

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_123820
Title of the Manuscript:	Histopathological Alterations and Ki-67 Proliferation Index Evaluation following Nitrosobis Amine-Induced Cerebral Toxicity in Experimental Animal Model treated with Curcumin
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The nuclear Ki-67 protein has been recognized as an important therapeutic target for cancer, and the potential of curcumin to regulate expression of Ki-67 proliferative index and cause reversal in histopathological alterations associated with cancer progression in experimental neuro-oncology model, has significant implications.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes. A few corrections, queries and suggestions have been annotated in the manuscript. (spellings of Nitrosobis hemorrhagic spots, full form of H& E staining in the Abstract) The discussion can be modified with more clarity and information about the anticancer properties and mechanism of action of curcumin.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references in the Manuscript are insufficient and not recent. Curcumin potential as an anticancer agent has been highlighted in many recent articles and must be included.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bharti Chaudhry
Department, University & Country	University of Delhi, India