

# Composite effect of Drip Irrigation and Mulching of Banana crop in North Bihar Agro-climatic Condition

## ABSTRACT

The research work entitled “Composite effect of drip irrigation and mulching of Banana crop in North Bihar Agro-climatic Condition” was carried out under three main treatments on drip irrigation namely; 100, 80, and 60% of V volume of water through drip and five sub-treatments, i.e black, blue, red, white color plastic mulch and without mulch (control) with three replications. Variety Basrai of Banana crop was selected. The field layout designed by using split plot design (SPD).

The composite effect of drip irrigation and mulching on water saving, vegetative growth, flowering time, bunch emergence time, harvesting time, yield parameters (bunch length, number of hands per bunch, number of finger per bunch, weight per bunch and yield) and quality (length of finger, circumference of finger and weight of finger) of banana fruits were found to be better in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (application of 80% of V volume of water through drip irrigation) along with black color of plastic mulch to the tune of 14.95% water saving; 176.15 cm plant height; 60.23 cm plant firth; 17.6 number of functional leaves; 292.0 DAT flowering time; 318.4 DAT bunch emergence time; 391.5 DAT harvesting time; 101.90 cm bunch length; 11.3 number of hands per bunch; 107.97 number of fingers per bunch; 19.77 kg weight of bunch; 879.42 q/ha yield; 14.01 cm length of fingers; 11.90 circumference of fingers and 115.04 g weight of fingers. The water use efficiency in respect of yield was evaluated to be maximum i.e. 26.30 q/ha-cm in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (application of 60% of V volume of water through drip). The maximum soil temperature (23.69°C) and soil moisture (29.29%) were found in treatment T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>1</sub>, respectively along with color plastic mulch. The maximum benefit cost ratio was estimated to the tune of 3.76 in treatment T<sub>2</sub> along with black color plastic mulch.

**Key words: Banana, Drip irrigation, Mulching, yield and B: C ratio.**

## 1. Introduction

Banana (*Musa* sp.) is the second most important fruit crop in India next to mango. Its year round availability, affordability, varietal range, taste, nutritive and medicinal value makes it the favourite fruit among all classes of people. It has also good export potential. Hi-tech

cultivation of the crop is an economically viable enterprise leading to increase in productivity, improvement in produce quality and early crop maturity with the produce commanding premium price. Banana and plantains are grown in about 120 countries. Total annual world production is estimated at 86 million tonnes of fruits. India leads the world in banana production with an annual output of about 14.2 million tonnes. Other leading producers are Brazil, Ecuador, China, Philippines, Indonesia, Costa Rica, Mexico, Thailand and Colombia. In India banana ranks first in production and third in area among fruit crops. It accounts for 13% of the total area and 33% of the production of fruits. Production is highest in Maharashtra (3924.1 thousand tonnes) followed by Tamil Nadu (3543.8 thousand tonnes). Within India, Maharashtra has the highest productivity of 65.70 metric t/ha against national average of 30.5 tonnes/ha, whereas the average yield in Bihar is very less it is about 20 t/ha. This might be due to inappropriate package and practices regarding the irrigation and fertigation. The other major banana producing states are Karnataka, Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh and Assam.

Drip irrigation is a controlled method of irrigation, consisting of tubes with emitters. It allows increasing water use efficiencies by providing precise amounts of water directly to the root zone of individual plants (Burt and Styles, 2007). Banana is a perennial crop, depending up on age of plant and climatic parameters, the water requirement varied from 2 lit per plant per day to 8.00 lit per plant per day. The quantity of water requirement per plant per day varied from 2.3 to 6.7 liter depending on the stage of crop and weather condition Srivanappan et al. (1987).

Fertigation is a technology for application of fertilizer to the crops along with irrigation water through drip or sprinkler irrigation on a continual basis in controlled manner as so as to allow for steady uptake of nutrients by plants and to effect saving in costly inputs of both water and fertilizer (Patel and Rajput 2011). Fertilizer and water use in trickler fertigated potato using different fertilizer application rates, frequencies of application and wetted soil volume were compared with a furrow irrigated and conventionally fertigated crop (Chawla and Narda 2001), results indicated that water and fertilizer saving to the extent of 30 and 70 % respectively with comparable yield levels. Highest yield of 36.29 t/ha of fresh tubers was obtained under trickle irrigation as compared to 21.5 t/ha for the furrow irrigated crop. An experiment was conducted to determine the effect of water soluble fertilizer through drip irrigation on the growth and yield of banana, during 1998 -2000 at Rahuri (Singh 2000). The banana yield was significantly higher with increase in the level of fertilizer and was found maximum 68 t/ha under 100 percent recommended dose. Studies were undertaken to assess

the effects of fertigation through drip on the growth, yield and quality of banana during 1997-98 with twelve treatments comprising 2 fertilizer sources, 3 fertilizers levels and two planting system. These treatments were also compared with surface irrigation methods using straight fertilizers application (Pawar et al. 2001). The results revealed that the banana fruit yield was significantly higher in normal planting (82.86 t/ha) than paired row planting (75.75 t/ha). The fruit yield increased significantly in water soluble fertilizers (81.01 t/ha) as compared to only N through drip (77.59 t/ha).

Mulching in general is a beneficial practice for crop production. Mulch conserves soil moisture, retains heat as well as it suppress weed growth (Chakraborty et al. 1994 and Hooda et al., 1999). Color plastic film also affects effective weed seed germination, growth and development under the plastic (Brault et al., 2002). Therefore keeping above observation in mind this work carried out.

## **2. Materials and Methods**

### **2.1 Experimental site**

The experiment was carried out at Rajendra Agricultural University Pusa Samastipur Bihar in year 2005-06 under "Precision Farming Development Centre (PFDC)". It is situated at 25°59'N latitude and 85°48'E longitude. Altitude of the site is 52.92 m above mean sea level. Experimental site is under humid sub-tropical climate, greatly influenced by the south-west monsoon. The main characteristic of the climate is hot-dry summer followed by cold winters. Average annual rainfall is 1270 mm, out of which about 1026 mm is received during the monsoon season from June to October. Soil type is sandy clay loam with average available moisture content 12.01%.

### **2.2. Experimental design and Land preparation for Planting of Banana crop**

The field preparation for banana plantation was carried out by two ploughing with mould board plough followed by cross harrowing to make the soil porous. The pits of 60 cm x 60 cm x 60 cm sizes were dug at 1.5m x 1.5m spacing. Before transplanting the banana plantlets, FYM, mustered cake and MOP were mixed in the soil at the rate of 10 kg, 1kg, 200 g per plant, respectively and filled in the pits. Filled pit were left for 15 days to get decompose and mix the manure/fertilizers in the soil thoroughly in this research split plot design adopted. Each treatment was replicated 3 times the details of the treatment and sub-treatment in table. Three irrigation level treated as main treatment and our mulches and control treatment where applied as sub-treatment the total treatment combination 15 and Total replicated plot 45.

