

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_123912
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of sewage sludge, sewage sludge compost and sewage sludge biochar on heavy metal and it fractions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study shows the way to remove toxic heavy metals from sludges. It is so important to prevent the pollution loads of soil and water bodies these toxic metals which may end up humans toxification through food chains.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It needs some rearrangement as follows: <b>Effect of Sewage Sludge, Sewage Sludge Compost and Sewage Sludge Biochar on Heavy Metal Removal and its Fractions</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It needs some modification as stated in the comment (written in green)	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, but it depends on the journal style	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	At present pollution challenges from effluents and sludges from factories or treatment plants are crucial. Scientific removal methods with low cost, conversion to different usable products, etc., are so important and these studies support these above mentioned ideas. The results of the study also revealed these ideas.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Okay, but if some more references are added it will be good.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Okay	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Please incorporate the comments, follow the journal style,	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tamene Fite Duressa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Madda Walabu University, Ethiopia</b>