

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_123653
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of sowing methods and phosphorus levels on Growth, Yield and Economics of Summer Mungbean (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The author studied effect of different methods of sowing and phosphorus levels on the growth, yield attributes and yield of summer mungbean (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.) at Agricultural Research Farm, School of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, RIMT University, Mandi Gobindgarh. The author took total nine treatment combinations with methods of sowing (Flat, Ridge and Bed sowing) and three phosphorus levels (30, 40 and 50 kg/ha P₂O₅) were tested in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. The combination of Bed sowing + 50 kg/ha P₂O₅ treatment was found to be the best treatment.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The outcome of the research work indicated that the crop responded significantly to sowing methods and phosphorus in respect of growth, yield and economics (B:C ratio).The author reported that the effect of sowing methods and phosphorus levels was significantly better with treatment combination, Bed sowing + 50 kg/ha P₂O₅, in terms of growth attributes, yield attributes, yield and also performed economically well as compared to other treatments. The findings may be recommended for the cultivation of Summer Mungbean (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.)</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The article may be accepted for publication after minor revision</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The article is technically sound and well written 2. The author is suggested to incorporate one reference "Olsen 1954" in reference section. 3. The author is suggested to use the abbreviated form of journal name in all references used in the reference section.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khanin Pathak
Department, University & Country	Assam Agricultural University, India