Design – Split Plot Design , Replication – 3 , Variety - Grand Naine

| <b>Treatment</b>      |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| <b>T<sub>1</sub></b>  | Application of V volume (49.24 cm) of water through drip    |
| <b>T<sub>2</sub></b>  | Application of 0.8V volume (39.39cm) of water through drip  |
| <b>T<sub>3</sub></b>  | Application of 0.6V volume (29.54 cm) of water through drip |
| <b>Sub-Treatments</b> |   |
| <b>M<sub>1</sub></b>  | Application of black colour plastic mulch                   |
| <b>M<sub>2</sub></b>  | Application of blue colour plastic mulch                    |
| <b>M<sub>3</sub></b>  | Application of red colour plastic mulch                     |
| <b>M<sub>4</sub></b>  | Application of white colour plastic mulch                   |
| <b>M<sub>5</sub></b>  | No mulch (control)  |

### **Drip Irrigation**

Drip system consisting of sand filter 10 m<sup>3</sup>/h as discharge capacity; 50 mm nominal size; 2 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> nominal pressure with 14-24 mesh; screen filter of 10 m<sup>3</sup>/h discharge capacity; 65 mm of nominal size; 2 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> nominal pressure with 120 mesh as the screen size), pipe line (main -63 mm diameter and 21 m length; and sub-main - 63 mm diameter and 11 m as length) and drippers (4 lph) was installed/used under experiment. Cavity type tube well of 2.5 inch diameter suction pipe was used as the water source. A 7.5 HP diesel pump set was used to suck the water from well and supply to the pipe line system through filters. The main and sub-main pipe lines were installed at 40 cm depth from the ground surface. Laterals were installed over the ground surface, row wise passing through banana plantlets below plastic mulch. Drippers were placed on the lateral near banana plantlets. During system operation, the water first goes to the main pipeline, to the sub-main pipeline, to the laterals and lastly to the ground near banana plantlets through drippers.

### **Mulch**

Mulching was performed after one month of banana transplantation. For which, the banana plant rows were formed in the ridge shape of nominal size with outward slope. The laterals equipped with drippers are placed over the ridge shape banana row. After that as per layout, the silver and black colours polythene sheets of 60 micron (0.06) thickness were spread over the ridge. The edges of polythene were covered with the help of loose soil.

### **Crop Water Requirement**

The daily crop water requirement of banana plant was determined as (Anonymous, 1997).

The formula of crop water requirement is given as under:

$$V = E_p \cdot K_c \cdot K_p \cdot W_p \cdot A \quad \dots (1)$$

Net volume of water  $V_n$ , could be expressed as,

$$V_n = V - R_e \cdot A \quad \dots (2)$$

The total volume of water applied per plant per day is given by,

$$= V_n \times \text{no. of plant} \quad \dots (3)$$

Where,

$V$  = Water requirement of consumptive use of plant (l/plant/day),  $V_n$  = net volume of water,  $E_p$  = pan evaporation (mm/day),  $K_c$  = crop co-efficient,  $K_p$  = pan factor,  $W_p$  = wetted area factor,  $A$  = spacing of the plant ( $m^2$ ),  $R_e$  = effective rainfall (cm)

In equation (1) the daily pan evaporation values were collected from meteorological observatory located in crop research centre Pusa Farm, Samastipur, Bihar for banana crop period (March, 2009 to March, 2011). The pan factor ( $K_p$ ) was taken as 0.8 for USWB type pan (Anonymous 1997). The wetted area factor ( $W_p$ ) was considered as 0.9 for initial stage and 1 for full growth stage (Anonymous, 1997). The value of crop co-efficient ( $K_c$ ) was taken as 0.8 for initial stage and 1 for full growth stage of banana plants (Anonymous, 1997).

### **Fertilizer Dose**

The recommended dose of fertilizers for banana crop is 200 g nitrogen, 50 g phosphorus and 300 g potash per plant per year. The phosphorus and potash were applied as basal application in two split doses, i.e. first dose at 90 DAT (6<sup>th</sup> May) and second dose at 180 DAT (7<sup>th</sup> August). The nitrogen was applied at month interval through fertigation, before commencement of flowers in banana plants.

### **Water saving**

The quantity of water saving under different treatments was evaluated with respect to control treatment, by using following formula:

$$\text{Depth of water saving (ds)} = d_a - d_{th} \quad \dots(4)$$

$$\text{Percentage water saving} = \frac{d_s}{d_a} \times 100 \quad \dots(5)$$

Where  $d_a$  = actual depth of water applied as per treatment (cm)

$d_{th}$  = theoretical depth of water required (cm)

The value of  $d_a$ , i.e. depth of required water under different treatments is fixed as per crop water requirement e.g. treatment T1 it is 49.24 cm; in treatment T2 39.39 cm and in treatment T3 is 29.54cm.

### **Growth Parameters**

This section deal with various growth parameters the observation of Plant girth , Number of leaves per plant , Flowering time , Fruits per plant , bunch length, weight of bunch were recorded on Banana Plant.

### **Patterns of Root System**

Two banana plants were take into consideration for recorded the radical and vertical spreading (length) of banana roots; for which banana plants were uprooted and cleaned their roots and measured with the help of measuring tape.

### **Reproductive Parameter**

In this flowering time, Bunch emergence time, harvesting time data were collected.

### **Vegetative growth Parameter**

In vegetative growth plant height, plant girth and number of functional leaves per plants were considered as growth parameter.

### **Mulching Effect on Yield and Growth Parameter of Banana Fruit**

The number of hands per bunch, number of fruits per bunch, bunch length, weight of bunch and yield of banana crop were considered as the yield parameters for evaluating the composite effect of drip irrigation and different colours of plastic mulch on crop.

### **Evaluation of mulching effect on Soil-Plant Environment**

The environmental parameters, such as solar radiation, soil moisture and soil temperature were taken into consideration for evaluating the composite effect of drip irrigation and coloured plastic mulch on soil plant environment.

### **Solar Radiation**

The amount of solar radiation absorbed, i.e retained below the plastic mulch of different coloured was measured with the help of solar meter. The measurement was conducted at 8.00 AM, 12.00 noon and 4.00 PM, daily during banana crop period; and averaged together to get average daily value of solar radiation.

### **Soil Moisture**

The available soil moisture content under different plastic mulch was determined by taking soil sample from 30 cm soil depth. Soil samples were taken by using hand augur and followed oven dry method to determine the soil moisture.

## Soil temperature

The soil temperature under different plastic mulch was determined at 20 cm soil depth by using digital temperature meter. The observations on soil temperature were taken twice, i.e. 8.00 AM and 2.00 PM daily.

## Evaluation of Cost-Economics of Banana crop

The cost - economics of banana crop under drip irrigation and mulching treatment was analysed for determining the benefit- cost of banana cultivation. The computation of benefits done by following formula:

$$\text{Benefit (Rs./ha)} = \text{Income (Rs./ha)} - \text{Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha)} \quad \dots (6)$$

The income was determined by multiplying the unit yield and unit price of banana fruit. Unit price ((Rs/ha) was taken into consideration as per local market price of banana.

