

### Review Form 3

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JABB_123318</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Enhancing the Yield of Therapeutic Plasmids in Laboratory-Scale Maxiprep Preparations through a Modified Alkaline Lysis Approach</b> |
| Type of the Article      |   |

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | This article contain good information, which will help to reduce the cost.  |  |
| Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)   | Yes it is suitable title.   |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | I suggest structured abstract.  |  |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | Yes.  |  |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | It is good because it take vital problem and solved it. So it will get more attention from the researchers.   |  |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.   | This type or article about modification require more recent published paper as refrences. I do suggest add more new papers after 2020, that will be more valued to avoid duplication. |  |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  |   |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   | Yes it sound good   |  |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments  |   |  |

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

#### **Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                       |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Abdelhakam Hassan Ibrahim Ali</b>  |
| Department, University & Country | <b>University of Al-Butana, Sudan</b> |