

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_123820
Title of the Manuscript:	Histopathological Alterations and Ki-67 Proliferation Index Evaluation following Nitrosobis Amine-Induced Cerebral Toxicity in Experimental Animal Model treated with Curcumin
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The search for more accurate prediction of biological behavior of brain tumors in experimental neuro-oncology where non-human animal tumor models are involved has been on the increase especially as it relates to its role in evaluating various therapeutic and clinical trials using natural products with anti-cancer properties. Hence this article is of prime need to the purpose for what it was attempted to. Well written.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>No, Well Presented</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This research paper has shown unique activities of curcumin directed towards inhibition of proliferation by suppressing Ki-67 index via a variety of cellular signaling pathways in brain tumor models. Reduction in necrotic focal points, hemorrhagic spots and infiltrating inflammatory cells is an indication of reversal in histopathological alterations associated with cancer progression. These presentations prove the uniqueness and soundness of the article.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, Good</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Nil</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A.Velayudham
Department, University & Country	Jansons Institute of Technology (AUTONOMOUS), Anna University, India