (Balasundram et al., 2023). These sophisticated tools provide detailed data that improves the accuracy of carbon footprint calculations and gives farmers the ability to make well-informed decisions that benefit the environment and their operations.

Benefits of Carbon Footprinting in Agriculture:

1. *Cost Savings:*Through carbon foot printing, farmers can identify emission hotspots and resource usage inefficiencies and implement cost-saving measures that both reduce their environmental impact and improve their bottom line. The economic sustainability of farming operations is enhanced by this twofold benefit (Sapkota et al., 2019; Feng et al., 2020).
2. *Climate Resilience:*Identification of climate-related risks and vulnerabilities in agricultural practices is made easier with the help of carbon foot printing (Malhi et al., 2021). Equipped with this understanding, farmers can employ climate resilience-enhancing adaptive strategies, thereby reducing the adverse effects of extreme weather events on crop yields (Grigorieva et al.,2023).
3. *Resource Efficiency:*Accurate evaluations of carbon footprints help farmers make the best use of water, energy, and fertilizers. According to Karwacka et al. (2020), resource efficiency improves overall resource sustainability while also lowering the environmental impact.
4. *Consumer Transparency*: Certification of carbon footprints promotes openness between farmers and consumers. Gaining the trust of consumers who value sustainability through transparent information about the environmental impact of agricultural products fosters stronger bonds and increased brand loyalty (Canavari&Coderoni, 2020; van Noordwijk et al., 2023).
5. *Research and Innovation*: The information gathered from carbon footprint assessments can support current studies and new developments in sustainable agriculture. With this data, researchers can create new methods and technologies that further cut emissions and

improve environmental sustainability in general (Shabir*et al.*,2023; Rosa & Gabrielli, 2023).

6. *Benchmarking and Improvement:*Farmers are able to compare their emissions to industry norms by using carbon foot printing. Farmers are encouraged to adopt more sustainable practices through healthy competition, which is facilitated by this benchmarking process (Holka*et al.*,2022; Zheng & Liu2023).
7. *Long-Term Viability:*Farming practices that are in line with reduced carbon footprints help ensure the long-term sustainability of their businesses. Sustainability practices guarantee that farming continues to be profitable while protecting the environment for coming generations(Sharma*et al.*,2021).
8. *Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR):*By integrating carbon foot printing into their sustainability endeavors, agribusinesses can augment their corporate social responsibility endeavors. Corporate responsibility perceptions in the community can be positively impacted by showcasing a commitment to reducing environmental impact (Biró& Csete, 2021).

Table 1: Different countries using techniques covering the limitations and success level of the technique

Country	**Technique Used**	**Limitations**	**Success Level**
USA	Precision Agriculture (sensors, GPS, drones)	High initial costs for small farms, integration challenges, technological complexity	Extensively used in large-scale farms; notable increases in resource efficiency and quantifiable decreases in carbon emissions as a result of input optimization(Bhatt et al., 2021).
India	Crop Residue Management (Bio-decomposers, Happy Seeder)	Limited farmer awareness, inconsistent government support, limited availability of equipment	Reduced stubble burning and improved soil health are two moderately successful pilot region outcomes; however, obstacles still stand in the way of wider adoption and consistent implementation. (Arya et al., 2019; Kaur et al., 2022).

Brazil	No-Till Farming	Requires specialized knowledge and training, risk of soil compaction over time, potential initial soil degradation	Considerable advancements in soil composition, water retention, and greenhouse gas emissions; widely implemented in appropriate areas(Choudhury et al., 2023).
Germany	Renewable Energy from Biomass (crop residue)	High infrastructure costs for biomass conversion, challenges in scaling up, and logistical issues in biomass collection	Significantly less reliance on fossil fuels, significant success in producing renewable energy, and successful deployment in areas with the necessary infrastructure(Jain et al., 2024).
Australia	Water-Saving Irrigation (drip and sprinkler systems)	High maintenance and installation costs, need for regular system monitoring, potential technical issues	Incredibly successful in areas with limited water resources; significantly reduces water use and carbon footprint; successfully incorporates into farming practices with the right assistance (Bhatt et al., 2021).
China	Methane-Reducing Rice Cultivation Techniques (AWD)	Requires extensive farmer education and training, labor-intensive, variable effectiveness depending on local conditions	Methane emissions from rice paddies have been moderately reduced; environmental results have improved, but adoption and consistency have been difficult (Arya et al., 2019).
Netherlands	Vertical Farming with LED Technology	High initial investment, significant technical knowledge required, limited to urban areas with space	High energy efficiency, great success in minimizing the use of land and water, and the use of cutting-edge technology to produce food sustainably in controlled environments despite the high costs and

		constraints	technical requirements(Jain et al., 2024).