### Benefit cost ratio:

The b/c ratio of banana crop was computed as

$$\frac{b}{c} = \frac{\text{Benefit obtained}}{\text{Cost of cultivation}} \quad \dots (7)$$

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Effect of mulches on water saving, rooting pattern and vegetative growth of banana plant

#### 3.1.1 Water saving

In treatment T1 is 49.24 cm; in treatment T2 39.39 cm and in treatment T3 29.54 cm. As shown in table, the percentage water saving over control treatment (without mulch) is presented in Table .1. It can be observed that black colour plastic mulch under different irrigation treatment was 13.62% more water saving as compared to control treatment. The water saving increase in red, blue and white colour plastic mulch was 11.01, 9.12 and 3.31%, respectively over control treatment under different irrigations. It can also be seen in Table .1 that CD value of Drip factor is 0.214 , CD value of Mulch factor is 0.7014 and CD value of its interaction factor is 1.218.

Thus, the black colour plastic mulch in drip irrigation is beneficial for water saving in banana crop cultivation.

Table .1 Composite effect of drip irrigation and mulch on water saving (%) under different treatments over control treatment

| Sub-treatment (Mulch) | Main treatment ( Drip irrigation) |                |                |             |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
|                       | T <sub>1</sub>                    | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> | (%) Average |
| M <sub>1</sub>        | 10.30                             | 14.95          | 15.61          | 13.62       |
| M <sub>2</sub>        | 7.03                              | 11.40          | 8.94           | 9.12        |
| M <sub>3</sub>        | 8.25                              | 12.90          | 11.88          | 11.01       |
| M <sub>4</sub>        | 0.68                              | 4.16           | 4.40           | 3.31        |
| <b>Mean</b>           | 6.56                              | 10.85          | 10.21          |             |

| Factor              | S.E <sub>m</sub> | CD     | CV   |
|---------------------|------------------|--------|------|
| Drip Irrigation (T) | 0.072            | 0.214  | 2.70 |
| Mulch (M)           | 0.237            | 0.7014 | 7072 |
| M X T               | 0.41             | 1.218  |      |

### 3.1.2 Rooting pattern

#### 3.1.2.1 Horizontal spreading of root

Table .2 illustrates how mulch and drip irrigation work together to affect the horizontal distribution of banana roots under various conditions. The study observed that among different colors of plastic mulch, white plastic mulch (M<sub>4</sub>) led to the maximum spreading of banana roots (104.13 cm), while black plastic mulch (M<sub>1</sub>) resulted in the minimum spreading (99.51 cm). Blue (M<sub>2</sub>) and red (M<sub>3</sub>) plastic mulches showed horizontal root spreading of 102.10 cm and 101.20 cm, respectively. Compared to the control treatment (without mulch), there was 7.24%, 4.83%, 5.67%, and 2.94% less horizontal spreading of banana roots in black, blue, red, and white plastic mulch, respectively.

#### 3.1.2.2 Vertical spreading of root

The trend of vertical spreading of banana root was found to be similar as horizontal spreading, i.e. maximum vertical spreading of 70.52 cm was noticed in treatment T<sub>3</sub>; 61.99 cm in treatment T<sub>2</sub> and minimum 54.44 cm in treatment T<sub>1</sub> as shown in Table .3. Amongst different colours of plastic mulch, the white colour plastic mulch (M<sub>4</sub>) result maximum vertical rooting depth to the tune of 63.29 cm (average), followed by 61.74 cm in blue colour mulch (M<sub>2</sub>), 60.79 cm in red colour (M<sub>3</sub>) and 59.10 cm in black colour plastic mulch (M<sub>1</sub>) as shown in Table 3. As compared to control treatment, the percentage reduction in vertical spreading of banana roots was found to be 12.21, 8.30, 9.71 and 6.00 in sub-treatment M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>, M<sub>3</sub> and M<sub>4</sub>, respectively.

Vertical rooting depth in response to mulches were found to be non - significant whereas effect of amount of drip irrigation was found to be significant at 1% level.

Table .2 Composite effects of drip irrigation and mulch on horizontal spreading of banana roots (cm)-.

| Sub-treatment (Mulch)    | Main treatment ( Drip irrigation) |                |                |                    |                                   |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                          | T <sub>1</sub>                    | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> | Sub-treatment mean | Percentage reduction over control |
| M <sub>1</sub>           | 90.33                             | 98.78          | 109.38         | 99.51              | 7.24                              |
| M <sub>2</sub>           | 93.21                             | 101.13         | 111.95         | 102.10             | 4.83                              |
| M <sub>3</sub>           | 92.42                             | 100.16         | 111.01         | 101.20             | 5.67                              |
| M <sub>4</sub>           | 95.23                             | 104.23         | 112.86         | 104.13             | 2.94                              |
| M <sub>5</sub> (control) | 98.11                             | 108.16         | 115.56         | 107.28             | -                                 |
| Main treatment mean      | 93.88                             | 102.50         | 112.16         | 102.83             |                                   |

| Treatment          | S.E <sub>m</sub> | CD (at 5%) | CV   |
|--------------------|------------------|------------|------|
| Main treatment (T) | 1.131            | 3.301      | 4.25 |
| Sub-treatment (M)  | 2.798            | NS         | 8.16 |
| M X T              | 4.84             | NS         | 4.84 |

\*\*: Significant at 1% level.

Table 3 Composite effects of drip irrigation and mulch on vertical spreading of banana roots (cm) under different treatments.

| Sub-treatment (Mulch)    | Main treatment ( Drip irrigation) |                |                |                    |                                   |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                          | T <sub>1</sub>                    | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> | Sub-treatment mean | Percentage reduction over control |
| M <sub>1</sub>           | 51.04                             | 59.16          | 67.10          | 59.10              | 12.21                             |
| M <sub>2</sub>           | 53.82                             | 61.49          | 69.89          | 61.74              | 8.30                              |
| M <sub>3</sub>           | 53.03                             | 60.26          | 69.08          | 60.79              | 9.71                              |
| M <sub>4</sub>           | 55.75                             | 63.07          | 71.04          | 63.29              | 6                                 |
| M <sub>5</sub> (control) | 58.56                             | 65.97          | 72.45          | 66.66              | ---                               |
| Main treatment mean      | 54.44                             | 61.99          | 70.52          | 62.31              |                                   |

| Treatment      | S.Em | CD (at 5%) | CV   | F-value |
|----------------|------|------------|------|---------|
| Main treatment | 1.15 | 4.53       | 7.18 | 48.38** |
| Sub-treatment  | 1.87 | NS         | 9.04 | 2.33    |

\*\*: Significant at 1% level.

### 3.3 Effect of Mulch on Soil-Plant Environment

The soil temperature (at 20 cm depth), soil moisture (at 30 cm depth) and solar radiation were considered as the soil-plant environment parameters to explore the effect of mulch. The composite effect of drip irrigation and mulch on soil temperature, soil moisture and solar radiation are shown in Table 4, 5 and .6, respectively.

### 3.3.1 Soil temperature

As per Table 4, it is found that the soil temperature increase due to decrease in depth of irrigation and vice-versa. Amongst different treatments of drip irrigation, the average maximum daily soil temperature at 20 cm depth was found to be 23.69°C in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (application of 60% of V volume of water through drip) with mulch, while average minimum daily soil temperature was found to be 22.41°C.

