

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_123940
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of biofertilizer combinations on quality, yield, and economic returns of strawberry in central region of Punjab
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Nowadays, the importance of using biofertilizers to achieve the goals of sustainable agriculture is very clear. In this article, it would have been better to investigate a more fundamental problem in the Punjab region (such as the effects of climate change and various stresses). Comments about different parts of the article are stated in the article file. The structure of the article is insufficient and incomplete and does not provide comprehensive information to the readers. Also, the results are not well correlated.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Initially, it would have been better to explain the purpose and necessity of conducting the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, it is not suitable. The Materials and Methods Section would have been better if it had been structured more precisely. For example: Title: Study site Plant material and experimental design Treatments and experimental conditions can be added.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	They should have provided more evidence and details. The results have not been adequately discussed from a scientific point of view, and the stated mechanisms have not been related to the test conditions. More detailed comments are mentioned in the article file.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Newer sources should be used. For example: https://doi.org/10.3390/su15108206	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is suitable.	
Optional/General comments	There is no innovation in this article. The introduction part is insufficient and the problem for which this study wants to provide a solution is not well stated. Also, the article does not have a proper structure. Especially in the materials and methods section, the study conditions and plant materials are not explained. How to use treatments, nutrition and even the type of strawberry cultivar are not mentioned. The discussion is insufficient and does not justify the results obtained. There are parameters in the results that are not stated in the Materials and Methods section. More detailed comments are given in the article file.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shahriyar Einizadeh
Department, University & Country	University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Iran