Challenges and Future Directions:

The first challenge is related to the availability of data. Comprehensive data on various aspects of agricultural activities are essential for conducting accurate carbon footprint assessments (Chen et al., 2023). However, due to differences in farming practices, differences in technology, and the absence of a standardized framework for data collection, accessing such data can be difficult. To overcome this obstacle, cooperative efforts are required to set up systems for exchanging data, encourage openness, and support the use of technology that make data collection easier (Ozlu et al., 2022).

Another obstacle to the widespread use of carbon foot printing in agriculture is standardization. Measurement inconsistencies are caused by the lack of generally accepted protocols for carrying out evaluations and interpreting results (Pandey et al.,2011; Hu et al.,2023). In order to achieve standardization, precise guidelines and methodologies must be developed. This will guarantee that carbon footprint assessments offer comparable and consistent insights across a range of agricultural contexts. Data on carbon foot printing will be more credible and reliable if industry-wide standards are established (Liu et al., 2022).

The third obstacle is the requirement for carbon footprint assessments to be widely adopted into standard agricultural procedures. Many farmers do not yet incorporate carbon foot printing into their decision-making processes, despite the advantages it provides (Israel et al., 2020). To address this issue, it will be necessary to implement comprehensive policy incentives, training initiatives, and awareness campaigns to persuade farmers to accept carbon footprint assessments as essential instruments for improving sustainability. Agricultural extension services, research institutions, and governmental bodies can work together to implement collaborative initiatives that are essential to encouraging farmers to adopt carbon foot printing practices (Adewale*et al.*,2018; Chen*et al.*,2022).

Ongoing research and innovation are required to map out the future directions of carbon foot printing in agriculture. This entails improving techniques, investigating novel technologies, and modifying evaluations to reflect changing agricultural practices. Furthermore, it's critical to establish a policy framework that supports and encourages carbon-conscious farming methods. Realizing the full potential of carbon footprinting as a transformative tool for sustainable agriculture will depend on addressing these challenges as the agricultural sector continues to digitize and adopt sustainable practices.

Conclusion:

The adoption of carbon foot printing in agriculture served as a model for the groundbreaking sustainable approach. Beyond the short-term advantages of measuring emissions, this technology provides farmers with a way to handle the complexity of contemporary farming problems. Farmers who adopt carbon foot printing not only mitigate the effects of climate change but also establish themselves as guardians of a more resilient and sustainable agriculture industry (Sharma et al., 2021). The capacity of carbon foot printing to inform comprehensive decision-making, in addition to quantifying emissions, holds transformative potential. Equipped with extensive data, farmers can strategically optimize their land management, crop selection, and resource utilization. Making well-informed decisions improves operational effectiveness overall and increases environmental efficiency, bringing agricultural practices into line with larger sustainability goals (Siebrecht, 2020).According to Rai et al. (2023) there is a close relationship between the development of carbon foot printing technologies and the future of sustainable agriculture. To improve processes, solve issues with data availability, and create industry-wide standards, ongoing research, innovation, and teamwork are crucial (World Economic Forum, 2022). To ensure that carbon foot printing becomes a regular and essential part of farming practices, policymakers, researchers, and agricultural stakeholders must collaborate in order to encourage widespread adoption (Chopra et al., 2022). The journey towards a more sustainable agricultural future will surely be shaped by the transformative impact of carbon foot printing as technology advances and sustainability becomes more and more important.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc. have been used during the writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

1.Quillboot for paraphrasing

2.

3.

References:

Adewale, C., Reganold, J. P., Higgins, S., Evans, R. D., & Carpenter-Boggs, L. (2018). Improving carbon footprinting of agricultural systems: Boundaries, tiers, and organic farming. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, *71*, 41-48.

Adewale, C., Reganold, J. P., Higgins, S., Evans, R. D., & Carpenter-Boggs, L. (2019). Agricultural carbon footprint is farm specific: Case study of two organic farms. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *229*, 795-805.

Afrouzi, H. N., Ahmed, J., Siddique, B. M., Khairuddin, N., & Hassan, A. (2023). A comprehensive review on carbon footprint of regular diet and ways to improving lowered emissions. *Results in Engineering*, 101054.

