

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_123732
Title of the Manuscript:	Carbon Foot printing in Sustainable Agriculture: A Cutting-Edge Approach to Environmental Responsibility
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is an intro level review of the current largely known and mostly deployed state-of-the-art wrt Agriculture Carbon Foot-printing. Along with the rest of the copious literature which is analyzing and evaluating/ trying to reduce green-house gas emissions from agriculture, this is a piece-part of requisite attempts to mitigate the ever worsening thus far climate change impacts. This article does not cover the extensive technical literature regarding ongoing frontier research to improve accuracy, efficiency and especially mitigation wrt AG carbon/ climate related emissions. The "technical" section is weak, does not include the various advancements in applicable sensors etc.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Cutting edge as a part of the title is neither accurate nor needed, the rest of the title is fine.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is OK.....	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The piece is essentially mostly at the "wave-top" level. At that general level it is OK. What is stated, to the top level depth it is stated, is OK. But is not "all inclusive".	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are OK.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	A "top-level", not all inclusive, not "deep", summary of AG " carbon footprinting. A useful intro to the subject.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dennis M. Bushnell
Department, University & Country	USA