

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_124228
Title of the Manuscript:	Reimagining Suggestopedia: Integrating Multisensory Learning for Environmental and Language Education
Type of the Article	Short communication

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<p>comments</p> <p>The manuscript of the research article is relevant to the educationist point of view. To understand how to incorporate the approach of suggestopedia in creating a teaching and learning environment such research re-focus on traditional approaches which are being used. A well-woven theme of the environment, is appreciable. Integration of music and art way of learning is the need of the hour.</p>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>The title conveys the research</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The abstract is fine as it is a theoretical -based article</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>The Manuscript is cohesive.</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Reference could be more as the topic is quite researchable and has been in use for a decade. Some recent research could be added.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> -	<b>Tay an Uran2022), teacher-centred and student- centered article by Langgeng Budiarto(2023)</b>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	English language is appropriate.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vandana Gaur Vashisht</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>