Amongst different colours of plastic mulch, the black colour mulch developed maximum soil temperature i.e. 24°C due to absorption of more solar radiation, while white colour plastic mulch developed minimum soil temperature i.e. 21.67°C. The red and blue colours mulch generated the soil temperature to the tune of 22.85 and 22.4°C, respectively during banana crop period. The increase in soil temperature under different plastic mulch was computed to be 15.22 % in sub-treatment M<sub>1</sub>, 7.54% in sub-treatment M<sub>2</sub>, 9.70% in sub treatment M<sub>3</sub> and 4.03% in sub-treatment M<sub>4</sub> over control treatment.

The composite effect of drip irrigation (main treatment) and mulch (sub-treatment) on soil temperature was found significant at 1% level with the F-value 47.84 and 4.82, respectively.

Table .4 Composite effect of drip irrigation and mulch on soil temperature (°C) at 20 cm depth during crop period under different treatment.

| Sub-treatment<br>(Mulch) | Main treatment ( Drip irrigation) |                |                |                           |  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------|--|
|                          | T <sub>1</sub>                    | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> | Sub-<br>treatment<br>mean | Percentage<br>increase over<br>control |
| M <sub>1</sub>           | 22.60                             | 24.03          | 25.36          | 24.00                     | 15.22                                  |
| M <sub>2</sub>           | 21.10                             | 22.40          | 23.70          | 22.4                      | 7.54                                   |
| M <sub>3</sub>           | 21.53                             | 22.83          | 24.20          | 22.85                     | 9.70                                   |
| M <sub>4</sub>           | 20.23                             | 21.80          | 23.00          | 21.67                     | 4.03                                   |
| M <sub>5</sub> (control) | 19.30                             | 21.00          | 22.20          | 20.83                     | ----                                   |
| Main treatment<br>mean   | 20.95                             | 22.41          | 23.69          | 22.35                     | -----                                  |

| Treatment      | S.Em | CD (at 5%) | CV   | F-Value |
|----------------|------|------------|------|---------|
| Main treatment | 0.19 | 0.77       | 3.43 | 47.84** |
| Sub-treatment  | 0.54 | 1.59       | 7.32 | 4.82**  |

\*\* : Significant at 1% level.

### 3.3.2 Soil moisture

On comparison from Table .5, it was found that the maximum average monthly soil moisture (29.29%) was in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (application of 100% of volume of water through drip) followed by 26.00% in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (application of 80% V volume

of water through drip) and minimum 23.58% in treatment T<sub>3</sub>(application of 60% of V volume of water through drip).

The black colour plastic mulch was found to conserve maximum amount of water in the soil i.e. 28.49% while minimum 25.32% in white colour plastic mulch. The blue and red colours plastic mulch conserved 26.65 and 27.28% moisture content in soil. Overall, the composite effect of black plastic mulch and application of 100% of V volume water through drip (treatment T<sub>1</sub>) was found better for water conservation in the soil. The percentage increase in soil moisture due to plastic mulch over control treatment (M<sub>5</sub>) was 20.11, 12.35, 15.01 and 6.75% in sub-treatment M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>, M<sub>3</sub> and M<sub>4</sub>, respectively. The reason of more soil moisture conserved in black colour plastic mulch at higher temperature because at higher temperature, more water evaporates from the soil which gets condense and stored in upper soil profiles. As per statically analysis, it was found that the levels of moistures conservation under main and sub – main treatment are highly significant with F- value of 275.99 (main treatment) and 6.58 (sub main-treatment).

Table .5 Composite effect of drip irrigation and mulch on soil moisture (%) at 30 cm depth under different treatments.

| Sub-treatment (Mulch)    | Main treatment ( Drip irrigation) |                |                |                    |                                  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
|                          | T <sub>1</sub>                    | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> | Sub-treatment mean | Percentage increase over control |
| M <sub>1</sub>           | 31.35                             | 28.92          | 25.20          | 28.49              | 20.11                            |
| M <sub>2</sub>           | 29.21                             | 26.86          | 23.87          | 26.65              | 12.35                            |
| M <sub>3</sub>           | 29.77                             | 27.45          | 24.63          | 27.28              | 15.01                            |
| M <sub>4</sub>           | 28.54                             | 24.22          | 23.20          | 25.32              | 6.75                             |
| M <sub>5</sub> (control) | 27.60                             | 22.55          | 21.00          | 23.72              | -----                            |
| Main treatment mean      | 29.29                             | 26.00          | 23.58          | 26.29              | -----                            |

| Treatment      | S.Em | CD (at 5%) | CV   | F-Value  |
|----------------|------|------------|------|----------|
| Main treatment | 0.17 | 0.67       | 2.54 | 275.99** |
| Sub-treatment  | 0.71 | 2.09.      | 8.17 | 6.58**   |

\*\* : Significant at 1% level.

### 3.3.3 Solar radiation

On perusal of Table .6, it was found that there is no effect of change in depth of irrigation on transmission of solar radiation inside the plastic mulch. In all the treatments, i.e. T<sub>1</sub> (application of 100% of V volume of water through drip) T<sub>2</sub> (application of 80% of V volume of water through drip) and T<sub>3</sub> (application of 60% of V volume of water through drip), the transmission solar radiation was recorded to the tune of 35.63 ly/h.

On contrast, the effect of colour mulch was found to be quite significant on transmission of solar radiation. The maximum transmitted solar radiation was noticed inside the white colour plastic mulch (M<sub>4</sub>), i.e. 51.15 ly/h (daily average) followed by 36.10 ly/h in

blue colour (M<sub>2</sub>); 28.44 ly/h in red colour (M<sub>3</sub>) and 3.23 ly/h in black colour plastic mulch (M<sub>1</sub>). Overall, as compared to control treatment, about 94.55, 39.09, 52.02 and 13.67% more solar radiation was intercepted in black, blue, red and white colour plastic mulch, respectively.

Table 6 Composite effect of drip irrigation and mulch on daily average solar radiation (ly/h) transmitted below the mulch under different treatments

| Sub-treatment (Mulch)    | Main treatment ( Drip irrigation) |                |                |                    |                                      |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                          | T <sub>1</sub>                    | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> | Sub-treatment mean | Percentage decrease inside the mulch |
| M <sub>1</sub>           | 3.23                              | 3.23           | 3.23           | 3.23               | 94.55                                |
| M <sub>2</sub>           | 36.10                             | 36.10          | 36.10          | 36.10              | 39.09                                |
| M <sub>3</sub>           | 28.44                             | 28.44          | 28.44          | 28.44              | 52.02                                |
| M <sub>4</sub>           | 51.15                             | 51.15          | 51.15          | 51.15              | 13.67                                |
| M <sub>5</sub> (control) | 59.27                             | 59.27          | 59.27          | 59.27              |                                      |
| Main treatment mean      | 35.63                             | 35.63          | 35.63          |                    |                                      |

### 3.4 Effect on Yield and Quality of Banana

#### 3.4.1 Yield parameters

The parameters, such as length of bunch, number of hands per bunch, number of fingers per bunch, weight of bunch and yield potential of banana were evaluated under different treatments and presented in Tables from .7 to .11.

