

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Hepatology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRRHE_123805 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A Cross-Sectional Investigation of the Public Health Consequences of Hepatitis B infection among Abattoir Workers in Port Harcourt Nigeria |
| Type of the Article | |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <p>The study is significant as it assessed the prevalence of hepatitis B in abattoirs and disclosed crucial findings applicable to public health initiatives.</p> | |
| <p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> | <p>Yes, suitable title</p> | |
| <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p> | <p>Objective of the study should be stated more clearly The method should include the study design and participants, plus the statistical tests used. The details of sampling and transport of samples can be mentioned in details in the methodology section of the manuscript. In the results section it is better to mention the variables (prevalence of Hepatitis B, age and sex) instead of mentioning dependent and independent variables.</p> | |
| <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> | <p>The subsections and organization of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> | |
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <p>The scientific substance is pertinent and robust. It addressed a significant concern for public health. However, if the survey included inquiries regarding the safety measures implemented by the participants, it could have provided further information.</p> | |
| <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> | <p>The manuscript included sufficient references.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>The English is good, yet there are a few sentences that need to be proofread for grammar errors and punctuation. I advise the writers to use a grammar checker.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>The results need to be clarified more. To compare the prevalence in different abattoirs a bar chart for example can be used.</p> <p>In the abstract this sentence is written: They were analyzed with three different rapid diagnostic test strips and positive results were recorded only for specimens that were reactive to at least two test strips. But in methodology, this sentence is written: The laboratory analyses were conducted with three different rapid diagnostic test strips (names not recorded) and positive results were recorded only for specimens that tested negative for all three test strips</p> | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name: | Hind Abdallah Modawi Elamin |
| Department, University & Country | Jazan University, Saudi Arabia |