

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_120831
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF DIFFERENT MATURITY MULBERRY LEAVES ON BIOLOGY OF BIVOLTINE SILKWORM (Bombyx mori L.)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment The MS is useful for field rearing of mulberry silkworms in Latur region of Maharashtra. However, authors should mention the mulberry variety used in this study and possible availability of mulberry variety in Latur area. Please see the comments or suggestions made in the text box in MS.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The MS is specific to the Latur area. Hence, one concluding line in the MS be added to make MS more meaningful.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Suitable	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropitae	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically correct. Mulberry variety should ne mentioned.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	References are suffiecient for such a small study.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable	
Optional/General comments	Efforts made by the authors are commendable for a non-traditional area of Sericulture.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pradeep Kumar Mishra
Department, University & Country	India