##### 3.4.1.1 Length of bunch

The composite effect of drip irrigation (main treatment) and mulch (sub-treatment) on length of banana bunch is presented in Table .7, which indicated that amongst different treatments of drip irrigation, the maximum length of banana bunch was found in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (when 80% water was applied through drip) to the tune of 101.90 cm (average), while minimum 92.83 cm in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (i.e. when 60% water was applied through drip). In treatment T<sub>1</sub> (when 100% water was applied through drip) it was 100.71 cm.

In case of different colours of plastic mulch, the maximum bunch length was noticed in sub-treatment M<sub>1</sub> (black colour plastic mulch) to the tune of 102.44 cm (average) and minimum 97.06 cm (average) in sub-treatment M<sub>4</sub> (white colour plastic mulch). In sub-treatments M<sub>2</sub> (blue colour plastic mulch) and M<sub>3</sub> (red colour plastic mulch), the average length of banana bunch was found to be 99.37 and 100.61 cm, respectively. The variations in the length of banana bunch amongst different treatments were estimated to be 10.22, 6.92, 8.25 and 4.43% greater in sub-treatments black, blue, red and white colour plastic mulch, respectively over control treatment. As per statistical analysis, the effect of main treatment (drip irrigation) was found significant at 5% level on length of banana bunch having F – value as 15.71, while effect of mulching treatments was found non-significant.

Table .7 Composite effect of drip irrigation and mulch on length of banana bunch (cm) of banana under different treatments.

| Sub-treatment (Mulch)    | Main treatment ( Drip irrigation) |                |                |                    |                                  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
|                          | T <sub>1</sub>                    | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> | Sub-treatment mean | Percentage increase over control |
| M <sub>1</sub>           | 103.89                            | 106.21         | 97.24          | 102.44             | 10.22                            |
| M <sub>2</sub>           | 101.03                            | 103.09         | 94.00          | 99.37              | 6.92                             |
| M <sub>3</sub>           | 102.15                            | 104.33         | 95.35          | 100.67             | 8.25                             |
| M <sub>4</sub>           | 99.21                             | 100.93         | 91.03          | 97.06              | 4.43                             |
| M <sub>5</sub> (control) | 97.30                             | 94.97          | 86.54          | 92.94              |                                  |
| Main treatment mean      | 100.71                            | 101.90         | 92.83          | 98.48              |                                  |
| Treatment                | S.Em                              |                | CD (at 5%)     | CV                 | F-Value                          |
| Main treatment           | 1.24                              |                | 4.88           | 4.89               | 15.71*                           |
| Sub-treatment            | 3.18                              |                | NS             | 9.71               | 1.32                             |

\*: Significant at 1% level.

#### 3.4.1.2 Number of hands per bunch

As per Table .8, it was found that the maximum number of hands per bunch was in treatment T<sub>2</sub>, i.e. 11.33 (average) amongst different treatments of drip irrigation, followed by 10.86 in treatment T<sub>1</sub> and minimum 8.53 in treatment T<sub>3</sub>. Similarly, amongst different colours of plastic mulch, the black colour plastic mulch (M<sub>1</sub>) appeared to produce maximum number of hands per bunch (11.37), while white colour (M<sub>4</sub>) produced minimum number of hands per bunch (10.00). the other plastic mulches, such as blue (M<sub>2</sub>) and red (M<sub>3</sub>) colours plastic mulch produced 10.51 and 10.66 (average) number of banana hands per bunch. As compared to control treatment (M<sub>5</sub>), the variation in number of hands per bunch of banana was estimated to be 31.29, 21.36, 23.09 and 15.47%, respectively.

The effect of main treatments (drip irrigation) and sub-treatments (mulch) was found to be significant at 1% levels, with their F- value of 134.04 and 5.89, respectively.

Table .8 Composite effect of drip irrigation and mulch on number of hands per bunch of banana under different treatments.

| Sub-treatment<br>(Mulch) | Main treatment ( Drip irrigation) |                |                |                    |                                  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
|                          | T <sub>1</sub>                    | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> | Sub-treatment mean | Percentage increase over control |
| M <sub>1</sub>           | 11.77                             | 12.66          | 9.66           | 11.37              | 31.29                            |
| M <sub>2</sub>           | 10.89                             | 11.77          | 8.89           | 10.51              | 21.36                            |
| M <sub>3</sub>           | 11.00                             | 12.00          | 9.00           | 10.66              | 23.09                            |
| M <sub>4</sub>           | 10.66                             | 11.22          | 8.11           | 10.00              | 15.47                            |
| M <sub>5</sub> (control) | 10.00                             | 9.00           | 7.00           | 8.66               | -                                |
| Main treatment mean      | 10.86                             | 11.33          | 8.53           | 10.24              |                                  |
| Treatment                | S.Em                              |                | CD (at 5%)     | CV                 | F-Value                          |
| Main treatment           | 0.12                              |                | 0.50           | 4.89               | 134.04**                         |
| Sub-treatment            | 0.41                              |                | 1.21           | 12.17              | 5.89**                           |

\*\* : Significant at 1% level.

#### 3.4.1.3 Number of fingers per bunch

On perusal of Table 9, it was observed that the maximum number of banana fingers i.e. 107.97 (average) was in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (when 80% V volume of irrigation water was applied through drip) followed by 105.95 in T<sub>1</sub>, (when 100% V volume of water was applied through drip) and minimum 97.58 in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (when 60% V volume of water was applied through drip).

The better effect of mulch on number of banana fingers per bunch was noticed under sub-treatment M<sub>1</sub> (black colour plastic mulch), i.e. 109.26 (maximum average). On contrast the white colour plastic mulch (M<sub>4</sub>) produced minimum number of fingers per bunch, i.e. 101.74 (average). The blue (M<sub>2</sub>) and red colours plastic mulch (M<sub>3</sub>) resulted 105.22 and 106.55 banana fingers per bunch, respectively. Overall, as compared to control treatment, the increase in number of banana fingers per bunch was estimated to be 13.34, 9.15, 10.53 and 5.53%, respectively in sub-treatment M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>, M<sub>3</sub>, and M<sub>4</sub>.

The effect of mulch (i.e. sub-treatments) on number of fingers per bunch was found significant at 5% level having 2.79 as F-value.

Table 9 Composite effect of drip irrigation and mulch on number of fingers per bunch of banana under different treatments.

| Sub-treatment (Mulch)    | Main treatment ( Drip irrigation) |                |                |                    |                                  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
|                          | T <sub>1</sub>                    | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> | Sub-treatment mean | Percentage increase over control |
| M <sub>1</sub>           | 110.00                            | 113.78         | 104.00         | 109.26             | 13.34                            |
| M <sub>2</sub>           | 106.00                            | 109.11         | 100.55         | 105.22             | 9.15                             |
| M <sub>3</sub>           | 107.33                            | 111.00         | 101.33         | 106.55             | 10.53                            |
| M <sub>4</sub>           | 104.44                            | 105.77         | 95.00          | 101.74             | 5.54                             |
| M <sub>5</sub> (control) | 102.00                            | 100.22         | 87.00          | 96.40              | -                                |
| Main treatment mean      | 105.95                            | 107.97         | 97.58          | 104.23             |                                  |

| Treatment      | S.Em | CD (at 5%) | CV   | F-Value |
|----------------|------|------------|------|---------|
| Main treatment | 2.56 | NS         | 9.51 | 3.58    |
| Sub-treatment  | 2.84 | 8.31       | 8.19 | 2.79*   |

\*: Significant at 5% level.

