

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123865
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING SEED DORMANCY IN MEDICINAL PLANTS – A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It give good review article covering the medicinal plant ,seed dormancy. Techniques applied for seedling arousal from dormancy. (Eberle et al., 2014). Various researchers have explored the impact of exogenous growth regulators on seed germination. For instance, Gibberellins have been found to eliminate the chilling requirements of peach and apple seeds, thereby enhancing their germination rates (Eberle et al., 2014) why compare with fruit plants) page 14	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	EXPLORING SEED DORMANCY and methods or techiques for arousal or germination.... IN MEDICINAL PLANTS Scarification techniques have been evolved during time and has become practically feasible for all the crops?????. Some of the notable methods of scarification include heat, freeze-thaw, page 16	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Number days are mentioned of germination after various treatment factors Observing first sprout.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes Conclusion is no way matching to content it is like general statement to sum up. Title is medicinal plants	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Ripening of seeds in days should be added, seed viability can be added if possible	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	good	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vinda Manjramkar
Department, University & Country	B. N. Bandodkar College of Science, India