Alexandratos, N., and Bruinsma, J. (2012). *World Agriculture Towards 2030/2050: the 2012 Revision*. ESA Working Paper No. 12-03, FAO, Rome.

Balasundram, S. K., Shamshiri, R. R., Sridhara, S., & Rizan, N. (2023). The Role of Digital Agriculture in Mitigating Climate Change and Ensuring Food Security: An Overview. *Sustainability*, *15*(6), 5325.

Bilali, H. E., Strassner, C., & Hassen, T. B. (2021). Sustainable Agri-Food Systems: Environment, Economy, Society, and Policy. *Sustainability*, *13*(11), 1-67.

Biró, K., &Szalmáné Csete, M. (2021). Corporate social responsibility in agribusiness: climate-related empirical findings from Hungary. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, *23*(4), 5674-5694.

Boakye-Yiadom, K. A., Ilari, A., & Duca, D. (2022). Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Life Cycle Assessment on the Black Soldier Fly (Hermetiaillucens L.). *Sustainability*, *14*(16), 10456.Çakmakçı, R., Salık, M. A., &Çakmakçı, S. (2023). Assessment and Principles of Environmentally Sustainable Food and Agriculture Systems. *Agriculture*, *13*(5), 1073.

Cammarata, M., Timpanaro, G., Incardona, S., La Via, G., & Scuderi, A. (2023). The Quantification of Carbon Footprints in the Agri-Food Sector and Future Trends for Carbon Sequestration: A Systematic Literature Review. *Sustainability*, *15*(21), 15611.

Canavari, M., &Coderoni, S. (2020). Consumer stated preferences for dairy products with carbon footprint labels in Italy. *Agricultural and Food Economics*, *8*(1), 1-16.

Chataut, G., Bhatta, B., Joshi, D., Subedi, K., & Kafle, K. (2023). Greenhouse gases emission from agricultural soil: A review. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 100533.

Chen, L., Chen, Z., Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., Osman, A. I., Farghali, M., ... & Yap, P. S. (2023). Artificial intelligence-based solutions for climate change: a review. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 1-33.

Chen, L., Msigwa, G., Yang, M., Osman, A. I., Fawzy, S., Rooney, D. W., & Yap, P. S. (2022). Strategies to achieve a carbon neutral society: a review. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, *20*(4), 2277-2310.

Chopra, R., Magazzino, C., Shah, M. I., Sharma, G. D., Rao, A., & Shahzad, U. (2022). The role of renewable energy and natural resources for sustainable agriculture in ASEAN countries: do carbon emissions and deforestation affect agriculture productivity?. *Resources Policy*, *76*, 102578.

Chowdhury, H., Argha, D. B. P., & Ahmed, M. A. (2023). Artificial Intelligence in Sustainable Vertical Farming. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00030*.

Fan, J., Liu, C., Xie, J., Han, L., Zhang, C., Guo, D., ... & McConkey, B. G. (2022). Life cycle assessment on agricultural production: a mini review on methodology, application, and challenges. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *19*(16), 9817.

Farooq, M. (2023). Conservation agriculture and sustainable development goals. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, *60*(3).

Fedele, A., Mazzi, A., Niero, M., Zuliani, F., & Scipioni, A. (2014). Can the Life Cycle Assessment methodology be adopted to support a single farm on its environmental impacts forecast evaluation between conventional and organic production? An Italian case study. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *69*, 49-59.

Feng, Y., Zhang, Y., Li, S., Wang, C., Yin, X., Chu, Q., & Chen, F. (2020). Sustainable options for reducing carbon inputs and improving the eco-efficiency of smallholder wheat-maize cropping systems in the Huanghuaihai Farming Region of China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *244*, 118887.

Fernandez-Gonzalez, J., Rumayor, M., Domínguez-Ramos, A., Irabien, A., & Ortiz, I. (2023). The Relevance of Life Cycle Assessment Tools in the Development of Emerging Decarbonization Technologies. *JACS Au*, *3*(10), 2631-2639.

Gao, T., Liu, Q., & Wang, J. (2014). A comparative study of carbon footprint and assessment standards. *International Journal of Low-Carbon Technologies*, *9*(3), 237-243.

Grigorieva, E., Livenets, A., & Stelmakh, E. (2023). Adaptation of agriculture to climate change: a scoping review. *Climate*, *11*(10), 202.

Holka, M., Kowalska, J., & Jakubowska, M. (2022). Reducing Carbon Footprint of Agriculture—Can Organic Farming Help to Mitigate Climate Change?. *Agriculture*, *12*(9), 1383.