#### 3.4.1.4 Weight of bunch

The composite effect of drip irrigation and plastic mulch on weight of banana bunch are shown in Table .10. On perusal, it was found that amongst different treatments of drip irrigation, the treatment T<sub>2</sub> (80% application of V volume of water through drip) resulted maximum bunch weight to be the tune of 19.77 kg (average), while treatment T<sub>3</sub> (60% application of V volume of water through drip) noticed minimum weight of bunch (17.47 kg). In treatment T<sub>1</sub> (100% application of V volume of water through drip), it was 19.42 kg.

Amongst different colours of plastic mulches, the black colour plastic mulch (M<sub>1</sub>) appeared to result highest bunch weight, i.e. 20.18 kg (average) followed by 19.6 kg in red colour plastic mulch (M<sub>3</sub>); 19.18 kg in blue colour plastic mulch (M<sub>2</sub>) and lowest 18.03 kg in case of white colour plastic mulch (M<sub>4</sub>). The increase in weight of banana bunch under different sub-treatments over control treatment was computed to be 15.71% in sub-treatment M<sub>1</sub>, 9.98% in sub-treatment M<sub>2</sub>, 12.39% in sub-treatment M<sub>3</sub> and 3.38% in sub-treatment M<sub>4</sub>.

As per statistical analysis the effect of drip irrigation was found significant at 5% level (F-value 6.94) while the effect of mulching was found to be highly significant.

Table .10 Composite effect of drip irrigation and mulch on bunch weight (kg) of banana under different treatments.

| Sub-treatment (Mulch)    | Main treatment ( Drip irrigation) |                |                |                    |                                  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
|                          | T <sub>1</sub>                    | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> | Sub-treatment mean | Percentage increase over control |
| M <sub>1</sub>           | 20.44                             | 21.16          | 18.95          | 20.18              | 15.71                            |
| M <sub>2</sub>           | 19.72                             | 20.28          | 17.56          | 19.18              | 9.98                             |
| M <sub>3</sub>           | 19.99                             | 20.66          | 18.14          | 19.60              | 12.39                            |
| M <sub>4</sub>           | 18.40                             | 18.78          | 16.72          | 18.03              | 3.38                             |
| M <sub>5</sub> (control) | 18.34                             | 18.00          | 15.98          | 17.44              | -                                |
| Main treatment mean      | 19.42                             | 19.77          | 17.47          | 18.89              |                                  |

| Treatment      | S.Em | CD (at 5%) | CV   | F-Value |
|----------------|------|------------|------|---------|
| Main treatment | 0.47 | 1.87       | 9.78 | 6.94*   |
| Sub-treatment  | 0.50 | 1.48       | 8.09 | 4.90**  |

\*: Significant at 5% level.

\*\* : Significant at 1% level.

#### 3.4.1.5 Yield

The yield as the ultimate productive parameter of banana crop was also found to be highest in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (application of 80% V volume of water through drip), i.e. 879.42 q/ha (average), while minimum 776.54 q/ha in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (application of 60% V volume of water through drip). In treatment T<sub>1</sub> (application of 100% V volume of water through drip), the average yield of banana was harvested at the rate of 863.09 q/ha (Table .11).

Like other productive parameters, the yield was also noticed to be maximum, i.e. 897.19 q/ha (average) in black colour plastic mulching (M<sub>1</sub>), while lowest in white colour mulch to the tune of 801.50 q/ha. In other treatments, such as in blue (M<sub>2</sub>) and red colour plastic mulches (M<sub>3</sub>), the yield was found to be 853.32 and 871.13 q/ha respectively. Overall, as compared to control treatment (without mulch), the increase in banana yield was estimated to be 15.72% in sub-treatment M<sub>1</sub>, 10.07% in sub-treatment M<sub>2</sub>, 12.36% in sub-treatment M<sub>3</sub> and 3.38 % in sub-treatment M<sub>4</sub>.

The composite effect of drip irrigation (main treatment) and plastic mulch (sub-treatment) on banana yield was found to be significant at 5% (6.94 F-value) and 1% level (4.94 F-value), respectively.

Table.11 Composite effect of drip irrigation and mulch on yield (q/ha) of banana under different treatments.

| Sub-treatment (Mulch)    | Main treatment ( Drip irrigation) |                |                |                    |                                  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
|                          | T <sub>1</sub>                    | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> | Sub-treatment mean | Percentage increase over control |
| M <sub>1</sub>           | 908.78                            | 940.75         | 842.04         | 897.19             | 15.72                            |
| M <sub>2</sub>           | 876.70                            | 902.90         | 780.37         | 853.32             | 10.07                            |
| M <sub>3</sub>           | 888.34                            | 918.69         | 806.38         | 871.13             | 12.36                            |
| M <sub>4</sub>           | 826.50                            | 834.71         | 743.31         | 801.50             | 3.38                             |
| M <sub>5</sub> (control) | 815.16                            | 800.05         | 710.63         | 775.28             | -                                |
| Main treatment mean      | 863.09                            | 879.42         | 776.54         | 839.69             |                                  |

| Treatment      | S.Em  | CD (at 5%) | CV   | F-Value |
|----------------|-------|------------|------|---------|
| Main treatment | 21.11 | 82.88      | 9.73 | 6.94*   |
| Sub-treatment  | 22.60 | 65.97      | 8.07 | 4.94**  |

\*: Significant at 5% level.

\*\* : Significant at 1% level.

### 3.4.2 Quality parameters

The quality of banana fruits was evaluated on the basis of length of fingers, circumference of fingers and weight of fingers. The composite effect of drip irrigation and mulch on these parameters are presented in Tables from 12 to 14.

#### 3.4.2.1 Length of banana finger

Amongst different treatments of drip irrigation (with mulch), the average length of banana fingers was found to be maximum in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (when 80% of V volume of water was applied through drip) to the tune of 14.01 cm (average), while in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (when 60% of V volume of water was applied through drip) it was 10.77 cm (average). The treatment T<sub>1</sub> (when 100% of V volume of water was applied through drip) resulted 13.33 cm as the average length of banana fingers (Table 12).

Table 12 Composite effect of drip irrigation and mulch on length of banana fingers(cm) under different treatments.

| Sub-treatment (Mulch)    | Main treatment ( Drip irrigation) |                |                |                    |                                  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
|                          | T <sub>1</sub>                    | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> | Sub-treatment mean | Percentage increase over control |
| M <sub>1</sub>           | 14.61                             | 15.58          | 12.84          | 14.34              | 32.65                            |
| M <sub>2</sub>           | 13.28                             | 14.31          | 11.05          | 12.88              | 19.15                            |
| M <sub>3</sub>           | 13.88                             | 14.76          | 11.78          | 13.47              | 24.61                            |
| M <sub>4</sub>           | 12.81                             | 13.37          | 9.83           | 12.00              | 11.01                            |
| M <sub>5</sub> (control) | 12.06                             | 12.01          | 8.35           | 10.81              | -                                |
| Main treatment mean      | 13.33                             | 14.01          | 10.77          | 12.70              |                                  |

| Treatment      | S.Em | CD (at 5%) | CV    | F-Value |
|----------------|------|------------|-------|---------|
| Main treatment | 0.21 | 0.86       | 6.69  | 60.54** |
| Sub-treatment  | 0.45 | 1.31       | 10.64 | 9.10**  |

\*\* : Significant at 1% level.

