

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_123845
Title of the Manuscript:	Vaccination Hesitancy and Zero-Dose Children in Borno State: Exploring Perspectives and Implications for Immunization Coverage.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	By exploring the factors behind vaccine refusal and noncompliance, the study can help identify barriers to immunization coverage. This can lead to targeted interventions, improving public health outcomes and reducing the risk of disease outbreaks in vulnerable populations.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I am suggesting a new title "Vaccination Hesitancy, Zero-Dose Children, and the Impact of Conflict on Immunization in Borno State: A Path to Better Health Outcomes."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Only check spellings which I have highlighted in red colour	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, follow my suggestions	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	By investigating the causes of vaccine refusal and noncompliance, the study aims to uncover obstacles to achieving full immunization coverage. This understanding can pave the way for focused interventions, enhancing public health and minimizing the threat of disease outbreaks in at-risk communities.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The author can use simple English also for better reach to the scholars.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gaurav Dubey
Department, University & Country	Nagaland University, India