Hörtenhuber, S. J., Seiringer, M., Theurl, M. C., Größbacher, V., Piringer, G., Kral, I., & Zollitsch, W. J. (2022). Implementing an appropriate metric for the assessment of greenhouse gas emissions from livestock production: a national case study. *animal*, *16*(10), 100638.

Hu, J., Shi, Y., Cheng, Y., & Liu, Z. (2023). A process-oriented model to measure product carbon footprint: an exploratory study based on multiple cases. *Production Planning & Control*, 1-24.

Israel, M. A., Amikuzuno, J., & Danso-Abbeam, G. (2020). Assessing farmers' contribution to greenhouse gas emission and the impact of adopting climate-smart agriculture on mitigation. *Ecological Processes*, *9*, 1-10.

Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Singh, R. P., & Suman, R. (2022). Enhancing smart farming through the applications of Agriculture 4.0 technologies. *International Journal of Intelligent Networks*, *3*, 150-164.

Kabeyi, M. J. B., & Olanrewaju, O. A. (2022). Sustainable energy transition for renewable and low carbon grid electricity generation and supply. *Frontiers in Energy research*, *9*, 1032.

Karwacka, M., Ciurzyńska, A., Lenart, A., & Janowicz, M. (2020). Sustainable development in the agri-food sector in terms of the carbon footprint: A Review. *Sustainability*, *12*(16), 6463.

Kazimierczuk, K., Barrows, S. E., Olarte, M. V., &Qafoku, N. P. (2023). Decarbonization of Agriculture: The Greenhouse Gas Impacts and Economics of Existing and Emerging Climate-Smart Practices. *ACS Engineering Au*, *3*(6), 426-442.

Li, L., & Huang, Y. (2023). Sustainable Agriculture in the Face of Climate Change: Exploring Farmers' Risk Perception, Low-Carbon Technology Adoption, and Productivity in the Guanzhong Plain of China. *Water*, *15*(12), 2228.

Liu, T. C., Wu, Y. C., & Chau, C. F. (2023). An overview of carbon emission mitigation in the food industry: efforts, challenges, and opportunities. *Processes*, *11*(7), 1993.

Lynch, J., Cain, M., Frame, D., &Pierrehumbert, R. (2021). Agriculture's contribution to climate change and role in mitigation is distinct from predominantly fossil CO2-emitting sectors. *Frontiers in sustainable food systems*, *4*, 518039.

MacPherson, J., Voglhuber-Slavinsky, A., Olbrisch, M., Schöbel, P., Dönitz, E., Mouratiadou, I., & Helming, K. (2022). Future agricultural systems and the role of digitalization for achieving sustainability goals. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, *42*(4), 70.

Malhi, G. S., Kaur, M., & Kaushik, P. (2021). Impact of climate change on agriculture and its mitigation strategies: A review. *Sustainability*, *13*(3), 1318.

Matustík, J., & Kočí, V. (2021). What is a footprint? A conceptual analysis of environmental footprint indicators. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *285*, 124833.

Meshram, A., Kadam, R., Shinde, G., &Khalge, M. (2024). Sensor Based Agriculture and Horticulture Crop Production (Application of Sensors).

Miao Z, Zhao Z, Long T, Chen X. Carbon footprint in agriculture sector: a literature review. Carbon Footprints 2023;2:13

Mor, S., Madan, S., & Prasad, K. D. (2021). Artificial intelligence and carbon footprints: Roadmap for Indian agriculture. *Strategic Change*, *30*(3), 269-280.

Nayak, N., Mehrotra, R., & Mehrotra, S. (2022). Carbon biosequestration strategies: a review. *Carbon Capture Science & Technology*, 100065.

Nazir, N. (2017). Understanding life cycle thinking and its practical application to agri-food system. *Int. J. Adv. Sci. Eng. Inf. Technol*, *7*(5), 1861-1870.Ozlu, E., Arriaga, F. J., Bilen, S., Gozukara, G., & Babur, E. (2022). Carbon footprint management by agricultural practices. *Biology*, *11*(10), 1453.

Panchasara, H., Samrat, N. H., & Islam, N. (2021). Greenhouse gas emissions trends and mitigation measures in Australian agriculture sector—A review. *Agriculture, 11*(2), 85.

Pandey, D., & Agrawal, M. (2014). Carbon footprint estimation in the agriculture sector. *Assessment of Carbon Footprint in Different Industrial Sectors, Volume 1*, 25-47.