In case of plastic mulches, the maximum length of banana fingers was harvested in black colour plastic mulch (M<sub>1</sub>), i.e. 14.34 cm (average) followed by red colour plastic mulch (M<sub>3</sub>) 13.47 cm, blue colour plastic mulch (M<sub>2</sub>) 12.88 cm and minimum finger length 12 cm in white colour plastic mulch (M<sub>4</sub>). The percentage increase in length of banana fingers under different sub-treatments over control is shown in Table 13, which revealed that percentage increase in length of banana fingers under sub-treatments M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>, M<sub>3</sub> and M<sub>4</sub> was 32.65, 19.15, 24.61 and 11.01 respectively over control treatment.

Statically, the effect of drip irrigation and mulch for increasing the length of banana fingers was found to be highly significant.

#### 3.4.2.2 Circumference of finger

The composite effect of drip irrigation (main treatment) and mulch (sub-treatment) on circumference of banana fingers is presented in Table 13. on comparison, it was found that amongst different treatment of drip irrigation the maximum circumference, i.e. 11.90 cm (average) was in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (when 80% of V volume of water was applied through drip), while minimum 9.73 cm (average) was in treatment T<sub>3</sub>(when 60% of V volume of water was applied through drip). In treatment T<sub>1</sub> (when 100% of V volume of water was applied through drip), the circumference of banana finger was found to be 11.27 cm.

In case of different colours of plastic mulch (sub-treatment), the maximum circumference of banana fingers was noticed in sub-treatment M<sub>1</sub> (black colour plastic mulch) to the tune of 12.04 cm (average), while it was minimum in white colour plastic mulch (M<sub>4</sub>) i.e. 10.41 cm (average). In other sub-treatments such as blue colour (M<sub>2</sub>) and red colour plastic mulch (M<sub>3</sub>), the circumference of banana finger was found to be 11.17 and 11.66 cm respectively. The percentage increase in circumference of banana fingers over control (M<sub>5</sub>) was estimated

to be 26.21% in sub-treatment M<sub>1</sub>, 17.09% in sub-treatment M<sub>2</sub>, 22.22% in sub-treatment M<sub>3</sub> and 9.12% in sub-treatment M<sub>4</sub>.

As per statistical analysis, the effect of main treatments (drip irrigation) on circumference of banana was found significant at 5% level with 8.76 as F-value; while effect of sub-treatment (mulch) was significant at 1% (F-value 6.85).

Table 13 Composite effect of drip irrigation and mulch on circumference of banana fingers(cm) under different treatments.

| Sub-treatment (Mulch)    | Main treatment ( Drip irrigation) |                |                |                    |                                  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
|                          | T <sub>1</sub>                    | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> | Sub-treatment mean | Percentage increase over control |
| M <sub>1</sub>           | 11.91                             | 13.24          | 10.98          | 12.04              | 26.21                            |
| M <sub>2</sub>           | 11.33                             | 12.2           | 9.99           | 11.17              | 17.09                            |
| M <sub>3</sub>           | 11.75                             | 12.87          | 10.37          | 11.66              | 22.22                            |
| M <sub>4</sub>           | 10.96                             | 11.11          | 9.16           | 10.41              | 9.12                             |
| M <sub>5</sub> (control) | 10.41                             | 10.08          | 8.13           | 9.54               | -                                |
| Main treatment mean      | 11.27                             | 11.90          | 9.73           | 10.97              |                                  |

| Treatment      | S.Em | CD (at 5%) | CV    | F-Value |
|----------------|------|------------|-------|---------|
| Main treatment | 0.37 | 1.48       | 13.33 | 8.76*   |
| Sub-treatment  | 0.38 | 1.11       | 10.48 | 6.85**  |

\*: Significant at 5% level.

\*\* : Significant at 1% level.

#### 4.4.2.3 Weight of finger

The effect of black colour plastic mulch (M<sub>1</sub>) was found better to resulted highest weight of banana fingers, while poor performance was noticed in case of white colour mulch(M<sub>4</sub>). The effect of blue (M<sub>2</sub>) and red colours (M<sub>3</sub>) plastic mulches was slightly inferior to the black colour plastic mulch. Overall, the average weight of banana finger under black, blue, red and white colours plastic mulches was found to be 115.4, 110.95, 111.92 and 108.30 gram respectively. In comparison to control treatment (without mulch) the increase in weight of banana fingers under different sub- treatments was computed to be 10.21% in sub-treatment M<sub>1</sub>, 5.96% in sub- treatment M<sub>2</sub>, 6.89% in sub-treatment M<sub>3</sub> and 3.43% in sub-treatment M<sub>4</sub>.

Regarding statistical significance of effect of irrigation (T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>) was found significant at 5% with 6.09 as CD-value while the effect of mulches on weight of banana finger was non-significant.

Table .14 Composite effect of drip irrigation and mulch on weight of banana fingers(g) under different treatments.

| Sub-treatment (Mulch) | Main treatment ( Drip irrigation) |                |                |                    |                                  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
|                       | T <sub>1</sub>                    | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> | Sub-treatment mean | Percentage increase over control |

|                          |        |        |        |        |       |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| M <sub>1</sub>           | 116.88 | 120.82 | 108.5  | 115.40 | 10.21 |
| M <sub>2</sub>           | 113.05 | 115.94 | 103.87 | 110.95 | 5.96  |
| M <sub>3</sub>           | 114.16 | 117.43 | 104.18 | 111.92 | 6.89  |
| M <sub>4</sub>           | 111.96 | 113.06 | 100.13 | 108.30 | 3.43  |
| M <sub>5</sub> (control) | 110.05 | 107.97 | 96.11  | 104.71 | -     |
| Main treatment mean      | 113.22 | 115.04 | 102.56 | 110.27 |       |

|                |      |            |      |         |
|----------------|------|------------|------|---------|
| Treatment      | S.Em | CD (at 5%) | CV   | F-Value |
| Main treatment | 1.55 | 6.09       | 5.45 | 18.87** |
| Sub-treatment  | 3.19 | NS         | 8.69 | 1.57    |

\*\* : Significant at 1% level.

### 3.5 Water use efficiency

The computed values of water use efficiencies under different treatments (drip irrigation and mulch) are presented in Table 15. On comparison, it was found that amongst different treatments of drip irrigation, the treatment T<sub>3</sub> (application of 60% of V volume of water was applied through drip) resulted highest water use efficiency to the tune of 26.30 q/ha cm, while treatment T<sub>1</sub> (application of 100% of V volume of water was applied through drip) noticed lowest water use efficiency, i.e. 17.53 q/ha cm, due to supply of full volume of water to the crop. The water use efficiency in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (application of 80% of V volume of water was applied through drip) was 22.32 q/ha cm, which is greater than T<sub>1</sub> but lesser than T<sub>3</sub>.

