

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_124093
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Clustering Balanced Mental Health Data: A Comparative Study of Clustering Techniques</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

[Review Form 3](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p><b>Comments &amp; Suggestions:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Clustering Balanced Mental Health Data: A Comparative Study of Clustering Techniques: The title is not clear by representing the data. Suggestions is that it can mentioned it as "A comparative study of Balanced Mental Health from the unbalanced data by clustering techniques" or "Analysis and study of Balanced mental health data over unbalanced data by using various clustering techniques"</li> <li>2. Under Methods: It is mentioned as 12 features have been used, but only 11 features have given.</li> <li>3. Only treatment feature has been used for comparative analysis and what is use of the other features in the dataset. Clearly mention the necessity of the other features.</li> <li>4. Random under sampling was used to integrate imbalanced data set for balancing, so represent what are imbalanced data that used for balancing, need clarity.</li> <li>5. Results and Discussion were clearly organized and projected in a proper format.</li> <li>6. In Conclusion, need to add few more observations from the comparative study.</li> <li>7. Rearrange figure 14 in appropriate place in the document</li> </ol>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>		
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -</p>		
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 3](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>R Jayasree</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sri Krishna Arts and Science College, India</b>