Pandey, D., Agrawal, M., & Pandey, J. S. (2011). Carbon footprint: current methods of estimation. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, *178*, 135-160.

Pastor, A., Palazzo, A., Havlik, P., Biemans, H., Wada, Y., Obersteiner, M., et al. (2019). The global nexus of food–trade–water sustaining environmental flows by 2050. *Nat. Sustainab.* 2, 499–507. doi: 10.1038/s41893-019-0287-1

Plassmann, K., & Edwards-Jones, G. (2010). Carbon footprinting and carbon labelling of food products. In *Environmental Assessment and Management in the Food Industry* (pp. 272-296). Woodhead Publishing.

Rai, S. K., Rai, P. K., Dayal, A., & Sahi, V. P. (2023). The Future of Agriculture: A Sustainable, Tech-Driven, and Resilient Revolution.

Raza, A., Razzaq, A., Mehmood, S. S., Zou, X., Zhang, X., Lv, Y., & Xu, J. (2019). Impact of climate change on crops adaptation and strategies to tackle its outcome: A review. *Plants*, *8*(2), 34.

Rosa, L.,& Gabrielli, P. (2023). Achieving net-zero emissions in agriculture: a review. *Environmental Research Letters*, *18*(6), 063002.

Sapkota, T. B., Vetter, S. H., Jat, M. L., Sirohi, S., Shirsath, P. B., Singh, R., ... & Stirling, C. M. (2019). Cost-effective opportunities for climate change mitigation in Indian agriculture. *Science of the Total Environment*, *655*, 1342-1354.

Say, S. M., Keskin, M., Sehri, M., &Sekerli, Y. E. (2018). Adoption of precision agriculture technologies in developed and developing countries. *The Online Journal of Science and Technology-January*, *8*(1), 7-15.

Shabir, I., Dash, K. K., Dar, A. H., Pandey, V. K., Fayaz, U., Srivastava, S., & Nisha, R. (2023). Carbon footprints evaluation for sustainable food processing system development: A comprehensive review. *Future Foods*, *7*, 100215.

Shah, A., Nazari, M., Antar, M., Msimbira, L. A., Naamala, J., Lyu, D., ... & Smith, D. L. (2021). PGPR in agriculture: A sustainable approach to increasing climate change resilience. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, *5*, 667546.

Sharma, M., Kaushal, R., Kaushik, P., & Ramakrishna, S. (2021). Carbon farming: Prospects and challenges. *Sustainability*, *13*(19), 11122.

Siebrecht, N. (2020). Sustainable agriculture and its implementation gap—Overcoming obstacles to implementation. *Sustainability*, *12*(9), 3853.

Singh, R., Chandrasekar, V., Nambi, V. E., & Arora, S. (2018). Carbon foot print analysis: a tool for sustainable food process development.

Sishodia, R. P., Ray, R. L., & Singh, S. K. (2020). Applications of remote sensing in precision agriculture: A review. *Remote Sensing*, *12*(19), 3136.

Smith, P., Haberl, H., Popp, A., Erb, K. H., Lauk, C., Harper, R., et al. (2013). How much land-based greenhouse gas mitigation can be achieved without compromising food security and environmental goals? *Global Change Biol.* 19, 2285–2302. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12160

Stringer, L. C., Fraser, E. D., Harris, D., Lyon, C., Pereira, L., Ward, C. F., & Simelton, E. (2020). Adaptation and development pathways for different types of farmers. *Environmental Science & Policy*, *104*, 174-189.van Noordwijk, M., Leimona, B., Pham, T. T., Duguma, L. A., Dewi, S., & Minang, P. A. (2022). Carbon footprints, informed consumer decisions and shifts towards responsible agriculture, forestry and other land uses. *Carbon Footprints*, *1*(4), 4.

Voglhuber-Slavinsky, A., Zicari, A., Smetana, S., Moller, B., Dönitz, E., Vranken, L., ... & Bahrs, E. (2022). Setting life cycle assessment (LCA) in a future-oriented context: the combination of qualitative scenarios and LCA in the agri-food sector. *European Journal of Futures Research*, *10*(1), 15.

Yao, Y., Xu, P., Li, J., Hu, H., & Qi, Q. (2024). Advancements and Applications of Life Cycle Assessment in Slope Treatment: A Comprehensive Review. *Sustainability*, *16*(1), 398.

Zheng, Q., & Liu, J. (2023). Economic and carbon emission performance of green farming by marketing cooperatives. *Heliyon*, *9*(5).