As far as, the effect of different colour plastic mulch on water use efficiency is concerned, the black colour plastic mulch appeared to result highest (23.62 q/ha cm) water use efficiency, while white colour mulch was found inferior (20.31 q/ha cm) for increase water use efficiency in banana crop. Overall, in comparison to control treatment (without mulch) about 16.35, 10.19, 12.70 and 3.64% greater water use efficiency was found in sub-treatments M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>, M<sub>3</sub> and M<sub>4</sub> respectively.

Table 15 Computed values of water use efficiency (q/ha cm) under different treatments.

| Sub-treatment (Mulch)    | Main treatment (irrigation) |                |                |                    |                                  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
|                          | T <sub>1</sub>              | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> | Sub-treatment mean | Percentage increase over control |
| M <sub>1</sub>           | 18.46                       | 23.88          | 28.54          | 23.63              | 16.35                            |
| M <sub>2</sub>           | 17.80                       | 22.92          | 26.42          | 22.38              | 10.19                            |
| M <sub>3</sub>           | 18.04                       | 23.32          | 27.30          | 22.89              | 12.70                            |
| M <sub>4</sub>           | 16.79                       | 21.19          | 25.16          | 21.05              | 3.64                             |
| M <sub>5</sub> (control) | 16.55                       | 20.31          | 24.06          | 20.31              | -                                |
| Main treatment mean      | 17.53                       | 22.32          | 26.30          | -                  |                                  |

### 3.6 Cost economics

The benefit-cost ratios of banana cultivation under different treatment of drip irrigation (T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, and T<sub>3</sub>) and plastic mulching (M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>, M<sub>3</sub>, and M<sub>4</sub>) are presented in Table.16, which revealed that amongst different treatments of drip irrigation, the treatment T<sub>2</sub> (application of

80% V volume of water through drip) generated highest b/c ratio, i.e. 3.76 (average), while lowest 3.36 (average) in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (application of 60% V volume of water through drip). The b/c ratio 3.51 (average) was found in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (application of 100% V volume of water through drip).

Amongst different colours of plastic mulch (sub-treatment) the highest b/c ratio was found in case of black colour plastic mulch (M<sub>1</sub>) to the tune of 3.84 (average) followed by 3.70 in sub-treatment M<sub>3</sub> (red colour plastic mulch); 3.60 in sub-treatment M<sub>2</sub> (blue colour plastic mulch) and lowest 3.32 in sub-treatment M<sub>4</sub>(white colour plastic mulch). The percentage increase in b/c ratio over control (without mulch) treatment was 17.79, 10.43, 13.50 and 1.84% in sub-treatment M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>, M<sub>3</sub>, M<sub>4</sub> and M<sub>5</sub>, respectively.

Table 16 Composite effect of drip irrigation and mulch on benefit-cost ratio under different treatments.

| Sub-treatment<br>(Mulch) | Main treatment (irrigation) |                |                |                    |                                  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
|                          | T <sub>1</sub>              | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> | Sub-treatment mean | Percentage increase over control |
| M <sub>1</sub>           | 3.74                        | 4.08           | 3.70           | 3.84               | 17.79                            |
| M <sub>2</sub>           | 3.58                        | 3.87           | 3.36           | 3.60               | 10.43                            |
| M <sub>3</sub>           | 3.64                        | 3.96           | 3.50           | 3.70               | 13.50                            |
| M <sub>4</sub>           | 3.31                        | 3.50           | 3.15           | 3.32               | 1.84                             |
| M <sub>5</sub> (control) | 3.26                        | 3.40           | 3.11           | 3.26               | -                                |
| Main treatment mean      | 3.51                        | 3.76           | 3.36           | -                  |                                  |

## Conclusions

The study investigated the combined impact of drip irrigation and different colors of plastic mulch on banana crop growth and yield. It revealed that drip irrigation, especially with 80% of the water volume (T<sub>2</sub>), significantly improved vegetative growth, yield, and cost-effectiveness. Among the plastic mulch colors, black mulch proved most effective in enhancing soil and plant conditions, growth, and yield parameters, followed by red and blue mulches. Conversely, white plastic mulch yielded inferior results compared to the other colors. Black plastic mulch excelled in conserving water in the soil and raising soil temperature due to its superior solar radiation absorption. White plastic mulch, on the other hand, exhibited the highest transmission of solar radiation. Interestingly, white plastic mulch also promoted the deepest vertical rooting depth. The study found that treatment T<sub>2</sub>, with 80% water volume through drip, resulted in the highest banana crop yield, while treatment T<sub>3</sub>, with 60% water volume, produced the lowest yield.

Additionally, black plastic mulch demonstrated the highest water use efficiency and benefit-cost ratio among the different mulch colors. These findings underscore the significant impact of both drip irrigation and plastic mulch color on banana crop growth and yield, highlighting the potential benefits of adopting these practices in banana cultivation.

## References

- Anonymous (1997). Training manual on use of plastic in agriculture, NCPAH, Ministry of agriculture, GoI, New Delhi.
- Chattopadhyay, P. K., & Sarad Gurung, S. G. (1994). Soil nutrient, soil temperature and soil moisture status of banana field under various soil cover.
- Brault, D., Stewart, K. A., & Jenni, S. (2002). Optical properties of paper and polyethylene mulches used for weed control in lettuce.
- Burt, C., & Styles, S. W. (2007). *Drip and Micro Irrigation Design and Management: For Trees, Vines, and Field Crops: Practice Plus Theory*. Irrigation Training and Res.
- Chakarborty, R. C., & Sadhu, M. K. (1994). Effect of mulch type and colour on growth and yield of tomato. *Indian J. Agric. Sci*, 64(9), 608-612.
- Chawla, J. K., & Narda, N. K. (2001). Economy in water and fertilizer use in trickle fertigated potato 1. *Irrigation and Drainage: The journal of the International Commission on Irrigation and Drainage*, 50(2), 129-137.
- Hooda, R. S., Jitendra Singh, J. S., Malik, Y. S., & Batra, V. K. (1999). Influence of direct seeding, transplanting time and mulching on tomato yield.
- Pawar, D. D., Raskhar, B. S., Bangar, A. R., & Bhoi, P. G. (2001). Shinde. 1997. Effects of water soluble fertilizers through drip and planting techniques on growth, yield and quality of banana. Singh, HP, Kaushish, SP, Ashwani Kumar, Murthy, TS, Jose, C and Samuel.(eds.).
- Patel, N., & Rajput, T. B. S. (2011). Drip Irrigation p183. *Directorate of Knowledge Management in Agriculture, Indian Council of Agricultural Research. Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan, New Delhi Sivanappan, RK, Kuumar, OP and Kumar, 1987.*
- Sivanappan, R.K., Kumar, O.P., & Kumar, V. (1987). Drip irrigation. Coimbatore, India: Keerthi Publication House Pvt. Ltd.
- Singh, S.R 2000. Annual Report for 1999-2000, Directorate of Water Management Research, Patna.