

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_119950
Title of the Manuscript:	A review on Orchard Management and Cultivation Practices
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Theme is very important but the reshape to improve the content</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Need to improve</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? No. Because need to improve by proper evidence</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. No references. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. In introduction authors discussed much history of orchard rather than the importance of this review which could be improved.</p> <p>2. I cannot find any relevant references in different sections. In a review paper, citing the another researchers and narrate the current as well as future knowledge are very significant. So, authors must consider proper citation in the whole papers.</p> <p>3. Tables are not appropriate which could show the limited information with no references. Better to insert more informative figure and tables with relevant references.</p> <p>4. Conclusions should need to improve.</p> <p>5. I found many repeated sentences which should be removed and authors should be more carefully to use the format like citation style, unit, subscript and superscripts.</p> <p>6. Overall, I improvement needed to be publish as a scientific paper</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Need to be improved.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdul Kader
Department, University & Country	Rural Development Academy, Bangladesh