

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_124034
Title of the Manuscript:	DIETARY MANAGEMENT OF VITEX DONIANA LEAVES IN ALLOXAN-INDUCED DIABETIC ALBINO RATS
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is very relevant due to the experimental study of a model of severe disease that covers the entire world, a metabolic disorder, a syndrome, which can be represented in the rat as type I. The study of hypoglycemic herbs is innovative and fundamental.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is very appropriate and relevant, perfect.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Introduction - diabetes milletus = <i>diabetes mellitus</i> . Please review the text again, it should be in italics too. The work is well done, but the authors should use other works for comparison, for example using the STZ model. Suggestion: Please cite the article. Int. J. Morphol., 29(1):272-277, 2011. Transmission Electron Microscopy Studies of the Vestibulocochlear Nerve in Chronic Diabetic Rats of the Vasconcelos et al.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Check all references in the text and at the end, as well as add some with another study for comparison.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The present manuscript is excellent.	
Optional/General comments	I would just like you to cite other articles for comparison, including experimental and clinical ones. Suggestion: Please cite the article. Int. J. Morphol., 29(1):272-277, 2011. Transmission Electron Microscopy Studies of the Vestibulocochlear Nerve in Chronic Diabetic Rats, as well as other works should be cited for comparison, the aforementioned manuscript is very good, congratulations	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carlos Augusto Carvalho de Vasconcelos
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Pernambuco, Brazil