

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JEAI_118050
Title of the Manuscript:	HOST PLANT RESISTANCE STUDIES ON RICE CULTIVARS AGAINST SHEATH ROT CAUSED BY Sarocladium oryzae (SAWADA) GAMS AND HAWKSWORTH
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it will help the scientific community to know about the host resistance scenario in rice cultivars</p> <p>Yes it is.</p> <p>It needs some detail information about the experiment conducted. The formation of sentences is also not so appropriate. Kindly use Quillbot for paraphrasing.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The number of references is not acceptable. Please follow Author Guidelines. It does not even meet the standard number of references. Cite at least 30-40 recent (<10 yrs old) references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, it is suitable. But please improve the formation of sentences. It is not upto the mark.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Please insert adequate citations</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prety Rekha Narzary
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India